Case 1:05-cv-00120-JJF	Document 250-7	Filed 04/10/2008	Page 1 of 86
0400 1100 01 00 120 001	20041110111 200 1		

Dobrich Donald	n, et al. v. Indian Riv Hattier C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)	er School District, et al. October 10, 2006
		Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT O	COURT
2,	FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE	
3		
4	MONA DOBRICH and MARCO DOBRICH, individu	ally and
	as parents and next friend of ALEXANDER	DOBRICH,
5	SAMANTHA DOBRICH, JANE DOE and JOHN DOE,	
	individually and as parents and next fri	end of
6	JORDAN DOE and JAMIE DOE,	
7	Plaintiffs	.*
8	vs.	Civil Action
		No. 15-120
9		
10	INDIAN RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT, ET AL.,	
11	Defendants	
12		
13	DEPOSITION OF DONALD HATTIER,	taken at the
	Indian River School District, 31 Hosier	Street,
14	Selbyville, Delaware beginning at 9:36 a	.m. on
	October 10, 2006 before David A. Sroka,	
15	Professional Reporter and Notary Public.	
16		
	APPEARANCES:	
17		
18	THOMAS ALLINGHAM, ESQUIRE	
1.0	RICHARD HORVATH, ESQUIRE	
19	BRIAN LENHARD, ESQUIRE	
20	P.O. Box 636	0636
20	Wilmington, Delaware 19899- For the Plaintiffs	.0636
21	ror the Plaintlis	
22		
22	WILCOX & FETZER	
23	1330 King Street - Wilmington, DE	19801
23	(302) 655-0477	19001
24	www.wilfet.com	
7.4	www.wlitec.com	

Indian River School District, et al. Dobrich, et al. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) October 10, 2006 **Donald Hattier** Page 2 Page 4 1 defendants. 1 2 DONALD HATTIER, 2 3 JASON P. GOSSELIN, ESQUIRE 3 The Witness herein, called for examination by Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 4 the Plaintiffs, having been duly sworn to tell the One Logan Square 4 5 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-6996 6 was examined and testified as follows: 5 7 MR. ALLINGHAM: Jason, I just want 6 8 to put a couple of things on the record. 7 9 The first one is, it is my impression that 8 10 you are not going to be interrupting 9 11 this deposition very much, but I want you 10 12 to know that if you want to make relevance 11 13 objections feel free. I'm not going to 12 14 accept invitations to explain the relevance 13 14 15 of my questions, I think that they are 15 16 relevant. 16 17 Q. Mr. Hattier, I represent the Plaintiffs in 17 18 this action against the district and I'm going to be 18 19 asking you some questions. If you don't understand 19 20 anything that I ask please tell me, don't answer the 20 21 question. If you do answer it the judge and 21 22 ultimately even the jury will probably assume that 22 23 you did understand it, so if you do have a problem 23 24 with a question cut me off at the pass now, okay? 24 Page 3 Page 5 1 MS. DUPHILY: This is the Yes, sir. 1 A. 2 videotape deposition of Dr. Donald G. 2 MR. GOSSELIN: Can I respond? I 3 Hattier taken by the Plaintiff in the 3 appreciate the instruction to me, I'm going 4 4 to follow the rules and if I have an matter of Dobrich, et al., versus Indian 5 5 objection to the form I will say objection. River School District, et al., case number 6 6 If there is a reason that I think Dr. 15-120. This deposition is taking place at 7 31 Hosier Boulevard, Selbyville, Delaware. 7 Hattier should not answer I will instruct 8 him not to answer. There is a Discovery 8 We are going on the record on October 10, 9 9 order in the case the prescribes what the 2006 at approximately 9:37 a.m. 10 subject matter of discovery is in this case 10 The court reporter is David Sroka 11 and obviously I think that a questioner 11 from the firm of Wilcox & Fetzer, 12 should get leeway, but if it goes far 12 Wilmington, Delaware. My name is Lindsay 13 afield my - I won't ask you to explain the 13 duPhily I'm the videotape specialist of 14 Discovery Video Services in association 14 relevance of your questioning, but there 15 might come a point where we think that we 15 with Wilcox & Fetzer. 16 are straying into territory that goes 16 Counsel will now introduce 17 17 themselves and then the court reporter will beyond the court's order.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Understood.

Q. Dr. Hattier, when we were off the record you asked the videographer to identify you as Dr. Hattier, that's the way you prefer to be addressed?

So --

A. Yes, sir, please for the purposes of a professional situation.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

swear in the witness.

representing the Plaintiffs.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Tom Allingham of

Skadden Arps. With me is Rick Horvath and

MR. GOSSELIN: Jason Gosselin of

drinker Biddle & Reath representing the

Brian Lenhard also of Skadden Arps,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

2

4

9

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 6

1 Q. Have you been deposed before?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. How many times?

4 A. Somewhere between 18 and 22.

5 Q. Well, we can cut that down to 19, 20 or 21

6 then, right?

7 A. The last time I did an official deposition

8 was about five years ago went I decided I no longer

9 wanted to do personal injury or Workman's Comp work

10 in my practice.

11 Q. Could you tell me do all the depositions

12 fall into the same basic category?

13 A. In what sense, sir.

14 Q. Subject matter?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. Was is that category?

17 A. Chiropractic expert witness.

18 Q. You and I both seems to have a tendency

19 that I can identify already of trampling on each

20 other. We need to wait, I will wait for you to

21 finish you need to wait for me to finish so that the

22 reporter can get everything that each of us says?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. And I'm sorry, would you just give me the

Page 8

A. Approximately 1991 or 1992.

Q. Is that in the Superior Court of the State

3 of Delaware?

The time that I testified in a court was in

5 Salisbury, whatever the designation of that court

6 happens to be.

7 Q. Who was the lawyer with whom you were

8 working on that case?

I do not recall at this time.

10 Q. You said that you no longer do Workers'

11 Comp in your practice as a chiropractor, is that

12 right?

13 A. I will take a Workers' Comp case if a

14 patient seeks me out. I do not actively recruit

15 them anymore. Frankly I just found it be a type of

16 a work that I didn't enjoy very much.

Q. I take it from your previous answer that

18 you formerly sought out Workers' Comp cases?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. And why is it that you don't enjoy those

21 cases very much?

22 A. Quite frankly I didn't like being deposed

23 and going to court.

24 Q. That's a common complaint?

Page 7

1 answer again?

2 A. Okay, I have been deposed several times as

3 an expert witness in chiropractic.

4 Q. Were all of those deposition given in

5 Sussex County?

6 A. No, sir I gave several of them in

7 Salisbury, one in Snow Hill and remainder of them

8 would have been in Sussex County to the best of my

9 recollection.

10 Q. And in giving your testimony as an expert

11 witness in chiropractic did you offer that testimony

12 in support of plaintiffs or defendants or both?

13 A. Both.

14 Q. The contacts for serving as an expert

15 witness did those come from attorneys?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. And was it one attorney or more than one

18 attorney?

19 A. It was more than one attorney, sir.

20 Q. Have you ever testified at trial?

21 A. I can specifically recall one time at

22 trial, there may have been two.

23 Q. When did those one or two instances take

24 place?

Page 9

1 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Has a judge or other judicial officer

3 arbitrator or whatever ever questioned the truth or

4 veracity of your testimony?

5 A. No, sir.

6

9

Q. I should have asked you this earlier, are

7 you taking any medications today that would prevent

8 you from giving full and comprehensive answers?

A. No, sir.

10 Q. Can you think of any reason why you

11 wouldn't be able to handle my questions truthfully?

12 A. None that I think of, sir.

13 Q. Have you spoken with anyone, and I want to

14 break this out, other than your attorneys in this

15 case about this litigation?

16 A. I have spoken with my fellow Board members.

17 I have spoken in general terms with members of the

18 public who asked for information on it, and I have

19 spoken with my attorney. And my wife and I have

20 discussed it at various times. I do try to keep my

21 responses to the general public to those things that

22 we have been allowed to discuss by the judge.

23 Q. Have you - I'm sorry, what do you mean by

24 you have been allowed to discuss by the judge?

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 12

Page 10 0. Yes. 1 A. If I recall correctly Judge Farnan has at one time put a gag order on us in terms of what we 2 A. No, sir. 2 3 could discuss and what we couldn't and we did abide 3 Members of the public with whom you've 4 spoken about this litigation, can you identify any 4 by that gag order. 5 of them? 5 Q. We being the Board members? 6 A. Let me take that back, I'm speaking for 6 A. I've had a multiple series of phone calls 7 from people expressing support for our position. We 7 myself, me being me. have had a few who have expressed lack of support Q. In a case like this where we have you know 8 9 multiple Board members, the frequent habit of for the position. If I went back over my notes I could probably come up with one or two specific referring to ourselves as we is on that would be 10 helpful for clarity sake if you could avoid and just 11 individuals. I mean --11 12 Q. Were these limited to phone calls or did 12 refer to I or me? 13 you also get e-mails and/or personal encounters with A. Yes, sir. 13 14 the public? 14 Q. All right, so as you understood it there 15 A. Yes, sir. was a gag order imposed by Judge Farnan? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. That is to say it was limited to phone 16 17 calls? 17 Q. Who told you it was a gag order? 18 A. No, it's all of the above. 18 A. I believe it was the original two Johns 19 Okay, great. You referred in your earlier that had sent our some material and then I heard 19 20 about it through some of the fellow Board members 20 answer to if you went back over your notes you could 21 perhaps identify specific instances of contact with when we discussed it. 21 22 Q. And the Johns was Mr. Balliger and the public? 22 23 Mr. Cafferty? 23 A. It's possible. 24 Do you keep notes of your contacts with the 24 A. Yes, sir.

Page 11 Q. Did they describe that order to you as a 1 2 gag order? 3 That's essentially how it came across, yes. 4 Well, my question was did the use the word 5 gag order? 6 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection and don't 7 answer that. I think this is --8 MR. ALLINGHAM: I will withdraw 9 the question. 10 MR. GOSSELIN: Thank you. Q. All right, your discussions with other 11 Board members, did those take place only in Board 12 13 meetings or did you also speak with them outside of 14 Board meetings? 15 A. We have also spoken outside of Board 16 meetings. 17 0. Is that a frequent occurrence? 18 A. I wouldn't describe it as frequent. We don't get together as a rule and we don't call each 19 20 other that much. 21 Can you recall individual instances outside 22 of Board meetings where you have discussed this 23 litigation with other Board members? 24 A. Specific details?

Page 13 public regarding School Board matters? 1 2 A. I keep a general series of notes as part of 3 a day timer system that I use to organize my office and my private life, and there are sometimes where I'm more specific in my entries than others. And it's not related to just School Board things, it's 6 7 if you get really busy you write them all down. I'm not an attorney in that sense, I don't log 9 everything. 10 Have you reviewed those notes to see whether any of the notes in that system would be 11 12 responsive to the production request in this case? 13 A. No. 14 Have you been used to review those notes? Q. 15 A. No. 16 MR. ALLINGHAM: I call Jason for 17 production of those notes. 18 MR. GOSSELIN: Is that, what is 19 that? 20 MR. ALLINGHAM: It's a production 21 request directed to documents that seam 22 clearly to be responsive to certain

requests made in the production request.

MR. GOSSELIN: I mean, we can talk

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

23

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

16

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 14

afterward about which request you think it would respond to, and we can also talk about the objections that we asserted to those requests.

> MR. ALLINGHAM: That's fine with me and we don't need to do this on the record, what I'm going to do during the course of these depositions, if I identify or the witness identifies documents that I believe are responsive I will simply note them for the record and I will be happy to talk about them afterwards.

12 13 When you referred to those notes of conversations with the public are you aware of any 14 15 specific notes that do, are reflected on your daytimer system I think it was?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Are you aware of any specific notes that 19 are reflected on the daytimer system reflecting 20 conversations with the public about this litigation?

The notes that I do take would be related 21 22 to who called. I generally do not take notes of

23 what it is we discussed.

24 Q. Okay. You said that you discussed this Page 16

Q. Have you asked your children to inquire 1 2 about the identity of the Doe family directly or

3 indirectly?

4

5

8

22

8

No, sir.

Are you aware that your children have

6 inquired of members of the Doe family whether they

7 are the Doe family?

I am not aware of any such conversations.

9 Q. Would that surprise you?

10 Α. Children are curious, maybe not.

Have you had discussionis with among your 11

12 family about who the Doe family might be?

13 Early on we did have a discussion about it.

14 and that would have been with my wife.

15 So you are not aware of any discussion in

16 your family with your children as to the Doe family

17 members are?

18 A. No, sir.

19 o. Did you prepare for this deposition?

20 In what sense, sir.

21 Did you meet with your attorneys before?

Yes, we did, or I did.

23 Did you do anything other than meeting with Q.

24 your attorneys to prepare for this deposition?

Page 15

1 litigation with your wife, is that a sort of an

2 ongoing practice of discussing it with your wife?

3 My wife can tell that there are times when

things weigh on me, and you know, we sit down and we

will discuss things. 5

Your wife's name is Laura? 6

That is correct.

Have you discussed this litigation or any R

aspects of it with the rest of your family?

10 A. I believe my children have been privy to

11 some of the discussions at the dinner table.

12 Do you know who the Doe family is?

13 I believe that I do, yes, sir.

14 Q. Have you made any efforts to confirm the

15 identity of the Doe family?

16 A. No, sir.

17 Q. Have you asked your wife to inquire about

18 the identity of the Doe family in any way directly

19 or indirectly?

20 A. No, sir.

Q. Have you asked your wife to inquire about 21

the identity of the Doe family in any way directly 22

23 or indirectly?

24 A. No, sir. 1 A. No, sir.

2 Q. When did you meet with your attorneys?

3 A. I believe it was last Tuesday.

Q. For how long?

5 About three hours.

6 Did you review any documents?

7 No, sir. I don't recall, we might have.

MR. GOSSELIN: We looked at the 30

9 (b)(6) deposition.

10 Q. I'm sorry, your answer is I don't recall I

11 might have?

12 A. I might have, I mean if Mr. Gosselin

13 brought something in then we looked at it, but other

14 than that no I didn't bring anything in with me,

15 neither did I look at anything other than what he

16 brought, no.

17 Q. You are familiar with the Indian River

18 School District's Board Prayer at Regular Board

19 Meetings Policy?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. Did you review that policy at your meeting

22 with your attorney?

23 A. Yes, we did.

24 Did you review any minutes of meetings at Page 17

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

- 0.			
	Page 18		Page 20
1	which that policy or drafts of the were reviewed by	1	substance of that. And I don't object to
2	the Board?	2	asking what documents he recalls looking
3	A. I believe we did.	3	at, but beyond that I object to questions
4	Q. Did those include both public meeting	4	about that meeting.
5	minutes and executive committee meeting minutes?	5	Q. Other than the Board prayer, I'm going to
6	A. I'm not sure we looked at the minutes. We	6	call that policy which is titled Board Prayer at
7	discussed the generalities of it, but I don't	7	Regular Board Meetings the School Board Prayer
8	believe we looked at specific minutes of the	8	Policy, if that's okay?
9	meetings, no.	9	A. That's perfect, sir.
10	Q. When you say you discussed the generalities	10	Q. Other than the actual School Board Prayer
11	of it, does that involve looking at a document, or	11	Policy piece of paper, do you recall any other
12	is that simply discussions back and forth with your	12	document that you looked at during that prep
13	attorney?	13	session?
14	A. Discussions back and forth between the	14	A. No, I do not recall.
15	Board members who were present and discussion with	15	 Q. Since the preparation session have you
16	the attorney.	16	reviewed any documents?
17	Q. The Board members who were present at the	17	A. No, sir.
18	preparation session?	18	Q. Other than your attorney and the Board
19	A. Yes, sir.	19	members, whoever they were, who were present at the
20	Q. With this a preparation session at which	20	prep session, was anyone else there?
21	multiple Board members were present?	21	A. I believe there were two other attorneys
22	A. There were three other Board members there.	22	present at the time.
23	Q. Who were they?	23	Q. Were the attorneys with whom you met
24	A. It was Greg Hastings, Charlie Bireley,	24	Mr. Gosselin who is to your right today and other

		Page 19
1	there	was one other, John Evans, was Reggie there,
2	no. I	t was just the four of us, right. I believe
3	that's	correct.
4		MR. GOSSELIN: Whatever you
5		remember.
6	Q.	It's a terrible temptation to ask someone
7	else w	ho was there, but it has to be your
8	recolle	ection?
9	A.	There might have been five, but I can
10	specif	fically site four.
11	Q.	And there was a discussion among the Board
12	memb	ers about the generalities of the adoption of
13	the Sc	hool Board Prayer Policy, is that what you
14	were g	getting at?
15	A.	Yes, sir.
16	Q.	In the context of that discussion did you
17	have o	occasion to try to recall the history of the

Board's consideration adsorption of that policy?

object to anything that goes to the

answer that. This was a meeting with

lawyers and clients before a deposition. I

have no objection to your asking about the fact that the meeting took place, but I

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection and don't

ere,	1	lawye	ers in his law firm, Drinker Biddle & Reath?
	2	A.	I believe that to be true.
	3	Q.	Okay. You full name is Donald G. Hattier,
	4	is tha	t correct?
	5	A.	Yes, sir.
	6	Q.	And you were born on October 1953?
	7	A.	That is correct.
	8	Q.	Were you born in Delaware?
	9	A.	No, sir.
	10	Q.	Where were you born?
	11	A.	I was born in Triesta, Italy in the 381st
	12	infan	try hospital.
	13	Q.	When did you come to the United States?
	14	A.	In 1962.
	15	Q.	How long have you lived in Delaware?
	16	A.	I was lived in Delaware since February no,
	17	I hav	e lived her Delaware since approximately March
	18	of 19	90.
	19	Q.	Do you consider yourself a long time
	20	reside	ent of Sussex County?
	21	A.	I do, yes, sir.
	22	Q.	Would you agree with me as a general
	23	propo	sition that in Sussex County information and
	24	news	tends to travel by word of mouth as much as it

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 Page 21

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 24

Page 22

1 (loes by	newspaper	or	other	formal	media?
-----	---------	-----------	----	-------	--------	--------

- In general terms I think that's probably 2
- 3 true.
- 4 Q. I asked you earlier about your children,
- you have two children, is that correct? 5
- 6 I have four children, sir.
- 7 Q. Four children. Would you tell me their
- 8 names?
- A. Kristin, age 16, Georgette age 14, Hanna 9
- 10 age 11 and Donald age eight.
- Do they attend district schools? 11
- 12 Yes, sir.
- 13 Which schools do they attend?
- A. I have two, the oldest two are at Indian 14
- 15 River High School at the current time. Hanna is at
- 16 Selbyville Middle School and Donald is currently at
- the Lord Baltimore School. 17
- Q. My information may be a little stale so let 18
- 19 me just ask you, is your current address R.D. Box
- 114 Dagsboro?
- 21 A. That was the old address before we went
- 911. 22
- 23 Q. So, what's he address now?
- 24 A. 30682 Holts Landing Road.

- half years, I went to work for the State of Virginia 1
- 2 in a power plant as a superintendent and a steam
- fitter, I taught motorcycle safety at the Northern
- 4 Virginia Community College for a number of years,
- and in the process injured my spine several times.
- Then I was hired by IBM to work as what was called a
- customer engineer on fixing typewriters, mag cards,
- copiers and it was through that time period that I
- 9 was teaching motorcycle safety and my spine kept
- acting up. I was slated for surgery for a sciatica
- 11 case and basically discovered chiropractic. I'm
- 12 single, I'm age 29, I sell my townhouse, my Cadillac
- 13 I go back do college.
- And you are employed now as a chiropractor? 14
- 15 Yes, sir.
- Self-employed? 16
- 17 Yes, sir.
- 18 Is there a name of the practice or is it
- 19 simply Dr. Donald G. Hattier?
- 20 A. My sister and I recently formed loose
- 21 partnership, we call ourselves the Beach View Health
- 22 Associates. Previous to that it was the Beach View
- 23 Chiropractic Center.
- 24 Q. Where is your office located?

Page 23

1

- 1 Q. How long have you lived there?
- 2 Since March of 1990.
- 3 Q. So, you were 27 when you moved her, 26, 27,
- 4 mid 20s?
- No, 30, 1990, 1953, 37, 36, 37, somewhere 5
- 6 in there.
- 7 Q. I'm sorry, right. Where did you attend 8
- 9
- A. I attended at the Virginia Polytechnic
- 10 Institute State University, currently known as
- Virginia Tech. 11
- 12 And when did you graduate?
- 1975. That was for my Bachelor's in 13
- Science. 14
- 15 Q. I assume you have some kind of MD degree?
- A. I have a Chiropractic Degree, that's know 16
- as a DC, Doctor of Chiropractic and that's from the
- National College of Chiropractic and I graduated 18
- 19 there in December of 1985.
- Q. I assume that you didn't go straight to 20
- chiropractic school? 21

Wilcox & Fetzer, Ltd.

- 22 No, sir.
- 23 What did you do in-between?
- 24 In-between I ran a McDonald's for two and a

Page 25 550 Atlantic Avenue in Millville, Delaware.

- 2 Q. I'm speaking financially now, Dr. Hattier,
- 3 how much has this litigation cost you personally?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- Q. Are you aware of any expenses that this
- litigation has caused you to incur? 6
- 7 A. A general answer would be at various times
- 8 off from work to attend meetings, but my schedule is
- 9 somewhat flexible so again I don't know what to say
- 10 to that.
- 11 Q. How much has this lawsuit cost the
- 12 district?

17

18

- 13 That's a good question, I don't know.
- 14 Q. Is that a fact that you would consider
- 15 important in weighing the considerations that you
- gave in adopting the School Board Prayer Policy. 16

answer that. That goes beyond the

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't

- 19 discovery order that the judge entered.
- 20 MR. ALLINGHAM: I disagree.
- 21 Q. In considering the adoption of the School
- 22 Board Prayer Policy did you consider the costs of 23 litigation that would ensue if the policy were not
- 24 adopted?

(302)655-0477

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

V. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

4

5

7

8

11

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 26

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't answer that.

Q. In considering the School Board Prayer Policy and its adoption, did you consider the costs that would be avoided if it were not adopted?

MR. GOSSELIN: Object, same instruction.

- Q. Was that a matter of irrelevancy to you? MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't answer it.
- Q. Have you discussed with anyone how the School Board or the district will pay it's costs of this litigation if the district's insurance carrier does not have to pay the legal fees?

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't answer that. Tom, I've got patience, it's early in the moming, but we have been here for half an hour. I don't mind the background, you are entitled to do that, but all of these other -- if we are going to spend six hours asking questions on topics that the judge has already ruled are not relevant in this phase of discovery and perhaps not relevant at all, we might as

Page 28

- Q. And you mentioned that some were in favor
- 2 and I think you said a lesser number were opposed to
- 3 the Board's position generally?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And does it matter to you what your
- 6 constituents think in these areas?
 - A. I would say yes it does.
 - Q. And tell me what consideration you gave to
- 9 your constituencies' expressed views on the matters
- 10 addressed in this litigation?
 - A. I listened to what they had to say on both
- 12 sides of the issue. And ultimately it boils down to
- 13 my own conscience which is what I tend to go with.
- 14 Q. During the course of it consideration and
- 15 adoption of the School Board Prayer Policy, did you
- 16 or your fellow Board members have discussions of
- 17 that issue, that is what impact the views of your
- 18 constituencies should have on your consideration of
- 19 the Board Prayer Policy?
- 20 A. There may have been some general
- 21 discussions regarding that. I believe that the
- 22 generally accepted viewpoint was that this is
- 23 something that folks in our area would strongly
- 24 support.

Page 27

well stop now.

MR. ALLINGHAM: My goal in suggesting to you that I suspect that you and I disagreed about the scope of relevance was to urge you to do precisely what you suggested you would do, which is to lodge an objection without a speech and then if you think that the questions have become a pattern or practice of harassment, which I don't think that they will, to take what steps you need to take. It's pointless for us to engage in speechifying back and forth and I think we will go faster if we don't.

Q. Are you aware of any donations take the district, the School Board, or the School Board members have received to finance their defense of this litigation.

> MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't answer that.

- Q. You mentioned earlier that you had had comments from the public about the School Board's position vis a vis this litigation, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Page 29

1 Q. And was there a discussion how -- strike 2 that.

3 How was that general consensus identified? 4

Was there polling, was there anecdotal evidence, how 5 did you arrive at that --

6 A. I think probably anecdotal evidence based 7 on the familiarity we have with the people in the 8 community. This is still a fairly small community 9 compared to a lot of other places.

10 And I think virtually all of the Board 11 members know a lot of people in the public, you 12 know, it's not like being in Wilmington or where my

13 family is in Faifax County, Virginia. This is not

14 that kind of an environment.

- 15 So you sense is that the Board members 16 collectively know most of the people in the 17 district?
- 18 A good chunk of them, yes, sir.
- 19 Q. What's a good chunk?
- 20 A. I'd say a good 15, 20, 30, 40 percent
- depending on how long they've been here. 21
- 22 Q. A good 15, 20, 30, 40 percent depending on
- 23 how long they've been here. Let me break that down
- 24 a little bit. Depending on how long they have been

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 32

Page 33

Page 30

- 1 here, refers to the residents of the district or the
- 2 Board members?
- 3 A. The Board members.
- 4 Q. Okay, my question earlier had to do with
- 5 collectively, not individually, but collectively is
- 6 it your view that the Board members are acquainted
- 7 with a majority of the residents of the district?
- 8 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 9 You can answer that one.
- 10 A. I'm speculating, I'm speculating when you
- 11 use the word majority, I would have to say probably.
- 12 Certainly based on the votes that have happened in
- 13 the past.
- 14 Q. Certainly based on the votes that happened
- 15 in the past, could you spin that out for me what you
- 16 mean?
- 17 A. Sure, the people who have run for elections
- 18 on various platforms and how the numbers come out
- 19 with those, and a lot of times the margins are
- 20 fairly wide.
- 21 Q. That's a different question from how many
- 22 residents of the district Board members are
- 23 acquainted with?
- 24 A. Uh-hum.

- the area, and knowing my patient base of about 6,000
- 2 people give or take, and having talked to a lot of
- 3 people over a lot of time periods, can I quantify
- 4 every single one of them, no, but having talked to a
- 5 multiplicity of people of people, reading the
- 6 editorials in the newspaper, what you hear on the
- 7 street, what you hear through church forums, I would
- 8 say several thousand.
 - Q. You feel confident that you know the views
- 10 of several thousand residents of the district on the
- 11 positions that the Board has taken in this
- 12 litigation?

9

22

11

18

- 13 A. Within those areas where my influence would
- 14 reach. The Indian River School District is broken up
- 15 into five different regions, I'm region four. And
- 16 that's the ones that I can comment on because that's
- 17 where my patient base and where most of the people
- 18 that I come into contact with would be.
- 19 Q. You mentioned the vote of constituents on
- 20 particular Board members?
- 21 A. Uh-hum.
 - Q. Spin that out for me a little bit, how does
- 23 that help you know what the consensus is in the
- 24 district on the matters that are the subject of this

Page 31

- 1 Q. When I asked you how you formed the view
- 2 that your constituents, your constituents, the
- 3 general consensus was that they supported the Board
- 4 policy, you identified as the means by which that
- 5 consensus was identified, the Board members general
- 6 acquaintance with the residents of the district do
- 7 you recall that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And what I'm trying to get at now is, is
- 10 your view about how many of those residents the
- 11 Board members collectively are really acquainted
- 12 with. So, let me just ask some preliminary
- 13 questions. How many residents are there in the
- 14 Indian River School District?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Well, let me ask you this question, how
- 17 many residents of the Indian River School District
- 18 do you believe you know well enough to be confident
- 19 that you know there views on the Board's positions
- 20 in this litigation?
- 21 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 22 Q. Unless you are instructed not to answer you
- 23 can answer.
- 24 A. Okay. Being a practicing chiropractor in

- 1 litigation?
- 2 A. I know that in the last election in
- 3 specific it had come up as an issue. It was also an
- 4 issue when I ran the last time, and the vote in
- 5 favor of me at that time was roughly two to one in
- 6 favor of my position. With Mr. Bireley when he
- 7 recently ran the vote was a little bit closer, I
- 8 think he had 1300 to roughly 1000, and it was highly
- 9 motivated and I believe this was part of the factor
- 10 in the last two elections.

I believe that if you look at the races

12 that were up in the Georgetown area that it was

13 probably a factor up there as well.

- 14 Q. Is it your sense that the vote in the
- 15 recent election in which Mr. Bireley prevailed was
- 16 representative of the positions of the constituents.
- 17 who voted on the School Board prayer issue?
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 19 A. I believe it was one of the factors. There
- 20 were other issues with that particular election as
- 21 **well.**
- 22 Q. All right, you identified that election as
- 23 one of the factors that led you to conclude that the
- 24 constituents' views are supportive of the Board's

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 37

	Page 34		Page 36
1	positions here, tell me how you evaluated that	1	 Q. What is your understanding, if any, about
2	election as one piece of evidence for that	2	the connection between the ACLU and the Plaintiffs'
3	consensus?	3	side of this litigation?
4	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.	4	A. My understanding is that the Plaintiffs
5	 A. By reading the editorials, by listening to 	5	went to the ACLU for support, as well as pro bono
6	what the folks on the talk radio were talking about,	6	help.
7	by what I heard in the community and then basically	7	Q. Where did you get that understanding?
8	by the vote itself. As I stated, there were other	8	A. Basically because that's what people do
9	issues at that time, too. I don't believe that any	9	when they are in this situation and they need
10	of the elections here are single issue elections,	10	somebody else to turn to.
11	but I do believe that it played an important part in	11	Q. That's what people do, being they go to the
12	what it was.	12	ACLU?
13	Q. Well, by way of example, did Mr. Bireley	13	A. Yes, sir.
14	campaign on the School Board Prayer Policy issue?	14	Q. And what is the situation that would lead
15	A. Not to my knowledge.	15	people to turn to the ACLU?
16	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Bireley and persons	16	A. If individuals feel they have a case in
17	representing Mr. Bireley visited senior centers in	17	certain areas the ACLU is known to defend and
18	Sussex County and during those visits said that they	18	litigate in this type of a situation. That's my
19	should be voted for because they are for prayer and	19	general understanding.
20	they are fighting the ACLU in court?	20	Q. And what is this type of case you are
21	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.	21	talking about?
22	A. I'm not aware of that, no.	22	A. First Amendment freedoms.
23	Q. You never heard that?	23	Q. And I'm sorry I may be summarizing
24	A. No.	24	incorrectly, so forgive me if I am, sometimes I lose

Page 35

- than you are?
- 2

1

7

10

11

- 3 A. No, he's in my district.
- 4 Q. So, you didn't hear anything about that

Q. Of course he is in a different district

- 5 despite the fact that you are in the same district?
- 6 A. I didn't hear anything about that.
 - Q. This is the district where you have your
- 8 finger on the pulse of the constituency?
- 9 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
 - A. You know, you are using the word finger on the pulse of the constituency but I will tell you
- that I hear from different people than Mr. Bireley 12
- 13
- 14 Q. All right, in formulating your view that
- 15 the majority of your constituency supports the
- 16 Board's positions have you considered donations that
- hove come into the Board for the defense of this 17
- 18 litigation?
- 19 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't
- 20 answer that.
- 21 Q. Do you consider that the district as
- 22 fighting the ACLU in this litigation?
- 23 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't
- 24 answer that.

6

7

- track of things? 1
- 2 A. Me, too.
- 3 Q. It is your understanding that the
- 4 Plaintiffs went to the ACLU for help and then
- 5 retained pro bono counsel?
 - A. That is my understanding.
 - Okay. Let me pose a more specific question
- 8 then. What is your understanding about the ACLU's
- 9 ongoing connection with the litigation post
- retention of pro bono counsel, if any? 10
- 11 A. My understanding is that the ACLU would be
- guiding them, providing the counsel and basically 12
- 13 running the case. I'm not an attorney so I do not
- 14 understand the intricacies of running a case like
- 15 this.
- 16 And where did you get that understanding?
- 17 Reading the newspapers, listening to what's
- on the radio, just understanding how the ACLU 18
- 19 generally does these kind of cases based on what
- 20 I've read in the past.
- 21 Q. You understand that the ACLU has been made
- 22 an important part of the dialogue on this litigation
- 23 and the positions that the Board has taken in
- 24 connection with this litigation, correct?

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

1

2

3

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

8

9

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 38

A. Rephrase that please?

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

- Q. I'm trying to among all the people who have
- 4 spoken up in print, to you personally, a significant
- 5 portion of them have commented negatively or maybe
- 6 positively on the ACLU's connection to this
- 7 litigation, correct?
- 8 A. I'd say that's a fair statement.
- 9 Q. Are you concerned at all that the consensus
- 10 that you've identified among your constituencies is
- 11 affected by the ACLU's connection to this lawsuit?
- 12 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. And is that because you are confident that
- 15 the ACLU is in fact connected to this lawsuit?
- 16 A. No, that's not why.
- 17 Q. You just don't care whether people are
- 18 making their decision based on the ACLU's
- 19 connection?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Explain to me why you are not concerned?
- 22 A. Because I think that the issue rises beyond
- 23 the ACLU. I mean they might be the attorneys in
- 24 this particular case and people can be turned on or

Page 40

- the Supreme Court and I believe that they view this
- 2 as simply something that is either right or not, and
- 3 I don't think that it has much to do with what the
- 4 ACLU's feelings are.

5 You guys just happen to be the messenger,

6 well not the messenger, you guys just happen to be

7 the ones working with the other side.

- Q. You guys being?
- A. I'm assuming, sir that you are working with
- 10 or associated with the ACLU and if that's a false
- 11 assumption then I would stand corrected.
- Q. And you've given me the basis for that
- 13 assumption already, correct?
- 14 A. Well, I'm assuming that I'm assuming that
- 15 since the ACLU has become involved in the case and
- 16 you are the attorney working with the Does and the
- 17 Dobriches that you would be associated with them.
- 18 And if that's not a valid assuming please correct
- 19 me.
- 20 Q. When you gave your answer about Congress
- 21 opening its sessions and it being printed above the
- 22 doors of the Supreme Court what did you mean by the
- 23 it?
- 24 A. Specifically Congress opens with a prayer

Page 39

- 1 off by the ACLU and I know the ACLU has a number of
- 2 supporters and I believe that they have done some
- 3 very good things in the past and they will probably
- 4 do some good things in the future, but I think this
- 5 issue stands or falls regardless of what the ACLU
- 6 does or doesn't do.
- 7 Q. I understand Dr. Hattier that that is your
- 8 view and I assure you that I do respect it. My
- 9 question, though, had to do with your concern about
- 10 the reasons for the development of the consensus
- 11 among your constituents, that is to say are you
- 12 worried that they are making their decisions or
- 13 formulating their positions based in whole or in
- 14 part on the ACLU's alleged connection to this
- 15 lawsuit?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Why is that it?
- 18 A. Because I believe that the people of this
- 19 area generally, excuse me, genuinely believe that
- 20 the prayer before School Board meeting as we have
- 21 outlined it is something that is part and parcel of
- 22 the fabric of the community that has been going on
- 23 for many, many years. Congress does it,
- 24 legislatures do it, it's printed above the halls of

Page 41

- 1 of some sort, or a solemnization procedure and in
- 2 God we trust is printed in a lot of our public
- 3 buildings, and I know most legislatures, if not all,
- 4 have a moment where they solemnize their procedures.
- 5 So, in this case the use of it word it was perhaps a
- 6 bit general.
- 7 Q. Because what's over the portals of the
- 8 Supreme Court Is different in some way from what
- 9 Congress opens its sessions with, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Indeed what Congress opens its sessions
- 12 with changes every time Congress opens its session,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. The specific prayer solemnization I believe
- 15 changes each time. But I believe the general idea
- 16 behind the solemnization that they use is
- 17 essentially the same.
- 18 Q. Fair enough. What you're getting at is
- 19 Congress regularly seeks somehow to solemnize the
- 20 opening of its session?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And is it your sense that -- you seem to be
- 23 somewhat of a student of this issue, is it your
- 24 sense that what Congress does is an appropriate way

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

2

5

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 44

Page 42

1 to solemnize its session?

- A. I believe that it is something that has 2
- 3 been going on in American history since
- approximately three days after the Constitution was 4
- accepted, and I believe that that's something that 5
- our founding fathers definitely stood for, and I 6
- 7 believe that it is wholly appropriate.
 - Q. I think I didn't ask my question carefully
- 9 enough.

8

- 10 A. Okay.
- Q. Are you familiar with the prayers with 11
- 12 which Congress has opened its sessions, and if so do
- you believe that those are appropriate ways to 13
- 14 solemnize Congress' proceedings?
- 15 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 16 MR. ALLINGHAM: On the basis that
- 17 it's compound, I will be happy to break it
- 18 up?
- MR. GOSSELIN: Yes. 19
- 20 Q. Have you had occasion to look at some of
- the prayers with which Congress opens its sessions? 21
- 22 Occasionally.
- 23 And have you seen any such prayer that you
- thought was an inappropriate way to solemnize the

marked for identification)

Would you take a look please at Hattier Q.

- 3 Exhibit 1?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Have you ever seen it before?
- A. I'm going to say maybe. We get a lot of 6
- 7 things that are faxed in to us in the office which
- where I receive them, I glance at them. A lot of 8
- 9 cases we pitch them right away. There is just so
- 10 much of it.
- 11 Q. Okay. You notice that this is a letter
- 12 from Mrs. Hobbs to Mr. & Mrs. Jay Widdoes of
- Wilmington and that the Board of Education is 13
- 14 copied.

16

1

- 15 A. Yes.
 - You would have been one would have been one
- 17 of the members of the Board of Education?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. But you just don't recall one way or 19
- 20 another whether you got this?
- 21 A. No, sir. Like I said, we get between one
- 22 and two faxes like this, sometimes I get three a
- 23 day, sometimes it's one every two or three days and
- 24 my staff puts the on my desk. I read them. If they

Page 43

- proceedings? 1
- 2 A. No, sir.
- 3 Q. Did you think that the prayers, at least
- the one that you looked at, were effective ways to
- 5 solemnize the proceeding?
- A. I believe so. 6
- 7 O. Where did you find the prayers?
- 8 Internet.
- 9 I'm going to ask the reporter to mark as
- 10 how shall we do this, Hattier Exhibit 1, a document
- 11 which bears Bates numbers, it has two Bates numbers.
- It's a Bates number, a Bates number is a number 12
- 13 that's stamped at the bottom of the page, I don't
- 14 know why they are called Bates numbers, probably
- 15 some guy names Bates is making lots of money off
- 16 this.
- 17 A. Kind of like the Dewey decimal system.
- But, you identify the document by the 18
- 19 number so that people can figure out what it was
- 20 that we were talking about later.
- 21 A. That's fine.
- 22 Q. A document bearing Bates number
- 23 IRSD00500581.
- 24 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 1 was

- Page 45 require a response we address them, otherwise I
- glance at them figuring I will remember it and then 2
- 3 pitch them. We don't have an organized way to save
- these, there is too many of them.
- Q. This letter refers to a donation to help
- 5 6 with the litigation of the prayer issue in the
- 7 Indian River School District and it's a thank you
- 8 note from Ms. Hobbs the superintendent.
- 9 Do you know whether Mr. & Mrs. Jay Widdoes
- 10 in fact made a donation to help with the litigation
- 11 of the prayer issue in the Indian River School
- 12 District?
- 13 A. I'm going to assume based on the language
- 14 of the letter that they did.
- 15 Do you know whether any other such
- donations were received and acknowledged in this 16
- 17 way?
- 18 No, sir.
- 19 Is there a fund that's been established for
- 20 the defense of this litigation to which persons can
- 21 make a donation?
- 22 My understanding was is that there had been
- 23 a donation of some type at same time, and that as a
- 24 result of that a fund had been established. I do

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Dor	nald Hattier	C.A. # 15-12	20 (JJF) October 10, 2006
		Page 46	Page 48
1	not know the status of it.	1	issues and try to avoid that when possible.
2	Q. Who is in charge of it, Miss Hobbs?	2	Q. Okay, your answer you said I guess we have
3	A. I am going to assume the superintend	ent or 3	received, in that case you are talking about I have
4	her secretary.	4	received?
5	Q. Who is Patrick Miller?	5	A. I have received, that is correct, I
6	A. Patrick Miller is our finance director.	6	apologize.
7	Q. I guess he could also be the person who is	7	Q. The Internet service provider through which
8	in charge of this fund, right?	8	you receive e-mails for each of the e-mails, can you
9	A. Patrick Miller being the finance director	or 9	tell me the address and the service provider?
10	is the person we lean to in financial areas to	make 10	A. For a long time we used a company called
11	sure that we in compliance with what the st	ate would 11	splus.net.
12	want us to do.	12	Q. And again that we is you?
13	Q. I'm going to litter your room, but I'm	13	A. That is me, my apologies? We in this case
14	going to pick it up when I'm done. Do you recall	14	would probably refer to the office.
15	any discussion at the Board level about donations	15	Q. Okay.
16	received to assist with the defense of the	16	A. Verizon.net and MCHSI.com. I used
17	litigation?	17	netzero.net or dot com briefly around the 2000 to
18	A. It might have been mentioned once. 7	The 18	2002 time period and I had an account with MSN back
19	specific details, no, I do not.	19	in the time period as well but we dropped those
20	Q. Do you recall anyone saying well this show	s 20	many, many moons back.
21	that we have the support of our constituents?	21	Q. Okay, so let me just restrict it to say
22	A. More than likely.	22	January 2003 forward. Your e-mail addresses were on
23	Q. Do you consider Mr. & Mrs. Widdoes one of	F 23	either splus net, verizon net or
24	your constituents?	24	A. At that time period strictly splus.
		Page 47	Page 49
1	A. Not if they are from Wilmington, no, un	less 1	Q. Okay and that's the service provider you
2	they have a summer property down here. I'm	not 2	use today?
3	familiar with them individually, if that's what	3	A. No, sir.

		Page 4
1	A.	
2	they	have a summer property down here. I'm not
3	fami	liar with them individually, if that's what
4	you'ı	re asking.
5	Q.	All right, let me turn. Let me start on a
6	differ	ent topic before we end the tape. Do you have
7	any e	-mail accounts?
8	A.	E-mail accounts, yes, sir.
9	Q.	Do you know how many?
10	A.	I use one main account for home, we use one
11	for ti	he office, we have one on the front desk which
12	is us	ed by the front desk for sending insurance
13	form	s and purposes only, maybe three, four.
14	Q.	Have you ever sent or received any e-mails
15	about	School Board meetings or the substance of the
16	discus	ssions at School Board meetings?
17	A.	That's a pretty broad question.
18	Q.	It's intended to be.
19	A.	I understand that. I'm going to say we
20	prob	ably have received e-mails, discussing and
21	sendi	ing it back out. I try to avoid that, you know,
22	some	times when you write things down and send them

out you can put your foot in your mouth fairly easily, and I have put my foot in my mouth on other

	1	Q. Okay and that's the service provider you
	2	use today?
	3	A. No, sir.
	4	Q. What do you use today?
	5	A. Verizon and MCHSI.
	6	Q. Okay. When did you switch over to Verizon
	7	and MCHSI?
	8	A. Verizon we switched to for the office in
	9	about 2004, give or take. We had MCHSI briefly in
	10	the office at the same time period, discontinued
	11	them due to the expense and MCHSI.com at home for
	12	probably since about let's say 2004 or 5, even
	13	though we did not use their e-mail services until
	14	recently. Everything was filtered through the
	15	splus.
	16	MR. ALLINGHAM: We are going to
	17	break to change the tape, okay.
	18	MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the
	19	record at 10:32 a.m
	20	(WHEREUPON a brief recess was
	21	taken)
em	22	MS. DUPHILY: we are back on the
	23	record at approximately 10:42 a.m
	24	Q. Just to return to something discussed in

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

5

6

10

15

20

23

1

4

12

16

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 50

- 1 the first hour, the Bireley Wilson election in
- 2 whenever it was February or March is it your sense
- 3 that the vote in that election roughly represents
- the position of the constituents in the fourth 4
- 5 district on the issue of School Board prayer?
- 6 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 7 A. In the sense that the proportion of the
 - voters who voted for Mr. Bireley versus Mrs. Wilson,
- 9 excuse me, Dr. Wilson reflect the breakdown within
- the community, no I do not. I believe you had some 10
- very long term family friend and social
- 12 relationships that played a role in that vote. I
- believe that the prayer position issue played part 13
- of it, but I'm not sure that that was the entire 14
- matter. 15

8

- 16 Q. The long term friend issue, which way did
- that cut, did that get Dr. Wilson more votes or did 17
- that get Mr. Bireley more votes? 18
- 19 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- A. My personal feeling, okay, and this is pure 20
- speculation is that it probably got Dr. Wilson more 21
- 22

1

- 23 Q. Mr. Bireley has been around here for a long
- time, hasn't he? 24

Page 52

- e-mail. And I don't think, and I could be wrong on
- this, but I don't think that that's something that 2
- 3 would happen electronically. We tend to do that in
- 4 person at meetings.
 - Ο. Okay.
 - A. That's my recollection of that.
- 7 Have you sent any e-mails to persons other
- than Board members about policies that were then 8
- 9 under consideration by the School Board?
 - A. No, I wouldn't do that, I don't feel as if
- 11 that's something I would do.
- 12 Because it would be inappropriate to
- 13 discuss policies under consideration by the Board
- 14 with members of the public?
 - A. That would be my feeling.
- 16 Do you think that consideration of policies
- 17 by the Board should be done as a matter of public
- satchel? 18
- 19 They are.
 - Why then would be it inappropriate to
- 21 discuss policy under consideration with an
- 22 individual member of the public?
 - A. My feeling is that as a School Board member
- 24 I'm one of ten. I do not act individually. If we

Page 51

- A. Mr. Bireley has been here for many years.
- 2 Q. Let's come back to e-mails and documents.
- 3 Have you ever, I asked you if you had ever sent or
- received any e-mails about School Board meetings or
- 5 the substance of discussions at School Board
- 6 meetings, and you said I believe?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Probably, but you are not sure? Q.
- 9 That's also correct.
- 10 Have you ever sent or received any e-mail
- about School Board prayer in particular? 11
- 12 A. If I have sent anything on that and again I
- 13 can't recall specifics, it would be limited to what I would consider to be the historic discussion of 14
- 15 prayer within the United States itself.
- 16 And when I refer to e-mails I also mean to
- 17 include, and you can changes your answer if it
- 18 changes your answer, posting to bulletin boards, do
- 19 you understand that?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Have you ever sent or received any e-mail
- 22 or postings about policies under consideration by
- 23 the School Board?
- 24 A. I don't believe we sent policy via an

- Page 53 are going to adopt a policy it's something that
- 2 should be discussed as a group and then the public
- 3 has a right to see what we discussed as a group.
 - And is it your sense that the Board's
- 5 consideration and adoption of policies is always
- 6 done in public situation?
- 7 A. To the best of my knowledge.
- 8 Q. And that would include the School Board
- 9 Prayer Policy that's at issue here today?
- 10 It was presented to the public at several
- 11 meetings, yes. At least at one.
 - One public meeting? Q.
- 13 A. When it came to the adoption of the School
- Board Policy, the School Board Prayer Policy, we did 14
- present it, we normally do it over a two month 15
- period where it's presented to the public and then 17 brought out, but I think in this case we actually
- had our first and second readings on the same date 18
- 19 to speed the policy up.
- 20 How frequently do you delete your e-mail?
- 21 A. Not very.
- 22 MR. GOSSELIN: Can you do it more
- 23 than once?
 - That was a poorly phrased question as

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 56

Page 54

- Mr. Gosselin suggested with his non-objection. What
- 2 I meant by that is do you have a practice about how
- 3 often you empty your e-mail mailbox, delete all
- 4 e-mail?
- 5 A. I do not have a policy. If the numbers get
- high enough and it looks like it's choking the 6
- 7 system, you know, then we will dump them.
- 8 Q. And when you dump them do you print out the
- 9 e-mails that are on --
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 O. If there is an e-mail that relates to your
- service as a School Board member, or consideration 12
- 13 of School Board issues do you print that e-mail and
- 14 keep it in your files?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- So, let me ask you a general question about 16
- 17 e-mails, is it the fact that you believe that there
- 18 are no e-mails on your various computers, whether in
- 19 the office or at home, that relate to the issues in
- this lawsuit? 20
- 21 A. I don't think so.
- 22 Q. You haven't looked but you don't think
- 23 there are?
- 24 No, sir. We've switched computers several

therein? 1

3

5

7

- 2 A. Yes, sir.
 - Did you keep those faxes?
- 4 A. No, sir.
 - Do you have a practice of discarding Q.
- 6 matters relating to the School Board?
 - A. As I stated earlier we get a lot of
- 8 material that comes in, we take a look at it.
- 9 basically if there is a date that I need to know
- about I enter it either into the daytimer or the 10
- 11 electronic daytimer and pretty much pitch it.
- 12 Do you have a file either at home or at the
- 13 office relating to your service as a School Board
- 14 member?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. At the office or at home?
- 17 A. Home.
- 18 Q. Where did you keep it?
- 19 A. In a pile next to my desk rather like you
- 20 did when you threw your papers behind the chair.
- 21 Well, it's good that everybody is as
- 22 disorganized as I am.
- 23 A. There you go.
- 24 What do you put in that file?

Page 55

1

9

19

- times in the intervening time period. I live in an 1
- 2 electrically challenged area which means that where
- 3 we are the power can go down on a regular basis, and
- 4 even though we use uninterrupted power supplies,
- there was a time period where we replaced hard
- drives on a fairly regular basis, all right, and I 7 backup the things that I consider to be important
- 8 which is my financial information, my patient
- d. records, some pictures but that's about the total of
- 10 what I back up.
- 11 Q. And when you backup do you keep a copy of
- 12 the disk do you backup to floppies or to optical
- 13 disks or optical -
- 14 A. Optical disks at this point. With the
- 15 financial information we now use and off line
- 16 storage.
- 17 Q. And when you backup do you discard the
- 18 previous back up?
- 19 A. I'm not that organized.
- 20 Q. Do you use a fax machine either at home or
- 21 at work?
- 22 A. At work.
- 23 Q. Have you ever sent or received any faxes
- about School Board meetings or matters discussed

Page 57 A. I keep the minutes of the various

- 2 committees and meetings that I go to. I keep the
- 3 material that would relate to student improvement to
- 4
- grades, to numbers breakdowns in the schools, what
- 5 units we are entitled to, what units we are not
- 6 entitled to, pay scales. Things that I would
- 7 consider have a direct wearing on my School Board
- function. The rest of it we shred. 8
 - We shred, I shred?
- 10 I shred, yes, sir.
- 11 And that's been your practice from the
- 12 start of your service as a Board member?
- 13 A. Originally I started keeping the entire
- 14 Board packet which had everything in it, until the
- 15 pile reached about ye high, and at that particular
- 16 point I figured I had to do something different and
- 17 that was within the first two years, after which we
- 18 sat down on the floor one Christmas holiday, we in
- this case being my wife and I, she had the trash 20 can, I basically sorted, I put it one pile she
- 21 pitched in the trash can.
- 22 Q. Is it correct that everything that's in
- 23 your the Board file comes from the Board package,
- 24 comes from the Board packages, it's a subset of the

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

5

Page 60

Page 61

Dobrich, et al. **Donald Hattier**

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 58

Board packages? 1

- A. The Board packages and committee meetings.
- 3 You said that earlier, I'm sorry. Is there
- anything else in your Board file other than a subset 4
- 5 of the Board package and the committee meeting
- 6 minutes?

2

- 7 A. I do have some of the legal documents and
- subpoenas that were served. I'm going to assume 8
- 9 that I have all of them that were served to me, to
- the best of my knowledge. 10
- 11 Do you have memos received from anyone
- relating to your services as a School Board member? 12
- A. No, sir, other than what came in the Board 13
- 14 packages. A lot of those things come across as
- faxes and as I stated earlier I read them, note 15
- them, and they go out. 16
- 17 Q. Do you keep copies of media, newspaper
- dippings, web postings, the like about the issues 18
- 19 in this case?
- 20 A. I stopped that a long time ago.
- 21 Q. How long ago?
- About two years. I got tired of seeing my 22 A.
- 23 name in print.

1

8

Well, about two years would be October of 24

No, sir.

- 2 Have you ever been instructed to retain all
- 3 documents relating to the issues in this litigation?
- 4 Yes, sir. Δ.

A.

- Q. When was that?
- 6 Α. Earlier this year.
- 7 That was from Mr. Gosselin? Q.
- 8 A. It was from somebody.
- 9 And since then have you retained everything
- that you've received in connection with this case? 10
- Yes. 11 A.
- 12 Q. Where do you keep that in a separate file?
- No, it's still in the pile on the floor. 13 A.
- 14 Do you take notes at School Board or.
- 15 committee meetings?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 And do you keep those notes?
- 18 What I do is I keep the notes and compare
- them with the minutes and then I pitch them, my 19
- 20 originals, I keep the printed notes.
- 21 O. And since the instruction from Mr. Gosselin
- 22 or his firm to keep matters, keep documents related
- 23 to this litigation have you kept your notes?
- 24 I haven't kept any notes on the litigation

Page 59

2

- 2004, can you be a little more accurate than that?
- 2 A. That's roughly the time period. Things
- 3 were showing up in print too much and while I like
- advertising, and you know it's like P.T. Barnum
- 5 said, you know, any mention is a good mention. But
- 6 personally I don't believe that I just simply. As a
- 7 matter of fact to a large degree I have stopped
- reading the editorials as well. I just don't save 9 those things anymore.
- And you stopped reading the editorials? 10
- 11 A. Yup.
- Q. Is that because you are confident that what 12
- 13 they have to say is not going to be different from
- what you have already formulated as to your view of 14
- the consensus of your constituents? 15
- 16 A. So far what I have seen appears to be
- 17 rehash of what has been rehashed for the last two
- years. Different name, in some cases same names. I 18
- just as a rule don't do it anymore. 19
- 20 Q. Do you keep copies of correspondence
- 21 related to this case?
- 22 A. In what sense?
- 23 Q. If you get a letter from somebody that
- relates to this case do you keep it, a copy of it?

since then.

- Q. So, you've continued with your practice of
- throwing away the notes after you review them in
- connection with your review of the minutes? 4
- 5 A. Again, I have not kept any notes regarding
- 6 the litigation. Most of the notes that I do take
- 7 would relate to the heat is not working in this
- 8 building, we have a leak in this building, here is a
- 9 grant that came through properly. Those things
- 10 would show back up in the printed notes and there
- 11 would be no reason to keep them in a separate note
- 12 area.
- 13 Q. Well, whether there is a reason to or not,
- Dr. Hattier, if you did take a note related to the 14
- 15 issues in this litigation?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Since, the instruction to retain all
- 18 documents relating to this litigation?
- A. Right. 19
- 20 Q. And I recognize that you are confident
- 21 there is no such note, I can from my point of view
- 22 say whatever notes you took, whatever they contain
- you discarded them? 23
- 24 A. I believe though, sir, you are making the

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

	Page 62		Page 64
1	allegation that I have may have taken notes	1	other side.
2	regarding this and pitched them and I don't believe		Q. Sounds like the ACLU is out numbered.
3	that I've taken any notes in that regard.	3	A. I
4	 Q. No, sir, I wasn't trying to make any 	4	Q. That's not a question, I'm sorry.
5	allegation, I was simply trying to establish that	5	A. Correct.
6	all notes that you've taken at Board and committee	6	Q. Did you ever have any conversations with
7	meetings since the time that you received the	7	the ADF about this lawsuit or representatives of the
8	admonition to retain certain documents	8	ADF?
9	A. Relating to this litigation, correct.	9	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't
10	Q. All notes that you've taken since that time	10	answer that.
11	of Board or committee meetings you have tossed?	11	MR. ALLINGHAM: On what ground?
12	A. Yes.	12	MR. GOSSELIN: Your
13	Q. In accordance with your practice?	13	attorney/client privilege.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Did the Alliance Defense Fund ever
15	Q. Okay, that's all I'm trying to get at?	15	represent the district or your personally in this
16	A. Okay.	16	litigation?
17	Q. Did the Board consider itself under the	17	A. Not to my knowledge.
18	threat of litigation in August of 2004, and I can	18	Q. Have you ever had a conversation with the
19	pinpoint that time for you if you like?	19	ADF relating to this litigation?
20	A. That's all right. I believe that we	20	A. I did e-mail them at one point, there might
21	considered the possibility of it.	21	have been a phone call.
22	Q. Why was that?	22	Q. When did that take place?
23	A. Statements that were made by Mrs. Dobrich	23	A. On or about August of 2004.
24	at that time period. She had indicated that she	24	Q. And whom did you contact?
		+-	
1	Page 63 would probably talk to the ADF at that particular	1	Page 65 A. Whatever their 800 number happened to be.
2	time is what I had heard and that being the	2	Q. And who did you speak to?
3	Anti-defamation League as opposed to the Alliance	3	A. Whoever happened to answer the telephone.
4	Defense Fund, so we did consider that there was a	4	Q. Did you thereafter correspond either
5	possibility.	5	personally or as a member of the Board with
6	Q. What's the Alliance Defense Fund?	6	representatives of the ADF?
7	A. The Alliance Defense Fund would be a	1 7	A. No.
8	Christian group in favor of family values, First	8	Q. Were you aware that the ADF represented
9	Amendment issues, what I would classify as more	9	that it represented the district in connection with
10	traditional American values.	10	this litigation?
11	Q. It's in favor of more traditional American	11	A. I believe there was a time period where the
12	values and First Amendment issues?	12	ADF was going to become involved with us, and then
13	A. Yes, sir. Religious freedom is	13	for a variety of reasons was unable to do so.
14	specifically the way they phrase it on their web	14	Q. When did you conclude that for a variety of
15	site.	15	reasons the ADF would be unable to represent the
16	Q. And how did you become to be familiar with	16	district in this litigation?
17	the ADF?	17	A. Some time in late summer of 2004.
18	A. The same way I was familiar with the ACLU,	18	Q. Late summer being August, September?
19	in what I read in news, what I read on the web	19	A. October, possibly early fall.
20	sites, and you would see a lot of times where the	20	Q. And you let the ADF know that they would be
21	ACLU was suing somebody where the ADF along with	21	representing you or did they say to you we can't
22	Rutherford, Pacifica and others would come in.	22	represent you?
23	Whatever side the ACLU was on the ADF, Pacifica,	23	A. At that particular point as an individual
24	Rutherford and some of the others would be on the	24	Board member I had stepped out of it. It was
		1	

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 66 whatever the district. I believe the district 1 2 handled it at that point. I had nothing to do with 3 4 Q. Okay, so let me just see if I can get the sequence of events down. The Board perceives that 5 6 there is the possibility of litigation in August of 7 2004, correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. In August of 204 you initiate a contact 10 with the Alliance Defense Fund? 11 A. Yes. 12 Over the course of August, September maybe 13 October of 2004 there are discussions with representatives of the Alliance Defense Fund having 14 15 to do with whether it would represent the district in connection with --16 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. With threatened litigation? 19 I'm going assume yes. A. And did you have any of those 20 conversations? 21 22 A. No. 23 Who did? Q. 24 A. Somebody at the School Board, excuse me,

Page 68 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. objection, stop answering the question. If you ant to ask if they made inquires to the ADF I have no objection to that, but you are asking questions that are beginning to elicit the substance of what those communications were and I'm generally instructing him, the witness, not to give answers even if your question didn't directly ask for the substance of the communications. MR. ALLINGHAM: On what basis? MR. GOSSELIN: Attorney/dient privilege. MR. ALLINGHAM: The witness has just testified that the ADF did not represent the district in connection with this litigation. MR. GOSSELIN: If the defendants had communications with the ADF for the purpose of possibly retaining the ADF to

Page 67

1 somebody at the district level who coordinated this,

- 2 but it was not me.
- 3 Q. Well, this is helpful to me because I guess
- I misunderstood the chains of command. When you I
- 5 say someone at the district level, do you know who
- 6 at the district level?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Can you give me the possibilities of the
- 9 persons who could have been -
- 10 A. Lois Hobbs. The materials that were sent
- 11 to me were fairly generic in nature and there were
- 12 things that I presented to my fellow Board members,
- 13 they were in turn passed to Mrs. Hobbs. And Mrs.
- 14 Hobbs would have reviewed them and in conjunction,
- 15 I'm assuming our attorney at the time, and with the
- broader policy of defense would have looked at it 16
- 17 and I know there was some correspondence relating to
- 18 this but I can't give you the specific disposition
- 19
- 20 Q. Correspondence relating to the question of
- 21 whether ADF would represent the district?
- 22 A. The correspondence that I was looking at
- 23 was the correspondence of the materials pertaining
- to the appropriateness of prayer --

Page 69

said in Wedding Crashers, erroneous, but we will just have to fight about that later.

represent them, those communications are

MR. ALLINGHAM: As Vince Vaughn

MR. GOSSELIN: Okay.

4 So that we have the factual predicate 5 clear, the district never retained the ADF to

represent it, is that correct?

protected.

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

MR. ALLINGHAM: No, I'm entitled

to the factual predicate, Jason.

MR. GOSSELIN: I don't want to

have a speaking objection. If you want me to talk to you outside of the presence of

the witness I'm happy to do that.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Nope, I want to

get an answer to my question.

MR. GOSSELIN: Can you

kindly read back the question.

Q. Oh, I will move things along and I will 18 19 just pose the question again, sorry. Has the Board 20 ever retained the ADF as its counsel?

A. Not do my knowledge.

- 22 You referred in an answer that was cut off
- 23 by Mr. Gosselin earlier to correspondence that you
- 24 reviewed that had to do with, and then you were cut

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

	•		
	Page 70	l	Page 72
1	off, what is it that that correspondence had to do	1	A. Okay.
2	with?	2	Q. Did you provide the ADF correspondence or
3	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't	3	materials to your fellow Board members before or
4	answer that. Next question.	4	after that August 24th meeting?
5	MR. ALLINGHAM: On the basis of?	5	A. My recollection would be that it would be
6	MR. GOSSELIN: What we talked	6	at that meeting and latest the meeting following.
7	about before.	7	Q. And did you consider the ADF material, this
8	MR. ALLINGHAM: Attorney/client	8	is you personally, Dr. Hattier in connection with
9	privilege?	9	you decision whether to adopt the School Board
10	MR. GOSSELIN: Yes.	10	Prayer Policy?
11	MR. ALLINGHAM: Not withstanding	11	A. Nope.
12	the witness' recent answer?	12.	Q. You gave no consideration to those
13	MR. GOSSELIN: Do you want me to	13	materials?
14	say it again? If the Board or Board	14	A. No.
15	members had communications with the ADF	15	Q. Is that because you thought that they were
16	about the possibility of retaining them,	16	not relevant?
17	and for some reason they ultimately did not	17	A. No.
18	end up retaining the Board, the protection	18	Q. Why did you give no consideration to them
19	applies. If I'm wrong and you can, you've	19	having solicited the materials from the ADF?
20	got other than your say so, if you've got	20	A. Because the issues at that time had started
21	something that tells me I'm wrong I will	21	to stray from some of the things that the ADF had
22	reconsider it and we can deal with this	22	sent us, and at the time we were looking at the
23	line of questions while Dr. Hattier is	23	Board Prayer Policy itself. There was a other
24	here. If you have got another Vince Vaughn	24	material that I had looked at that I felt was more
	,		
	Page 71	-	Page 73
1	Page 71 that supports your erroneous statement,	1	Page 73
1 2		1 2	
	that supports your erroneous statement,	_	relevant.
2	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it.	2	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material?
2	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to	2	Prelevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a
2 3 4	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think	2 3 4	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger.
2 3 4 5	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct.	2 3 4 5	 Prelevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to
2 3 4 5 6	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did	2 3 4 5 6	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the
2 3 4 5 6 7	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to	2 3 4 5 6 7	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members. Q. How did you do that by fax or by hand?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words slightly different than I would as a member of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members. Q. How did you do that by fax or by hand? A. Hand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words slightly different than I would as a member of the general public. As I stated, I believe that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members. Q. How did you do that by fax or by hand? A. Hand. Q. That was at a Board meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words slightly different than I would as a member of the general public. As I stated, I believe that the issue had strayed somewhat from what the ADF had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members. Q. How did you do that by fax or by hand? A. Hand. Q. That was at a Board meeting? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words slightly different than I would as a member of the general public. As I stated, I believe that the issue had strayed somewhat from what the ADF had given us at that time. You know, a lot of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members. Q. How did you do that by fax or by hand? A. Hand. Q. That was at a Board meeting? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know which Board meeting? A. Again on or about August of 2004. Q. I can identify some meetings for you so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words slightly different than I would as a member of the general public. As I stated, I believe that the issue had strayed somewhat from what the ADF had given us at that time. You know, a lot of the things that they had were not dealing with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members. Q. How did you do that by fax or by hand? A. Hand. Q. That was at a Board meeting? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know which Board meeting? A. Again on or about August of 2004. Q. I can identify some meetings for you so that we get some bench marks. On August 24th there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words slightly different than I would as a member of the general public. As I stated, I believe that the issue had strayed somewhat from what the ADF had given us at that time. You know, a lot of the things that they had were not dealing with the prayer issue itself before School Board meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members. Q. How did you do that by fax or by hand? A. Hand. Q. That was at a Board meeting? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know which Board meeting? A. Again on or about August of 2004. Q. I can identify some meetings for you so that we get some bench marks. On August 24th there was a meeting at which many hundreds of people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words slightly different than I would as a member of the general public. As I stated, I believe that the issue had strayed somewhat from what the ADF had given us at that time. You know, a lot of the things that they had were not dealing with the prayer issue itself before School Board meeting. Q. Did you get one packet of materials from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that supports your erroneous statement, I'm happy to listen to it. MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to argue the legal issue now. I don't think that you are correct. Q. Is it correct, Dr. Hattier that you did review correspondence from the ADF relating to issues in this lawsuit, yes or no? A. Yes. Q. And was that correspondence reviewed by the Board as well? A. I'm going to say I passed it on. I made photocopies of the materials and passed it on to the other Board members. Q. How did you do that by fax or by hand? A. Hand. Q. That was at a Board meeting? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you know which Board meeting? A. Again on or about August of 2004. Q. I can identify some meetings for you so that we get some bench marks. On August 24th there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relevant. Q. Oh, okay, what was that material? A. That material had been presented to us by a Mr. Thomas Neuberger. Q. In your earlier answer you referred to Mr. Neuberger's material as more relevant than the ADF material? A. That's correct. Q. It might just be nuance of speech, but does that mean that you considered the ADF materials relevant? A. No, I think the ADF material at that time was substantively different. I watch my words because I realize as an attorney you use words slightly different than I would as a member of the general public. As I stated, I believe that the issue had strayed somewhat from what the ADF had given us at that time. You know, a lot of the things that they had were not dealing with the prayer issue itself before School Board meeting. Q. Did you get one packet of materials from the ADF?

1

4

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

7

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 76

Page 77

Page 74

Hattier Exhibit 2 a document bearing Bates

numbers BPD640 through 642.(WHEREUPON, Hattier Ext

(WHEREUPON, Hattier Exhibit 2 was

marked for identification)

- 5 Q. What we have now marked as Hattier Exhibit
- 6 2, is that the package of materials from the ADF
- 7 that you referred to in your earlier answers?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. This one is dated April 1, 2004. Am I
- 10 correct that you got a subsequent package of
- 11 materials some time in the August time frame?
- 12 A. All I can recall is it was a different
- 13 package of material. I have seen this document
- 14 before.
- 15 Q. I'm going to ask you about that in a
- 16 minute. I'm just trying to get the sequence of
- 17 events.
- 18 A. Understood.
- Q. I don't for purposes of this question want
- 20 you to tell me what was in that subsequent package
- 21 from the ADF, but can you tell me generically what
- 22 it was. Was it legal memoranda, was it copies of
- 23 cases, was it correspondence from representatives of
- 24 the ADF, or was it something else? Can you describe

Correct.

- 2 Q. And a couple of answers ago you told me
- 3 that you would consider this April 1, 2004 Alliance
- 4 Defense Fund memo subsequent to the package that you
- 5 were discussing earlier?
- 6 A. Correct.
 - Q. So, am I correct that the package from the
- 8 ADF that you were discussing earlier was dated and
- 9 sent to you earlier than April 1, 2004?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you get Hattier Exhibit 2 on or about
- 12 April 1,2004?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. When did you receive Hattier Exhibit 2?
- 15 A. My recollection is the November to
- 16 Christmas time frame of 2004.
- Q. If you look at the second page of Hattier
- 18 Exhibit 2, you will see that there is a fax line on
- 19 it August 23, 2004 1:08 p.m.?
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Does that refresh your recollection about
- 22 when you received this memorandum?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. You are confident that it was in the

Page 75

1

2

- 1 for me generically what was included in that ADF
- 2 package?
- 3 A. What I recall of the, and you are using the
- 4 word subsequent, this to me would be the subsequent.
- 5 Okay, this would be the one that would follow what I
- 6 got from them. This was not the original
- 7 information that I got from them.
- 8 Q. So, this came after the package that you
- 9 got from the ADF?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. This is April 1, 2004?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. The meeting with lots of people at it was
- 14 August 24, 2004?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. So, you contacted the ADF some time before
- 17 April 1, 2004?
- 18 A. Correct. No, no back up. No restate your
- 19 question please, I'm jumping to conclusions and I
- 20 apologize.
- 21 Q. I may just have misunderstood.
- 22 A. I may have as well, sir.
- 23 Q. We have Hattier Exhibit 2 which is dated
- 24 April 1, 2004?

- November December time frame?
- A. That is what I recall, yes, sir.
- 3 Q. What enables you to place your receipt of
- 4 this memorandum in that time frame?
- 5 A. Because what I recall is that we had
- 6 another issue come up of some type, and for some
- 7 reason this came across my fax line. That's as much
- 8 as I can tell you, I don't remember.
- 9 Q. What was the issue that came up?
- 10 A. I don't even remember that. I just
- 11 remember that this is one of the things that came
- 12 across around that time period.
- 13 Q. You don't know who sent it to you?
- 14 A. My guess is that it came from the ADF.
- 15 Q. So, the ADF was still in contact were you
- 16 in the November December time frame?
- 17 A. I'm assuming that's where it came from,
- 18 that is an assumption, however.
- 19 Q. And that was after the final decision had
- 20 be made not to retain the ADF in September or
- 21 October, correct?
- 22 A. I believe so. I can't answer that 100
- 23 percent, though.
 - MR. ALLINGHAM: One more time with

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

	Page 78		Page 80
1	that predicate, Jason, will you permit the	1	the August 2004 time frame, correct?
2	witness to answer questions about the	2	A. Yes, sir.
3	substance of that package.	3	Q. Do you know what that package addressed?
4	MR. GOSSELIN: Can you give me a	4	A. As I recall that was a general discussion
5	moment to talk	5	of the Supreme Court cases that would have had a
6	MR. ALLINGHAM: Sure.	6	bearing on it.
7	MR. GOSSELIN: To try to flesh	7	Q. On it being what?
8	this out privately?	8	A. On it being the issue that had been
9	MR. ALLINGHAM: Sure, and so that	9	presented to the School Board and at that time the
10	we are on the record about this,	10	major issue was prayer at graduations. And the
11	Mr. Gosselin wants to talk to you I think	11	materials that I got back, there are three specific
12	about some timing. You are under Delaware	12	things that I remember, Lee versus Weitzman, Santa
13	rules prohibited from discussing the	13	Fe and Marsh versus Chambers. And there were very
14	substance of your testimony other than as	14	general discussions of that material which again I
15	we've discussed to figure out timing here.	15 16	made copies of and passed to the other School Board members.
16	You can have that discussion but I'm	17	Q. Okay, so here is the sequence, you perceive
17	entitled to ask about it under the Delaware	18	there to be a danger of litigation on the issue
18	rules. So, either discuss the timing and	19	having to do with graduation prayer?
19 20	that's all I will ask you about or MR. GOSSELIN: What Delaware rule	20	A. Yes.
21	applies here that would prohibit me from	21	O. You call the ADF or e-mail them one or the
22	having an attorney/client protected	22	other, contact the ADF?
23	discussion about whether the question you	23	A. Correct.
24	are about to ask is protected by the	24	Q. And speak to someone there who says well
	Page 79		Page 81
1	attorney/client privilege?	1	why don't we send you some materials?
2	MR. ALLINGHAM: None, if that's	.2	A. Yes.
3	what you want to ask about you are entitled	3	Q. And then they send you some materials?
4	to ask that.	4	A. Yes.
5	MR. GOSSELIN: That's all I want	5	Q. Which constituted three cases and a
6	to do. I want to flesh this out privately	6 7	discussion of those cases?
7	so I can decide whether to maintain the	8	A. Essentially that is what I recall, yes. Q. Okay, and do you have a copy of that
. 8	objection. MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's go off the	9	package still in your file?
10	record for, whatever it takes.	10	A. No, sir.
11	MR. GOSSELIN: A minute.	11	Q. What did you do with it?
12	MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the	12	A. Pitched it same as I do most things.
13	record at 11:16 a.m	13	Q. Was that package considered distributed
14	(WHEREUPON an off-the-record	14	to Board members?
15	discussion was held)	15	A. It was distributed to the Board members, I
16	MS. DUPHILY: We are back on the	16	made copies of it at my expense to that they would
17	record at approximately 11:26 a.m	17	have some better understanding of what, again I'm
18	Q. With some help from Mr. Gosselin on	18	not an attorney, let us be clear about that, but as
40			
19	clarifying his position, I have a few more questions	19	a lay person what I understand.
20		19 20	a lay person what I understand. Q. And did you simply distribute the ADF

21 package or did you provide a summary of it as you

A. No, sir I simply provided the package.

Q. And did you thereafter hove any further

22 understood it?

23

24

(302)655-0477

21 Exhibit 2 which we've established you received in

Q. You also received a package from the ADF in

22 November, December of 2004?

A. Or thereabouts, yes sir.

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

4

5

7

11

Page 85

Dobrich, et al. **Donald Hattier**

2

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 82

conversations with ADF representatives? 1

- A. Not that I can recall.
- E-mail correspondence? 3
- Not that I can recall.
- Q. And I take it that you have no light to 5
- shed on why an ADF representative would have said 6
- that he represented the Indian River School District 7
- in connection with this litigation? 8
- 9 As I understand it the ADF had expressed a
- willingness to work with us, but that they were not 10
- able to find a Delaware based attorney that could 11
- work with us. That's as I understand it issue. I 12
- think the closest they came was Kentucky, and don't 13
- 14 ask me why that sticks in my memory.
- Q. I thought that's where they were, but I 15
- 16 might be wrong. Okay, I've asked you questions
- 17 about your communications with ADF representatives.
- Do you know of your own knowledge whether there were 18
- any communications following the receipt of the 19
- 20 August package, let's call it, between any
- 21 representative of the district and any
- representative of the Alliance Defense Fund? 22
- 23 A. I believe that there were.
- 24 Written communications or telephone

Page 84

- Yes, sir. A.
- Do you view prayer in school during the
- schools, during the school day as consistent with 3
- traditional American values? 4
 - If you are a student taking a test, yes A.
- 6 sir.
 - Q. Do you view district or teacher sponsored or led prayer in school as consistent with traditional American values.

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't answer that.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Attorney/client privilege?

MR. GOSSELIN: No. You can try to bring in the rest of the issues in the case every time we have one of these go off on a tangent --

MR. ALLINGHAM: Relevance or privilege?

> MR. GOSSELIN: The court order. MR. ALLINGHAM: Relevance?

MR. GOSSELIN: Well, no, the court

order, there is a court order describing what the topics of discover can be in this

Page 83

- conversations?
- A. I'm making an assumption her but I believe 2
- 3 that there were both.
- Q. And I think we have been over this already,
- your best guess is that it would be Miss Hobbs who 5
- had those conversations or those communications?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Now, in describing the Alliance Defense
- Fund you told me earlier that you view them as being 9
- 10 allied with more traditional American values, do you
- recall that? 11
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 14 That's a good question. I see them as
- 15 supporting more of what, certainly what I grew up
- 16 with as a young person in the 1950s, and just
- 17 supporting more of a family unity, more traditional
- marriage, more traditional child rearing, the First 18
- 19 Amendment I think there are probably more in favor
- 20 of things like prayer at legislative sessions, board
- 21 meetings opening with things like that. 22 Do you view opening the School Board
- 23 meetings with a prayer as consistent with
- traditional American values as you understand them?

phase of the litigation. 1

- Q. Did the district ever retain Mr. Neuberger
- 3 to be its attorney?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Did the district ever retain the Rutherford
- 6 Institute to be it's attorney?
 - A. No, sir.
- 8 Did the Board ever, Board of the district
- ever consider retaining either Mr. Neuberger or the 9
- 10 Rutherford Institute as its attorney?
 - Yes, sir.
- 12 When was that? Q.
- 13 A. Again time frame on or about September, 14 October of 2004. Possibly as late as November.
 - And I take it the decision was made not to
- 15 16 retain Mr. Neuberger and the Rutherford Institute?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Tell me how it came to be that they did not Q.
- 19 represent you?
- 20 A. Mr. Neuberger chose not to represent us.
- 21 Q. Did he tell you why?
- 22 Yes, sir. A.
- 23 What did he say?
 - He felt that we were not a unified body --

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

24

A. Yes, he did.

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 86 Page 88 to speak to somebody who was more qualified or well let me back up. Mr. Neuberger came and spoke 2 to us one evening about all of this. He came competent in that particular area of law. 3 extremely well prepared. He came prepared with what 3 Q. So, I take it you didn't ask Mr. Griffin I considered to be a lot of precedents and other 4 for advise on this litigation? material. A lot of discussions on the issue, and he 5 We asked his opinion. gave us some good information. He essentially gave 6 What did he tell you? 7 7 us the choice of accepting him or rejecting him that MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't 8 evenings. 8 answer that. Q. Did Mr. Griffin distribute any materials to 9 Now, in my family my father and mother 9 10 always taught me that is somebody puts it to you 10 the Board on this issue? that way that basically you probably want to walk 11 11 A. I believe that he did send a memorandum of 12 away from it for at least 24 hours. I don't buy 12. sorts to Mrs. Hobbs which was photocopied to us. 13 anything on the spot. I walk away from it, I will 13 But I think you discarded your copy? think about it for 24 hours, if it's a good deal we 14 14 Absolutely. will come back to it. That apparently in my opinion 15 I don't want you to tell me what it was. offended Mr. Neuberger and by the following day he 16 16 but do you recall the substance of the advise that 17 had withdrawn his offer. This is as I understand 17 Mr. Griffin gave the Board? 18 it. 18 A. Yes. 19 O. Seems a little imperious. 19 Q. I apologize, I'm just making a record, Mr. 20 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. 20 Gosselin is going to object. What was the substance 21 No comment. 21 of the advice that Mr. Griffin gave the Board on the 22 Q. You mentioned that Mr. Neuberger came very 22 issues presented by this lawsuit?

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

		Page 87				
1	Q.	Were the distributed to the Board?				
2	A.	Yes, they were.				
3	Q.	Do you still a copy of those materials that				
4	he distributed?					
5	A.	No, sir.				
6	Q.	We talked about the Board's consideration				
7	of retaining the Alliance Defense Fund or the					
8	Rutherford Institute. The Board had an attorney at					
9	that time for regular Board matters, is that					
10	correct?					
11	A.	Yes, sir.				
12	Q.	Who was that?				
13	A.	That was Mr. Jim Griffin.				
14	Q.	And did the Board consult Mr. Griffin on				
15	the issues presented by this lawsuit?					
16	A.	Yes, the Board did.				
17	Q.	But ultimately decided not to use				
18	Mr. Griffin for this purpose?					
19	A.	That is correct.				
20	Q.	Why was that?				
21	A.	I think the general feeling was that we are				
22	deali	ng with First Amendment constitutional law and				
23	3 Mr. Griffin is a general attorney and much like you					
24	would not see me for low back surgery, the idea was					

well prepared, did he bring a package of materials?

don't answer that. Is there a good faith Page 89 basis for asking a question, asking a witness what the attorney told him in connection with issues that are in the lawsuit? I'm not aware of any case that says you can ask --MR. ALLINGHAM: Sure, sure --MR. GOSSELIN: What? MR. ALLINGHAM: If the lawyer did not represent the district in connection with the advice given I think that, you know, if Dr. Hattier called me and said hey what do you think about School Board prayer and I said you know here is what I think, I don't think that's a privileged communication. MR. GOSSELIN: But other than, like I said before your say so, because I'm not trying to be cute here, if I've got this wrong I will reconsider my objection, but if you've got it wrong I don't think you should continue to be asking the same questions that directly implicate attorney/client privilege. MR. ALLINGHAM: Well I don't think

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection,

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Dobrich, et al. Donald Hattier

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 90

there is any danger here since you are asserting the privilege and the issue will be teed up with respect to each piece of legal advice that we need to tee up. I mean it's time consuming to the extent that it takes five or ten minutes, but it requires a record in order to bring a motion to compel.

So, I don't think there is any harm, the witness is not going to testify, you are going to instruct. But the answer is I do believe there is a good faith basis for taking for advice given by a person who has not been retained.

MR. GOSSELIN: Okay. One of the things, as long as we are still talking about this, I called one of my colleagues on the break and asked him to get me a cite and he just e-mailed me a cite, Bevel Bressler & Schulman 3rd Circuit 805(f) 2nd 120 page 124, and the quoted language that my colleague just e-mailed me is that the attorney/client privilege protects conversations between prospective clients

Page 92

someone to get cases on the other side.

MR. GOSSELIN: All of your people are
here, who could you call?

MR. ALLINGHAM: Oh.

Q. Just to clarify the timing of the advice from Mr. Griffin, there is an assertion of privilege with respect to a document, that means that your lawyers have declined to produce to us a document having to do with advice from Mr. Griffin on a day of prayer. Do you recall a discussion about a day of prayer at the Board meeting?

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, don't answer that.

MR. ALLINGHAM: I just want to establish Jason whether it's a different document, that's all. It will take about 30 seconds. I'm not asking what the discussion was.

Q. I just want to know there is a document that's been identified by your lawyers as having to do as representing Mr. Griffin's advice on a day of prayer in the Indian River Schools. Do you recall a document different from that that Mr. Griffin provided to the Board having to do with advice on

Page 91

and counsel as well as communications which retain counsel.

Like I said before if I'm wrong and I don't intend to have a oral argument with you right here, but I think that every single time that this comes up for to you ask a series of six questions and for me to instruct him not to answer is wasting a lot of time. I would rather just get the judge on the phone right now and get a ruling so that we don't all have to come back here if I am wrong and we don't have to stay here all day if you are wrong.

MR. ALLINGHAM: With respect,
Jason I don't think that we are going to
stay here all day because of sequence of
questions that I'm going to ask. I believe
I've now exhausted all the lawyers.
Although I might be wrong, that the Board
consulted on these issues, so we have the
record that we are required to have, and we
can evaluate our respective positions. I'm
not going to have an oral argument either
and I'm not going to provide you or call

Page 93

the issues presented in this litigation?

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. I don't know whether, it's not an instruction not to answer. Form, I'm a little unclear. I don't know that he has established that he recalls the document you are referring to.

MR. ALLINGHAM: That's fair. Let me do it one step at a time.

9 Q. On the privilege list provided by your
10 lawyers there is identified a document that relates
11 to advice from Mr. Griffin or his firm on the issue
12 of a day of prayer in the Indian River School
13 District. So, by way of background do you recall
14 any discussion at the Board level of a day of prayer
15 at the Indian River School District schools?

A. No, I do not.

MR. ALLINGHAM: All right, well then I agree I don't think I can establish anymore.

Q. This goes back to some questions I asked
earlier. You told me that you had looked up prayers
that Congress had used to solemnize its sessions on
the Internet?

A. Yes.

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 94 Page 96 taken) 1 Q. Do you recall that? 2 2 Yes. MS. DUPHILY: Back on the record A. 3 3 Am I correct that those prayers are then at 11:46 a.m.. 4 Dr. Hattier, have you had a chance to look prayers from recent years, as I understand that they 4 at Hattier Exhibit 3? maintain those prayers only for a period of four or 5 6 five years going backward? 6 A. Yes I have, sir. 7 7 A. When you look up prayer on the Internet, Did you receive a copy of that letter on or 8 about March 10, 2005? there are a wide variety of sources to print things 8 9 and recall them. So whether this came from the 9 Not that I recall. Congressional Archives or the Congressional Record I 10 O. Did you alter your practice with respect to 10 11 don't recall. They would have come from a wide 11 document retention at any time between March 10, 12 variety of areas. In general when I do look up 12. 2005 and the time earlier this year when you 13 testified earlier you received a letter? 13 prayers I will look up everything from President George Bush's National Prayer Day comments or 14 No, sir. 15 opening comments at other things. I will look up 15 Having to do with document retention? 16 what George Washington may have said, Thomas 16 A. No, sir. Let me back up. If you're asking Jefferson, James Madison, Martin Luther King. I 17 did I preserve things related to the lawsuit in 17 18 generally reach to a wide variety of sources, 18 terms of subpoenas, et cetera, we always save those, 19 Congress merely being one of them. 19 okay but other than that I didn't really feel that I 20 Q. When you told me that the prayers that you 20 had much that was worth retaining. 21 had reviewed that Congress had used to solemnize its 21 Q. Okay. 22 22 proceedings seemed to you to be effective ways to MR. GOSSELIN: Did you send this 23 solemnize the proceedings, do you recall whether the 23 to the - this is a letter to you to from prayers that you reviewed in forming that conclusion 24 Nathan Kellum, I'm not even sure I know who Page 95 Page 97 Nathan Kellum is. 1 were sectarian or non-sectarian? 1 2 A. In the sense that whether they mention 2 MR. ALLINGHAM: From me to Nathan 3 Jesus Christ or not, no I do not. But I think you 3 Kellum. could get some arguments with folks of different 4 MR. GOSSELIN: Right, from you to 5 5 religions as to whether sectarian if you mention God Nathan Kellum. Are you the one who 6 would suit an individual of the Muslim faith where 6 actually sent, it says cc James Griffin, 7 it's Allah is not God, I believe they are probably 7 Superintendent Lois Hobbs, Assistant 8 all sectarian. So I don't know that that's a good 8 Superintendent Earl Savage and Indian River 9 question. 9 School Board members. Did you actually 10 MR. ALLINGHAM: Well, many of my 10 copy those individuals? 11 questions suffer from that weakness. I'm 11 MR. ALLINGHAM: I copied Griffin. 12 going to mark as, or ask to have marked as 12 I believe that I copied the letter to the 13 Hattier Exhibit 3 a document which is a 13 School Board members but we would just have 14

14 letter from me to Nathan Kellum with copies 15 to Mr. Griffin, Miss Hobbs, Assistant 16 Superintendent Savage and each of the 17 Indian River School Board members. 18 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 3 was 19 marked for identification) 20 MR. GOSSELIN: Can I get a copy? 21 MR. ALLINGHAM: Yeah, I'm sorry. 22 MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the 23 record at 11:44 a.m.. 24 (WHEREUPON a brief recess was

I believe that I copied the letter to the School Board members but we would just have to look at the receipts for that.

MR. GOSSELIN: Okay.

Q. I'm not going to mark this as an exhibit,
Dr. Hattier, I'm just going to show you a pleading in the case which is called Plaintiff's First
Request for the Production of Documents from Defendants Indian River School District and Indian River School Board?

A. Okay.

Q. You can take as much time as you want to to

look at it, but you probably don't need to?

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

3

11

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 98

- 1 A. What's the date on this?
- 2 Q. April 20, 2006 on the last page?
- 3 A. Okay, thank you. Okay.
- 4 Q. Have you ever seen that document before?
- 5 A. I believe I did and it came as a request
- 6 for any information that we may or may not have had.
- 7 Q. We being you?
- 8 A. We being the Board in this particular case,
- 9 and me specific, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And I'm correct that you individually
- 11 searched your files to see whether you had anything
- 12 in response to that?
- 13 A. Yes, sir I did.
- 14 Q. And did you also search the hard drives of
- 15 your computers?
- 16 A. I pretty much know that's on the hard
- 17 drives of my computers and there wasn't anything
- 18 there.
- 19 Q. The answer then is no, but you are
- 20 confident that you wouldn't find anything if you did
- 21 search?

23

1

6

8

- 22 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the Board's policy
- 24 entitled Procedure for Audio Tapes?

Page 100

- Q. And what prompted the Board to consider and
- 2 adopt this policy?
 - A. We started the process about a year to
- 4 approximately two years ago of putting all minutes
- 5 on the Internet, of putting all of our policies on
- 6 the Internet, that was actually ongoing even at the
- 7 time that all of this started in 2004 and we felt
- 8 that once it's on the Internet and once everything
- 9 is typed up, you know, that once it's all out there
- 10 already what's the purpose of saving the tapes.

Most of the thing that happen at School

- 12 Board meeting, if you have ever attended one, are
- 13 incredibly dry, incredibly lengthy and it's pretty
- 14 minutia. You know, if people want to sit around and
- 15 listen to it, I suppose they are welcome to. When I
- 16 ran for the Board the first in the year 2000 and it
- 17 was somewhat mind numbing and we felt that it was
- 18 probably okay to just put it out on the Internet.
- 19 We had had many requests from members of
- 20 the community in terms of full disclosure and
- 21 openness to put things on the net. I think you've
- 22 seen our policy manual, it's relatively thick, and a
- 23 piece at a time over a two year period we did put it
- 24 on the net, we put the minutes on the net so that

Page 99

- A. No. sir.
- 2 Q. This is policy BDDG, at least on the
- 3 district's web site at least that's how it's
- 4 designated and I will show it to you?
- 5 A. Okay.
 - MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's mark this as
- 7 Hattier Exhibit 4.
 - (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 4 was
- 9 marked for identification)
- 10 A. Okay
- 11 Q. Does that refresh your recollection?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Hattier Exhibit 4 reflects a policy of the
- 14 Board which reads, the purpose of audio tapes is to
- 15 assist the Board of Education secretary in creating
- 16 the minutes of the Board meetings, therefore, 60
- 17 calendar after the Board of Education has approved
- 18 such minutes the audio tapes may be destroyed. This
- 19 policy was adopted according to its, the lower left
- 20 hand adoption date on June 27, 2006?
- 21 A. Uh-hum.
- Q. Is that accurate, is that when you adopted
- 23 the policy?
- 24 A. If that's what it says, yes, sir.

Page 101

- folks could look at it without having to come down
- 2 to central office to get it. To me that was just a
- 3 continuation of that.
 - Q. Well, first of all I have served on the
- 5 School Board?

4

- 6 A. Good.
- 7 Q. So I'm aware of the nature of the topics
- 8 discussed at School Board meetings. And secondly
- 9 that the minutes are available on the web in more
- 10 convenient form than for someone to have to come
- 11 down to the district offices and get the hard copy,
- 12 doesn't really address, does it, why you thought you
- 13 needed a policy permitting the destruction of the
- 14 tapes which are different from the minutes?
- 15 A. I can't comment on that.
- 16 Q. Did you have any other reason for
- 17 destroying the tapes?
- 18 A. As I stated we have a ton of material and I
- 19 believe that it was simply to help reduce some of
- 20 the storage and get it all on electronic media.
- 21 That's my opinion.
- 22 Q. the tapes of course are the most accurate
- 23 record of what occurred at a Board meeting, is that
- 24 correct?

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 102

1	A.	That '	would	be my	assu	mption	as	well.	
							_		

- Q. Do you know when this policy was first read
- 3 to the Board?
- 4 A. If it's according to standard policy
- 5 approximately either two to three months prior, so
- 6 March is when it would have first come up, more than
- 7 likely.
- 8 Q. Was there any reason for this particular
- 9 policy to be adopted on a more expedited basis than
- 10 that?

11 A. I'm not aware that it was on a more

- 12 expedited basis.
- 13 MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's mark as
- 14 Hattier Exhibit 5 a copy of the Board
- 15 minutes of June 20, 2006.
- 16 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 5 was
- 17 marked for identification.)
- 18 Q. Hattier Exhibit 5 bears Ms. Hobbs signature
- 19 on page PR448, it's the tenth page of the minutes,
- 20 do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes, I do in.
- 22 Q. And does that mean that this version of the
- 23 minutes is the final version of the minutes?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

1

Page 104

Page 105

- Mr. Walls asked that these policies be placed on the
- 2 agenda for the special Board meeting on June 27
- 3 since that would be his last meeting.
- 4 Mr. Walls had been chairman of our policy
- 5 committee for many years and I believe he didn't
- 6 want to leave anything unfinished left over. So he
- 7 did not single out BDDG, he basically went with all
- 8 of the rest of them. It was a group item. And if
- 9 you look down below that where it says first and
- second reading, that was on student attendance and
- 11 the reason that one was accepted in the first and
- 12 second is that the changes changing to the policy
- 13 were minor, there was some small word changes. You
- 14 have that I'm sure.
- 15 Q. I do and policies IN1, IND, KLA and BDDG
- 16 were not minor changes, correct, these were
- 17 significant changes to existing policies or new
- 18 polices?
- 19 A. Yes, or new polices I believe that's
- 20 correct.
- 21 Q. Did the Board give any consideration to
- 22 whether more substantive consideration should be
- 23 given than the seven day period that apparently.
- 24 these policies were given?

Page 103

- 1 A. No, I can't comment on that.
 - Q. But as you recall it it was Mr. Walls'
- 3 request to accommodate the fact that the June 27
- 4 meeting would be his last meeting that led to the
- 5 expedited treatment of the policies?
 - A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. All right, I'm going to come back to that
- 8 in a minutes we have got to dig up another document.
- 9 But in the meantime let's turn to something else.
- 10 Let's turn to a different topic. You are well,
- 11 it's here.

12 MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's mark as

13 Hattier Exhibit 6 a document which is

a letter from Richard Horvath to Jason

15 Gosselin dated July 7, 2006.

16 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 6 was

17 marked for identification.)

18 Q. Have you ever seen Hattier Exhibit 6

19 before?

- 20 A. No, si
- 21 Q. You referred earlier in the deposition to a
- 22 communication relating to the retention of
- 23 documents?
- 24 A. Yes.

raye 103

2

6

14

Q. Okay, if you look on page six of the Board minutes you will see that about two thirds of the

- 2 minutes you will see that about two thirds of the3 way down the page Mr. Walls is recorded as having
- 4 presented policy BDDG audio tape retention for a
- 5 first reading?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. At the June 20, 2006 --
- 8 MR. GOSSELIN: What page are we 9 on?
- 9 011:
- 10 A. Page six.
- 11 MR. ALLINGHAM: Page six, two
- thirds of the way down, Jason, are you with me?
- 14
- 14 MR. GOSSELIN: Uh-hum.
- 15 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt that in
- 16 fact that the first reading of policy BDDG was at
- 17 the June 20, 2006 Board meeting?
- 18 A. No, I have no reason to doubt that at all,
- 19 sir.
- 20 Q. Do you have any recollection as to why
- 21 policy BDDG was finally adopted seven days later as
- 22 opposed to the two to three month process that you
- 23 described for me a minute ago?
- 24 A. I believe if you read the next sentence,

1

6

8

11

23

Dobrich, et al. Donald Hattier

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 106

- 1 Q. Did that communication, you don't have to
- 2 tell me what it was, but did it come from
- 3 Mr. Gosselin's firm?
- 4 A. Probably.
 - Q. And what did you do to retain evidence or
- 6 documents in response to that request?
- 7 A. Anything that related to the ongoing
- 8 litigation was saved.
- 9 Q. Did you review or did you take any steps
- 10 thereafter to preserve any e-mail communications or
- 11 posts that you may have relating to issues in this
- 12 litigation?

5

- 13 A. In terms of what Mr. Gosselin has sent me
- 14 as far as know that's still existing, excuse me, I
- 15 apologize.
- 16 Q. Fair enough, and I'm not asking, even I
- 17 will agree that's not --
- 18 A. As far as I know it's still on my computer.
- 19 Q. That's communications with Mr. Gosselin and
- 20 his colleagues?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. To the extent that any other e-mail
- 23 communications or posts relate to the issues in this
- 24 lawsuit did you preserve them?

Page 108

- Q. You have been a member of the Indian River
- 2 School Board since 2002?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And that means that you've been re-elected
- 5 once, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. In 2005?
 - A. 2005, thereabouts.
- 9 Q. Am I correct that you hold no other
- 10 position in the district?
 - A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. Do you serve on any other school boards?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Have you ever served on any other school
- 15 boards?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. This is what's called a predicate question,
- 18 are you an active member of any political party?
- 19 A. The Republicans hold me on their rolls, if
- 20 you will, in terms of being active, no.
- 21 Q. The 2005 election came up after the issues
- 22 in this litigation, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Did you campaign for re-election to the

Page 107

- 1 A. I don't think there have been any.
 - Q. You have not posted to the WMGD forum
- 3 since --

2

- 4 A. Whatever is on the WMGD forum is already
- 5 there, they maintain their own copy, and besides
- 6 when you post it on there you are not posting it on
- 7 your own computer, you are posting it on theirs.
- 8 So, no I don't retain copies of it, I just wrote it
- 9 on there.
- 10 Q. Well, I guess I understand what you've
- 11 done, but you do understand that a communication to
- 12 a forum such as the WMGD forum is a communication
- 13 relating to the topics in this lawsuit?
- 14 A. In the sense that that's a legal issue then 15 perhaps I have erred.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 MR. ALLINGHAM: And Jason, you 18 said this off the record, you've retained
- said this on the record, you've retained
- 19 all the tapes?
- 20 MR. GOSSELIN: Yeah, all of the
- 21 tapes have been preserved. I only had one
- 22 conversation with Janet Hearn about this
- 23 policy, everything has been preserved.
- 24 MR. ALLINGHAM: Great, okay.

Page 109

- 1 School Board using any of your position on any of
- 2 the issues in this litigation as a -
- 3 A. People would ask what my position was and I
- 4 would tell them. In terms of making speeches going
- 5 out in public, what I call the rubber chicken
- 6 circuit, no we did none of that. It was somewhat
- 7 unusual in that regard, that there were no public
- 8 appearances of any type that I remember.
- 9 Q. Really not even I don't know, no Rotary?
- 10 A. No Rotary.
- 11 Q. No Lions Clubs?
- 12 A. No Lions Clubs, nope.
- 13 Q. Have you given active consideration to
- 14 running for any other office?
- 15 A. Zero.
- 16 Q. Mr. Gosselin told me before this deposition
- 17 began in response to an interrogatory question that
- 18 we asked that you would describe your religion as
- 19 Christian, is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Any particular denomination?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. Do you attend church?
- 24 A. No, sir.

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 110

- 1 Do you think that prayer is important?
- 2 Absolutely.
- 3 Do you think that everyone has the right to Q.
- 4 pray?
- 5 Yes, sir. A.
- 6 Do you think that everyone has the right to
- 7 pray even if they will be interpreted as speaking
- for others in the offering of their prayers? 8
- 9 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 10 A. At what point does my right to free speech
- start and stop? So, if I'm offering a prayer for 11
- 12 you, let's say, that I pray that you go home safely
- 13 and that you do not have an accident, all righty, I
- personally don't see anything wrong with that. So,
- I'm not quite sure the question that you are asking,
- 16 sir.
- 17 Q. I understand your point. You have been
- quoted as saying that the issues in this lawsuit
- relate to the resolution of the potential tension 19
- 20 between an individual's right to pray as he pleases,
- guaranteed by the Constitution and prohibition 21
- 22 against establishment of religion by the government,
- 23 also set forth in the Constitution?
- 24 A. I'm not sure that I used those words.

Page 112

- prayer by the Board of Education in the district --
- 2 Okay.
- 3 -- would you agree that that prayer would
- 4 fall under the prohibition of establishment of
- 5 religion?

7

8

10

11

22

- No. 6 A.
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
 - Q. And that's a view that you formed yourself
- 9 not in reliance on the advice of counsel?
 - A. Correct.
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 12 Q. In your view it would be, and I want to
- 13 ling this to the School Board Prayer Policy in
- 14 particular --
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 If every person at the School Board meeting
- 17 including students invited to attend would perceive
- 18 the prayer to be a prayer of the district and the
- Board of Education, you would nevertheless believe 19
- 20 it to be appropriate and not prohibited by the
- 21 Constitution?
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 23 A. If I am not mistaken, our Board Prayer
- 24 Policy deals with the fact that it is between the

Page 111

- Q. Okay, fair enough but do you understand that there is a need to resolve those two
- 3 principles?

1

2

4

- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. So that I take it you don't, you
- 6 clearly don't view it as an unconditional
- 7 prohibition against any government involvement in
- 8 religion in any way?
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 10 Rephrase that, please?
- The First Amendment prohibition against 11
- establishment of religion is in your view not an 12
- 13 absolute prohibition on government involvement in
- religion in any way? 14
- 15 No, it's not.
- And am I also correct that the First 16
- 17 Amendment right to pray as one sees fit is also not
- 18 unlimited?
- 19 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 20 A. I'm not sure I understand what you're 21
- asking, sir.
- 22 Let me give you a specific example. Where
- 23 a person's offering of a prayer will be perceived by
- elementary school students as the offering of a

- Page 113 ten members of the Board and does not have to
- involve the public and should not involve the
- 3 public. It is for us to solemnize the occasion.
- Q. Why is it -- well, first before I ask that
- question, and that is the reason for the policy
- permitting a prayer to be offered at the beginning
- 7 of sessions, is that right, to solemnize the
- 8 occasion?
- 9 A. That is our primary reason for doing that.
- That's the only reason, let me restate that, thank 10
- 11
- 12 Q. And what purpose is served by solemnizing
- 13 the occasion?
- 14 A. The same purpose that has been served
- 15 historically throughout the history of the United
- States, to put something beyond ourselves, the idea 16
- 17 that we are saying please you know let us subvert
- our own egos, our own tensions, what we may want so
- 19 that we may do the best for the public. It's the
- 20 same things George Washington has asked for,
- 21 Franklin asked for in World War II, Bush has asked
- 22 for over the years. It's the same thing essentially
- 23 that Congress does when they open theirs. As I
- 24 understand it.

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

12

14

18

19

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 114

1 Q. What do you mean by solemnifying the

2 proceedings?

3 A. In other words, we are not simply a group

4 of guys and gals getting together to simply have

5 some fun and to sit around and decide things. It's

6 the idea that we are going to put, the idea that we

7 are going to think beyond ourselves, beyond what's

8 happening today and sit down and make absolutely the

9 best decisions that we can. And it's taking a

10 moment to where you can focus on what your reason is

11 for being there. Otherwise, I believe a lot of

12 people can be caught up in the intensity of the

13 moment and, you know, you can kind of get carried

14 away with yourself. We see politicians on TV all

15 the time and others.

16 Q. So, it's way for the Board members to be

17 reminded that they should take their duties

18 seriously during the meetings?

19 A. That would be my primary reason, yes. And

20 again it's in keeping with what I see as American

21 history.

2

22 O. Well, Dr. Hattier, portions of American

23 history, correct? I mean there must have been

24 meetings of legislative bodies that have occurred --

Page 116

MR. GOSSELIN: Is there a question? This is actually a very interesting philosophical discussion that I have had many times, I'm sure you have, too. There aren't any questions about what the Board's policy is.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Well, the Board's reasons for adopting the policy are quite clearly relevant under anyone's understanding of the court's order. If the witness says to me gratuitously and I think it's in keeping with the history of our country, I think that I'm obligated to pursue that. And if we end up on a tangent as a result of my pursuit that may be my fault, but it's a function of trying to follow up on what the witness has offered me.

Q. In an earlier answer, Dr. Hattier, you said, and correct me if I am wrong, but I think I have this right, that Board prayer should not involve the public. Can you tell me first if that's what you said and secondly what you meant by that?

A. The policy as we currently have it involves

Page 115

1 A. More than likely.

Q. Where no prayer was offered?

3 A. More than likely.

4 Q. So, when you say it's in keeping with

5 American history, you mean it's not inconsistent

6 with all parts of American history?

7 A. I think that the quote from Rhenquist comes

8 in quite nicely where he says, that it's

9 inconceivable that the founding fathers intended for

10 it not to take place. And I don't remember which of

11 the Supreme Court decisions he was dissenting on at

12 that particular point.

13 Q. That was a dissenting opinion?

14 A. I believe it was a dissenting opinion.

15 Q. It had to do with prayer at what?

16 A. Like I said, I don't have my paperwork at

17 this point. I don't know which one it was, but his

18 comment was that it was inconceivable. You know, if

19 people choose not to do it that is their freedom do

20 so, but if they choose to do it, I believe they have

21 the freedom to do that as well. You are defining it

22 in terms of prayer. I prefer to look at it as an

23 issue of free speech.

24 Q. Yes, my question actually has to do --

Page 117

1 the adult Board members of the Board itself, all

2 right and the public, we were specifically including

3 only ourselves.

Q. As of the group of people who would be

offered the opportunity to offer a prayer?

A. Yes. As well as among ourselves and to

whom the prayer would concern.

3 Q. So, when you said the Board prayer should

9 not involve the public what you meant was the policy

10 does not contemplate that anyone other than Board

11 members should offer it?

A. No that anyone other than Board members

13 should partake.

Q. Oh, and that's because the goal is to

15 remind Board members that they must take their

16 duties seriously and do the best they can to

17 discharge their responsibilities?

A. That's what we ought to do, yes sir.

Q. Okay. And why then could the Board not

20 shortly before it steps onto the stage conduct its

21 own prayer outside of the public view?

22 A. I don't have an answer to that.

23 Q. We talked earlier about the issue of denomi

24 -- it's a word I have trouble with, denominational

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 118

1	or nondenominational	prayers?
---	----------------------	----------

- A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Is it your view that if Christians don't
- 4 mention Christ in their prayers they are denying
- 5 Christ?

7

- 6 A. You are asking me
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 8 A. You are asking me to make a comment about
- 9 Christianity. I cannot comment on that since there
- 10 are many different denominations within
- 11 Christianity. I don't know if they are denying
- 12 Christ. I was raised in the United States Army and
- 13 I attended many functions that were conducted by a
- 14 military chaplain and I don't see a military
- 15 chaplain having problems with giving a prayer for a
- 16 larger group without mentioning Christ and yet it
- 17 still can be an effective prayer.
- 18 Q. Effective for the purpose of whatever
- 19 purpose it's offered, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm going to ask
- 22 the reporter to mark as Hattier Exhibit 7 a
- 23 document bearing Bates numbers 710 through
- 24 860.

1

Page 120

- l should probably look on the web site and I did. I
- 2 responded one or two times, maybe three, I don't
- 3 remember, after which I decided that that's enough
- 4 of that.
- 5 Q. Who suggested that you should visit the web
- 6 site?
- 7 A. I don't recall. I knew that it was there,
- 8 and I knew that the public was writing in about it,
- 9 but that's about it.
- O. There are what we call Bates numbers down
- 11 at the bottom of the page, can you turn to page 836?
- 12 A. Okay. Yes.
- 13 Q. If you looking up at the top of the page
- 14 you will see a reflection that the following posts
- 15 posted by someone identified as dghattierdc?
- 16 A. Correct.
 - Q. Is that you?
- 18 A. Yes.

17

- 19 Q. Dghattierdc?
- 20 A. Yes, sir that's a standard notation that I
- 21 use everywhere.
- 22 Q. If you will look at page 832?
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. And by the way I should ask you, the 836

Page 119

- (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 7 was
- 2 marked for identification.)
- 3 A. Oh joy.
- 4 Q. Why do you say oh joy?
- 5 A. Because of the length of the document that
- 6 we have.
- 7 Q. Hattier Exhibit 7 is a copy of postings on
- 8 the WGMD web site. Is this a web site that you log
- 9 onto from time to time?
- 10 A. Very occasionally.
- 11 Q. And have you posted to this web site from
- 12 time to time?
- 13 A. At one very short time period.
- 14 Q. When was that?
- 15 A. I don't know, earlier possibly this summer,
- 16 spring.
- 17 Q. Of this year?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 Q. Spring, summer of this year?
- 20 A. It's dated were I can look try date
- 21 whatever its laps to be.
- 22 Q. What prompted you to log onto the web site
- 23 and post on it?
- 24 A. Somebody had made some comments that I

Page 121

- 1 post, is that post that you wrote and posted to the
- 2 WMGD forum?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. And what's reflected in that post you
- 5 believed to be, and continue to believe that that is
- 5 reflective of your beliefs?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now, on 832, same questions, is it correct
- 9 that this is a post that you wrote, dghattierdc?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And it reflected your views as of that
- 12 time?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And it reflects your views today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. At the end of that post you write,
- 17 unfortunately with historic research lots of things
- 18 can be taken out of context and argue in a number of
- 19 ways. It is best to look at the whole body of what
- 20 the founders said and did and not just parts of it.
- 21 **A. Yes.**
- 22 Q. You did not intend in this post to provide
- 23 to the reader the whole breadth of what the founders
- 24 said and did, did you?

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

	Page 122		Page 124
1	A. No.	1	A. No, but it would be denying free speech.
2	Q. As with all people you were picking and	2	Q. Page 820. Is this a post that you wrote?
3	choosing?	3	A. Yes.
4	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.	4	 Q. And which you believe to be accurate
5	A. I believe that what I was doing was	5	then
6	countering some of the discussions in the previous	6	A. Yes.
7	postings.	7	Q. Believe to be accurate then and now?
8	Q. Which also offered only a partial view of	8	A. Yes.
9	what the founds said?	9	Q. The last paragraph of this post refers to
10	A. Correct.	10	your belief that support for the revolution was
11	Q. Turn if you would to 829. In this post you	11	never 100 percent. My reading of history shows
12	will see it's dated March 17, 2006, dghattierdc and	12	maybe 35 to 40 percent.
13	this is a post that you wrote and which you believe	13	MR. GOSSELIN: What page are we on
14	belief to be accurate?	14	here?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Page 821.
16	 Q. And reflected your views at the time and 	16	MR. ALLINGHAM: Page 821 at the
17	today?	17	bottom.
18	A. Yes, I believe so.	18	Q. A little bit earlier you say, as the
19	Q. Down at the bottom of the page you write,	19	founders once said, and for the support of this
20	in my mind a prayer, be it it sectarian or	20	declaration with a firm reliance on the protection
21	nonsectarian still steps on someone's toes. For	21	of the divine providence, we mutually pledge to each
22	those who don't like prayer of any kind it's	22	other our lives, our fortunes and our sacred honor?
23	offensive, for a Muslim it's really bad, for a	23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Christian without mentioning Christ it's denying	24	Q. And then you note that remember support for
_	Page 123		Page 125
1	Christ. And either way it appears to be telling	1	the revolution was never 100 percent?

- someone how to use their free speech. Does that
- accurately reflect your views?
- 4 A. Yes. You can read on, which violates the
- 5 First Amendment protections against free speech. A
- true catch 22. 6
- 7 Q. In that post you write a Christian without
- 8 mentioning Christ is denying Christ?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Which is the question that I asked earlier?
- 11 A. I was perhaps more specific in this post
- 12 than I'm in my own personal life, but as I indicated
- 13 earlier to lots of Christians without mentioning
- Christ is denying Christ, if you don't mention 14
- 15 Christ for a lot of Christians.
- 16 So, for you personally to offer a prayer
- 17 that doesn't mention Christ is not denying Christ?
- 18 A. Not at all.
- 19 But you believe that there are Christians
- 20 for whom offering up a prayer that doesn't mention
- 21 Christ would be denying Christ?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. And would offering up no prayer at all be
- denying Christ for such Christians?

Correct.

2

My reading of history shows maybe 35 to 40

percent. Should they have given up. Are we less

than that today. What did you mean by that

6 discussion about support for the revolution?

7 People like to make the comment that we

8 live in severely divided times, and it's never been

9 more divided, but what they forget is that during

10 the Revolutionary War we didn't have a fairly

11 sizable proportion of that they call Tories were

very loyal to the Crown that that percentage was a 12

13 high as 30 to 35 percent with a goodly number of

people who were fighting in the revolution against 14

the Crown as well as a whole lot of people in the 15

16 middle who really couldn't care less and just knew

17 that their lives were being interrupted.

18 So, my point in making this is even though 19 all the other people are saying we should do this, 20 we should do that, it's divisive, it's not divisive.

21 You know, I don't see in -- and I believe it was

22 referring to other things I had read in the past.

When you say my reading of history shows

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

3

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 126

1	maybe 35 to 40	percent, doe	s that refer	to support
---	----------------	--------------	--------------	------------

- 2 for the revolution or opposition?
 - A. Support.
- 4 Q. And when you say should they have given up
- 5 you mean the revolutionary supports?
- 6 A. Correct, the Founding Fathers, should they
- 7 have given up. I think it's an excellent rhetorical
- 8 question.
- 9 Q. On 813. Is this a post that you wrote?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And it accurately reflected your views then
- 12 and now?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. In this post you offer a number of
- 15 observations relating to the separation of church
- 16 and state, and whether the founders required total
- 17 freedom from religion everywhere you go.
- 18 Was this post based on your own knowledge
- 19 of history, were you referring to documents when you
- 20 wrote this post?
- 21 A. This is based on any own knowledge of
- 22 American history.
- 23 Q. When you posted under Delaware law the
- 24 Board is a legislative body, this is a matter of

Page 128

- under Delaware law the Board is a legislative body,
- 2 this is a matter of law, that means that Chambers
- 3 applies. What do you rely on for that?
 - A. Common sense.
 - Q. All right.
 - A. That's it?
 - Q. Those are the only five posts I found.
 - A. Those are the only ones I made.

MR. GOSSELIN: Tom did you have a plan for lunch?

MR. ALLINGHAM: No, I don't, what do you want to do it's 12:30?

MR. GOSSELIN: Well, we've been here three hours, it sounds like we are going to be going the full six hours with Dr. Hattier. I mean if you are, if I am wrong on that if you think you are somewhere reasonably close to finishing I wouldn't mind pushing through.

MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not.

MR. GOSSELIN: Okay, then I think we probably ought to take a break for lunch.

MR. ALLINGHAM: How close can you

Page 129

Page 127

- 1 law, what --
- 2 A. That's my understanding. I'm under --
- 3 excuse me, please. On that one doctor, Judge Farnan
- 4 had ruled that we are a legislative body and
- 5 therefore had legislative immunity. Now again, I'm
- 6 not an attorney but the way I understood it, based
- 7 on the functions that we perform as a Board in
- 8 terms of setting policies, taxes, et cetera, we
- 9 qualify as a legislative body and for some reason I
- 10 am under the impression that somewhere in Delaware
- 11 it says that we are. Or at least the judge decided
- 12 that we were. I will defer to judge's opinion on
- 13 this.
- 14 Q. Is it your understanding that Judge Farnan
- 15 decided the Marsh v Chambers applies to the Indian
- 16 River School Board?
- 17 A. Could you repeat that?
- 18 Q. Is it your understanding that Judge Farnan
- 19 decided that Marsh v Chambers applies to the Indian
- 20 River School Board?
- 21 A. Again I am not an attorney but no had Judge
- 22 Farnan applied that I don't think we'd be sitting
- 23 here today.
- 24 Q. All right, so, when you say in your post

get food?

MR. HATTIER: McDonaid's.

MR. ALLINGHAM: How close?

MR. HATTIER: About three or

four minutes. There is also a

Schaeffer's no Schaeffer's is closed,

there is Doyle's which is a family

restaurant.

MR. ALLINGHAM: We can get something a few minute away.

MR. HATTIER: There is a local pub, I can't tell you anything about their food.

MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the record at 12:35 p.m..

(WHEREUPON a lunch recess was taken)

MS. DUPHILY: We are back on the record at approximately 1:26 p.m.

- Q. Dr. Hattier, I asked you a few questions
- 21 before we -- in the morning session about the
- 22 identify of the Does and whether you had personally
- 23 made any efforts to confirm your views about who the
- 24 Does are. I have a follow-up questions. Do you

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 130

believe that it would be inappropriate for Board

2 members to, or district employees to reveal the

3 identity of the Does?

A. I believe that the courts have stated that

they have the right to anonymity.Q. Do you therefore think it would be

7 inappropriate for Board members to reveal their

8 identity?

4

9 A. I think we are bound by what the court

10 says, yes.

11 Q. I want to follow-up on a couple of

12 questions that we asked before the break. Before

13 the break I asked you whether the purpose of the

14 Board Prayer Policy was to solemnize the Board's

15 proceedings and we agreed that was the only purpose

16 for the Board Prayer Policy, correct?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Am I then correct that the purpose of Board

19 prayer as set forth in the policy is not to

20 proselytize?

21 A. That is correct.

22 Q. And it is not to affect or influence the

23 members of the public who may be in attendance at

24 Board meetings?

1

2

Page 132

A. The way the book was written and the way

Muhammad presented himself halfway through. The

3 first part of the Koran seems to deal with issues of

4 taking care of widows and orphans and how you live

you life and then the last half of the book it

6 appears to me that he was more concerned with

7 passing on a dynasty of sorts and how that was to

8 take place.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

Whereas Judaism and Christianity tend to be peaceful religions to a large degree. The latter half of the Koran they talk a lot about beheading people and that the only true response to being around a Muslim is either submit, convert or die, I think that's called the Dhimml, D-H-I-M-M-I.

I could be wrong but this is my understanding of it. But if a person wished to practice Islam in their way that did not involved any injury or whatever to my family any threats to my family I have no problem with that. Neither Buddhism, Taoism, Shintoism, you know, pick one.

Q. Do you have a belief as to what the majority religion is among voters in the district?

A. My believe would be that it's majority

24 Christian based.

Page 131

A. That's the way I would see it, sir.

Q. And similarly the purpose it not to affect

3 or influence district students who might be in

4 attendance at the meeting?

5 A. That is correct, sir.

6 Q. I asked you how many residents there were

7 in the district you which you weren't sure about, do

8 you know how many student there are in the

9 district's schools?

10 A. I believe at this point we are around 7900

11 give or take a few.

12 Q. Do you believe that Christianity is the

13 only true religion?

14 A. No.

15

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

Q. Do you believe the Judaism is a valid a

17 religion as Christianity?

18 A. Absolutely.

19 Q. Do you believe that Islam is a valid a

20 religion as Christianity?

21 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

22 A. Philosophically that one I could argue to

23 some degree.

24 Q. What's the basis for your argument?

Page 133

1 Q. And the same would be true of the students

2 in the district's schools?

A. That would be my general belief, yes sir.

Q. How about teachers in the district, same?

A. Well if they are based on the average population then yes sir.

MR. ALLINGHAM: This is Hattier Exhibit 8 a document bearing Bates number BPD1034.

(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)

12 Q. Hattier Exhibit 8 is a policy of the Indian

13 River Board of Education designated BBA entitled
 14 School Board Powers and Duties. Take a minute to

15 review the powers and duties set forth there and

15 Teview the powers and duties set forth there and

16 tell me if you agree that those powers and duties

17 are powers and duties of the Indian River School

18 Board?

A. As I understand them as listed in the Delaware Code, yes sir.

21 Q. Okay. In addition, or maybe as a fleshing

out of the duties of the Board in Hattier Exhibit 8,would you agree that among the Board's duties is to

24 approve curriculum in district schools?

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 136

Page 137

Page 134

1 Yes.

- 2 Q. And to determine which textbooks to buy for
- 3 district schools?
- 4 Yes.
- 5 And to handle the district's finances?
- Yes. 6
- 7 Q. And to approve the use of district
- facilities?
- 9 Yes.
- 10 And that would include the approval of
- 11 school use applications?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 I may not have this one right, does the
- 14 School Board also approve school choice
- applications? 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And the School Board is involved in
- determining the punishment for students who violated 18
- the district's Code of Conduct, is that correct? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 In fact there are some punishments for
- 22 students who violate the district's Code of Conduct
- 23 that can't be imposed without School Board approval,
- isn't that correct?

- Q. Okay. This probably falls under the
- 2 finance obligation, but the School Board is
- ultimately responsible for adopting the district's
- 4 annual budget, correct?
- 5 Yes. A.
- 6 And for hiring teachers?
- 7 Ultimately we are responsible for it, but
- the selection process happens at a more local level.
- 9 Q. That is to say you don't do the
- 10 interviewing and all that?
- 11 No, sir, we do not.
 - Q. But you must approve the hiring?
- 13 A. Right.

12

17

- 14 Q. Okay. Is the School Board responsible for
- 15 the firing of teachers?
- 16 Same thing.
 - Okay. And is the School Board involved in
- 18 determining discipline for teachers who violate
- 19 district policies?
- 20 A. I'm going to say yes.
- 21 Q. The School Board is responsible for hiring
- 22 district employees including the superintendent?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And also for firing that same class of

Page 135

- A. Correct.
- 2 Expulsion is one of those?
- 3 Yes.

1

- 4 Any others, suspension? 0.
- 5 Certain forms of suspension, carrying a
- 6 firearm, certain types of drugs. It's a fairly
- broad list. I mean it's actually a multi-tiered
- process. In other words, some things happen at a 9 local level, there are some in house suspensions and
- 10 there are some out of school suspensions that happen
- 11 strictly at the school level itself. Then there are
- 12 other offenses if it's happened three to four times
- that it would rise to the School Board level and in
- 14 which case it's usually adjudicated by a hearing
- 15 over before we get to it, and that is in accordance
- with Delaware law.
- 17 Q. All right, so there is a spectrum of
- 18 punishments that can't be imposed -
- 19 Correct --
- 20 You have to let me finish the question?
- 21 I'm sorry, sir.
- 22 That can't be imposed without School Board Q.
- 23 approval?
- 24 Yes.

1 employees?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. A little bit more specific or down to
- 4 earth, the School Board approves field trips for
- 5 district school students?
 - A. Correct.
- 7 Is that something that's required by law or
- is that just something that the Board feels it's
- 9 appropriate for it to do?
- 10 A. I don't know.
 - Historically --Q.
- 12 A. Historically that's what we have done all
- 13 along.

6

11

16

- 14 The School Board has chosen to give Q.
- 15 students awards at it's meetings, is that correct?
 - Some awards at some meetings, yes.
- 17 Q. And in addition do giving at some meetings
- 18 its own awards it also sometimes invites students to 19 recognize them for special achievements that they've
- 20 been made as students of the district's schools?
- 21 A. I believe that to be true.
- 22 Q. Now, I want to separate out public sessions
- 23 of the School Board, would you agree with me that at
- 24 the public sessions the Board, during your tenure,

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

3

5

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 138

- 1 spends the majority of its time on supervising
- 2 construction projects, that is to say discussing and
- 3 supervising construction projects, or recognizing
- 4 students either by giving them awards directly or
- 5 recognizing them for awards or achievements?
- 6 A. A majority of our time?
- Q. Yes.
- 8 A. No, I would not agree.
- 9 Q. A substantial part of your time?
- 10 A. Yes

15

- 11 Q. The School Board, whether it's the majority
- 12 of the time or not, the School Board does approve
- 13 construction projects for the district?
- 14 A. Yes we do, sir.
 - Q. And the School Board monitors those
- 16 construction projects?
- 17 A. Yes, we do.
- 18 Q. Do you receive updates on the constructions
- 19 projects at public meetings?
- 20 A. Yes we do.
- 21 O. Who do those come from?
- 22 A. We have a management company EDIS who
- 23 handles most of the big details and they will come
- 24 both to the School Board meetings as well as to a

Page 140

- 1 grass. Something like that.
- 2 Q. So --
 - A. The determ -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 4 Q. I think I interrupted you, go ahead.
 - A. We made the determination that Kentucky 31,
- 6 it may be more expensive, it may not, I don't know
- 7 much about grass seeds. But it seems to be that the
- 8 Kentucky 31 grows better, wears better than Bermuda
- 9 grass, which may be less expensive. Some of our
- 10 people in the past have wanted to see with Bermuda
- 11 grass and you know, rather than having them do it ad
- 12 hoc let's just take a look at a broader picture.
- 13 Q. So, that's an example of something where
- 14 the Board took within it's handling responsibilities
- 15 the selection of a what I call the material?
- 16 A. Based on a competent outside advice, yes
- 17 sir.

2

3

4

13

- 18 Q. Would you agree with me that buying
- 19 textbooks, approving the use of district facilities,
- 20 approving school use applications and school choice
- 21 applications, punishing students or teachers who
- 22 violate the district's policy, hiring and firing
- 23 district employees, approving field trips, giving
- 24 student awards and approving and overseeing

Page 139

- 1 separate buildings and grounds meeting, as well as a
- 2 separate finance committee meeting if indicated.
- 3 Q. Okay, so in effect the construction
- 4 managing, sorry, the construction management company
- 5 provides reports on construction projects to the
- 6 Board?
- 7 A. Yes they do, sir.
- 8 Q. Does the School Board approve materials
- 9 used for district facilities?
- 10 A. What do you mean by materials?
- 11 Q. Well, it means to be a broad question, but
- 12 I can give you some examples. For example, does the
- 13 School Board approve sod or field materials for the
- 14 district's athletic facilities?
- 15 A. We have, we have approved it, we have
- $\,$ 16 $\,$ discussed it mostly in the sense that we want to
- 17 make sure that all buildings are treated equally, in
- 18 the north south of the district, but some of those
- 19 things again will simply happen.
- 20 Recently we have tightened up the policy
- 21 because we have found that some of the schools are
- 22 moving out on their own a little bit in areas where
- 23 a joint policy for everything might be better. In
- 24 other words, choosing Kentucky 31 instead of Bermuda

Page 141

- 1 construction projects have nothing to do with the
 - setting of the Board policy?

A. Would you read that again, please?

- Q. Sure. Just so that you have it in mind,
- 5 its going to be sequence of Board responsibilities
- 6 which we've just established in questions and then
- 7 I'm going to ask you whether you believe, I could
- 8 ask you individually but will ask you broadly
- 9 whether you believe that each of those
- 10 responsibilities does not fall within the Board
- 11 responsibilities of setting Board policy, okay?
- 12 Do you have it?

A. I have got the big picture.

- 14 Q. Would you agree with me that buying
- 15 textbooks, approving the use of district facilities,
- 16 approving school use applications, approving the
- 17 school choice applications, punishing students or
- 18 teachers who violate district policy, hiring or
- 19 firing districts employees, approving field trips,
- 20 giving students awards and overseeing or approving
- 21 construction projects have nothing to do with
- 22 setting Board policy?
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 24 A. Yes and no both.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 142 Page 144 1 Tell me, elaborate so I can understand? of things that I gave you. Can we agree that the 2 A. That is an easy one for the most part. decisions on buying textbooks does not involve the 3 Maybe not easy, but if you are setting a policy as Board's policy responsibilities? 4 to what constitutes a student punishment and what MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. doesn't, those are things that get deliberated over A. I don't have an opinion on that at the quite a bit. There was a time period where we were moment, sir. And the reason I say that is because 6 6 discussing the types of athletic enhancement drugs if we look at some textbooks from some of the that could be allowed. Are we going to go with what manufactures, some of them have more opinion in them the NCAA wants as their list? Did we want to break 9 than others. You know, we would want something out from that. It would be set as a matter of that would line with what the district policies are 10 10 in terms of how we want the education to go. So, I policy is what the students can take and what they 11 can't, so that is a matter of policy. 12. don't know that I could answer that yes or no. 13 Then you have the policy in terms of how 13 Q. Okay. In all events we can agree that the 14 would you punish a student for violating that. You 14 School Board does administer and enforce whatever 15 know, would you immediately expel a student or do we 15 policies it adopts? have a policy where we say, you know what first time MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. 16 anybody can do something, all right let's work with 17 17 A. That is correct. you, let's educate you on what the right way to do 18 18 MR. ALLINGHAM: Hattier Exhibit 9, 19 it is and then don't do it again. 19 please. 20 Second time out, okay you have already been 20 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 9 was 21 instructed, now you've had the details in front of 21 marked for identification) 22 22 you. Now, if you do it again, now you are subject Q. Okay. 23 23 to some kind of a punishment, that is a policy. A. Okay. 24 Q. I think I understand the distinction that 24 You've seen this paper before, correct? Page 145

Page 14	13
---------	----

- you are trying to draw. Let me ask you this
- 2 question. In areas, among the areas that I talked
- to you about, there are instances in which the Board
- 4 has adopted a policy?
- Uh-hum.

1

- 6 Say on what punishments would be applied
- 7 for violation of performance enhancing drug policy?
- A.
- 9 Q. Okay. Is that an example we can use?
- 10 A. Again it works, yes sir.
- 11 Q. And what you were saying when you said yes
- 12 and no, is that the setting of that policy does
- 13 involve the Board's policy responsibilities?
- 14 A. I believe so.
- 15 Once that policy is in place then the
- 16 application of the policy and the decisions that
- 17 need to be made in order to determine where somebody
- 18 falls in the policy, that kind if determination us
- 19 not the setting of policy but the execution of the
- 20 policy?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Or the administration of the policy?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 And so, that was an example along the list

- 1 A. Yes, sir, I have.
- 2 Q. This is the Board Prayer at Regular Board
- Meetings that I have referred you to earlier?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- When did the School Board first consider
- 6 adopting policy BDA.1, the School Board Prayer
- 7 Policy?

9

- 8 A. On or about August, September 2004.
 - Was it in response to any particular event
- 10 or occasion?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 What was that?
- 13 A. It was in response to the potential, it not
- 14 already overt lawsuit that we are discussing today.

15 MR. ALLINGHAM: Mark as Hattier

16 Exhibit 10 a document bearing Bates numbers 17

BPD510 and 511.

(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 10 was

19 marked for identification)

- 20 Q. Have you seen Hattier Exhibit 10 before?
 - A. I do recall seeing this in the past, yes
- 22 sir.

18

21

- 23 And is this the triggering event for the
- 24 Board's consideration of a School Board Prayer

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

18

20

23

1

Dobrich, et al. **Donald Hattier**

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 146

1 Policy?

- 2 A. This arrived on or about the same time
- 3 period.
- 4 Correct. Q.
- Whether this was the exact trigger or not, 5
- I don't know. 6
- 7 Did you vote to adopt Board Policy BDA.1?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 And I take it you continue to support that Q.
- 10 policy?
- 11 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Did you understand that there was a history 12
- 13 of the Board opening its meetings with prayer at the
- 14 time that the School Board Prayer Policy was
- 15 adopted?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. If there was already a history of the Board
- opening its meetings with prayer why did you need a 18
- 19 policy at all?
- 20 A. Because we did not have one at the time.
- At least I don't think we did, I might be wrong on 21
- this. My understanding was that with everything 22
- 23 else that was coming down having a policy on
- something wouldn't be a bad idea. 24

Page 148

- several versions of a Board policy on School Board
- 2 prayer?
 - A. I believe we might have, or at least I might have seen several, maybe two.

 - MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's mark as Hattier Exhibit 11 a copy of a document
 - bearing Bates number BPD 698.
 - (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 11 was
- 9 marked for identification)
 - Have you ever seen Hattier Exhibit 11 Q.
- 11 before?
 - A. I think so.
 - Q. Do you know when?
- 14 A.
- 15 Q. Or in what context?
- 16 A. I'm assuming it would in the context of
- 17 what we are discussing here today.
 - Q. Do you know who provided it?
- 19 A.
 - Do you know whether that was the first
- draft of a Board Prayer Policy that the Board 21
- 22 considered?
 - A. That would be my assumption?
- 24 Q. And what's that assumption based on?

Page 147

- How did you come to see Hattier Exhibit 10,
- 2 the letter from Miss Fennell?
- 3 A. It was either faxed to me or handed in a
- 4 package of some type. My guess is that it may have
- 5 been faxed.
- Do you know who faxed it to you? 6 Ο.
- 7 A. It would have been central office, I
- 8 believe.

1

- 9 And did you then take it with you to the Q.
- 10 next Board meeting?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Was its contents discussed at the next
- 13 Board meeting?
- 14 A. More than likely, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. All right, I'm going to come back to my
- 16 earlier question, do you know who first suggested
- 17 that the Board adopt a policy on School Board
- 18 prayer, you answer that question yes or no?
- 19 A. No, I don't recall.
- 20 Q. Do you recall without knowing who it was,
- 21 do you recall whether it was an attorney or a Board
- 22 member?
- 23 A. I do not recall that either.
- 24 Do you recall that the Board considered

- Page 149 A. The way it's bunched together in one
- 2 paragraph form.
- 3 Q. Do you know who drafted that document?
- A. My assumption again would be that it would
- 5 be a person on the policy committee, possibly Harvey
- 6 Walls. Harvey was chairman of the policy committee
- 7 for a very long time period.
- Q. Was it your understanding that Mr. Walls 8
- was generally the drafter of policies proposed to
- 10 the Board for adoption?
- 11 A. Mr. Walls was the one who coordinated what
- the various responses would have been at the policy 12
- 13 meetings. To my knowledge he did not act alone. To
- 14 any knowledge he would have discussed things with
- 15 other people and then whatever the consensus is he
- 16 probable would have written up the first copy. It's 17
- possible that Mrs. Hearn typed some things together 18 and then Harvey reviewed it and then okayed it.
- 19 Q. But as a general proposition its your
- 20 understanding that the initial drafts person for
- 21 policies was Mr. Walls?
- 22 A. It would have come out of his committee,
- 23 that part I can say for sure.
- 24 Q. Do you recall any discussion of the rulings

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

2

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 152

Page 150

- of it United States Court of Appeals Third Circuit,
- 2 that is referenced in the first line of Hattier
- 3 Exhibit 11. I'm sorry, sir, that's okay. It says
- 4 following the rulings of the United States Court of
- 5 Appeals Third Circuit?
- 6 No, that I do not.
- 7 Do you recall whether there was a
- 8 discussion of those rulings?
- 9 There may have been, we had a lot of
- 10 discussions at that particular time, but I don't
- whether that one came up. 11
- Q. On way or another, you don't recall one way 12
- 13 or another?
- 14 No, I do not.
- 15 Do you have any recollection as to why that
- 16 language and the language and past practices of
- 17 State of Delaware legislative bodies which continues
- 18 over to the second explain was not included in the
- 19 final version of the Board Prayer Policy?
- 20 A. No, I don't.
- 21 Q. In the summer of 2004 we established, I
- 22 think we established the time in point of view, the
- 23 Board had it's own attorney Mr. Griffin, correct?
- 24 Yes.

- ever seen it before? 1
 - A. I think so. There is something about this
 - that looks familiar. Let's put it that way.
- 4 Do you recall the context in which you
- 5 looked at it?
- A. It might have been in the meeting with 6
- 7 Mr. Neuberger. It probably was in a meeting with
- Mr. Neuberger.
- 9 And What leads you to that conclusion?
- 10 The it lengthiness of it.
- 11 Q. Well, he is no different from any other
- 12 lawyer?
- 13 A. No, sir he certainly isn't.
- 14 Q. Anything else?
- 15 A. That's it.
- 16 Q. I'm going to operate on the premise that
- 17 you are right and that this was looked at in the
- 18 context of a meeting with Mr. Neuberger. Do you
- 19 know when such meeting took place?
- 20 A. Again, on or about August, September,
- 21 October of 2004.
 - Q. Look at the last page of exhibit 12?
- 23 Okay. A.

22

24 You will see that there is what appears to

Page 151

- Q. Am I correct based on your earlier answer
- 2 that you don't believe that Mr. Griffin drafted this
- 3 Hattier Exhibit 11 proposed policy?
- 4 A. I don't think he did.
- 5 Do you know whether Mr. Griffin was
- 6 consulted at any point in the process of it
- 7 consideration and adoption of the Board Prayer
- 8 Policy?

1

- 9 A. No, I do not.
- 10 Q. Is it correct that you don't have any
- 11 present recollection that he was consulted?
- 12 A. I don't have a present recollection that he
- 13 was. I don't know that he wasn't either.
- 14 Am I correct that you don't recall
- 15 Mr. Griffin's ever having provided any advice about
- 16 any draft of the School Board Prayer Policy?
- 17 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 18 MR. ALLINGHAM: Would you mark as 19 Hattier Exhibit 12 a document bearing Bates
- 20 numbers BPD699 to 703.
- 21 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 12 was
- 22 marked for identification)
- 23 Take as much time as you want to to look at
- it, but my first question to you, sir, is have you

- Page 153
- be a file designation Indian River/public proposed
- 2 prayer resolution August 30, 2004?
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Is that a district file designation?
- No, sir, not to my knowledge. If I
- remember from the ones I've seen they usually have a
- file and file extension afterwards, as opposed to 7
- just a straight statement like this. Usually the 8
- 9 XLS or whatever the word document doc, something
- like that. And it wouldn't be up that high either. 10
- Generally speaking they'd be at the bottom of the 11
- 12 page. That's what I remember.
- 13 Q. Then let me have you turn to the top of the
- first page and you will see in brackets, it reads,
- 15 for release to the general public proposed School
- 16 Board Prayer Resolution dated 8/30/04 --
- 17 Okay.
- 18 Prepared by the Rutherford Institute with a
- 19 telephone number and the Neuberger firm with a
- 20 telephone number?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Is it your belief that this document was
- 23 prepared by, and distributed to the Board prepared
- 24 by the Rutherford Institute and the Neuberger form,

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

Page 156

Dobrich, et al. Donald Hattier

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 154

1 firm and distributed to the Board by Tom Neuberger?

- 2 A. As I stated, I believe this is consistent
- 3 with something that he would have prepared.
- 4 Q. Can we agree that it is likely that it was
- W. D. Warden d. Land D. Brand and D. Comp. Assessed 20.
- 5 distributed to the Board on or after August 30,
- 6 2004?
- 7 A. I would agree to that. Again my assumption
- 8 is that it happened in that time frame somewhere.
- 9 Q. And you do recall that it was distributed
- 10 to each Board member at that meeting?
- 11 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 12 Q. Did anyone have any comments about this
- 13 document?
- 14 A. I believe we discussed it at length.
- 15 Q. Did you have any comments about this
- 16 document?
- 17 A. Speaking for myself I would have to say
- 18 that I by and large agree with what's in it. I mean
- 19 I certainly feel that, you know I agree with what
- 20 George Washington wrote and Abraham Lincoln and I am
- 21 somewhat familiar with what Franklin Roosevelt would
- 22 have stated, as wells as president George Bush.
- 23 Q. I'd like you to, before I go back to the
- 24 comments and opinions of Board members, I'd like you

1 the final policy?

- 2 A. As a general rule, and I'm speaking for
- 3 myself, okay, the more that you have written down
- 4 the more it is for somebody to have an ability to
- 5 attack you at a later time period, and I think that
- 6 by keeping it short and concise it makes it a lot
- 7 easier to administer.

8 One of the problems in my believe is that 9 we have too many laws that are too wordy as it is

- 10 and it allow too much room for people to insert
- 11 their own interpretations. There is old statement,
- 12 kiss keep it simple stupid. And I think that
- 13 admonition applies in this particular case.
- 14 Q. Did that principle also apply to just
- 15 continuing with the practice and having no policy at
- 16 all on School Board prayer?

17

20

1

11

13

- A. I believe that in the circumstances that we
- 18 were facing ourselves with, that having no policy at
- 19 all created an even bigger issue.
 - Q. Let me ask you this, did the policy as
- 21 adopted in Hattier Exhibit 9 change the practice of
- 22 the Board in any way with respect to its offering of
- 23 prayers to open School Board meetings?
- 24 A. Yes, sir, I believe it did.

Page 155 Fexhibit 12 to

- 1 to compare page four at the bottom of Exhibit 12 to
- 2 the final Board policy which is Exhibit 9?
- 3 A. All right, sir.
- 4 Q. With the exception of some minor wording
- 5 changes in paragraph one, would you agree with me
- 6 that the five paragraphs at the bottom of pave five
- 7 are identical to the policy ultimately adopted?
- 8 A. Substantively, yes.
- 9 Q. On the other hand, the first four and a
- 10 half pages of the document were not adopted, was
- 11 there a reason for that?
- 12 A. As stated earlier I believe it's
- 13 unnecessarily lengthy.
- 14 Q. Was there any discussion of whether to
- 15 adopt, I'm going to call it the preamble to the five
- 16 paragraphs, was there any discussion at the Board
- 17 level of whether to adopt the preamble as well as
- 18 the five numbered paragraphs?
 - I don't recall any real discussion.
- Q. Because the draft prepared by the
- 21 Rutherford Institute appears to have included the
- 22 preamble in the Policy on Prayer at Board Meetings
- 23 as you can see from the first page. You don't
- 24 recall any discussion about why it was taken out of

- Page 157
 How did it change the practice?
- 2 A. Previous time periods I seem to recall one
- 3 or two individuals opening with prayer on somewhat
- 4 regular basis, at least for the parts that I paid
- 5 attention to. I believe that as a result of this we
- 6 have included a lot more people and rotated it
- 7 around on a more regular basis than we certainly did
- 8 prior to that.

Q.

- 9 Q. Was that a change that you agreed with?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you do view that as beneficial?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Why did you view it as beneficial?
- 14 A. Because it included more people's opinion.
- 15 Q. Any why is it useful to include more
- 16 people's opinions?
- 17 A. If we are representing a broad
- 18 cross-section of the public from five different
- 19 areas with ten different individuals, it would be
- 20 nice if we all had an opportunity to be heard.
- 21 Q. Why wouldn't that same policy as you
- 22 suggest that you should have prayers from a broader
- 23 spectrum than merely the ten Board members?
 - A. Because if my reading of the law is

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

19

24

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

			,
	Page 158		Page 160
1	correct, and again I'm not an attorney, but if my	1	Hattier Exhibit 14 a document bearing Bates
2	reading of the law is correct, if we invite outside	2	numbers IRSD45360 through 5362.
3	people to come in you actually increase the	3	(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 14 was
4	likelihood of there being a problem. In some of the	4	marked for identification.)
5	cases that I read it seemed to me that it just	5	Q. I'm trying to just put together how this
6	seemed to increase the potential for problems.	6	worked, Dr. Hattier. So, let me start with Hattier
7	Q. All right coming back to the issue of the	7	Exhibit 14 which is, I believe the minutes of the
8	discussion of the Rutherford draft, Hattier Exhibit	8	special meeting on Monday August 23, is that
9	12, I'm going to try to help you, to quote Jerry	9	correct?
10	McGuire have you help me, in figuring out when this	10	A. Yes, sir, that's what it appears to be.
11	policy was first discussed.	11	Q. And you are reflected as present both on
12	MR. ALLINGHAM: I want to mark as	12	the roll call which is the last page and I think
13	Hattier Exhibit 13 a document bearing Bates	13	also on the roll call reflection on the second page
14	number BPD1291 and 1292.	14	of the minutes?
15	(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 13 was	15	A. Correct, sir.
16	marked for identification)	16	Q. The meeting was called to order at 7
17	Q. Dr. Hattier, you've been in litigation	17	o'clock and moved immediately on a motion by
18	before so you may know, but I always explain to	18	Mr. Helms, seconded by you to go into executive
19	people, redacted is a lawyer's word meant to	19	session to seek advice from our legal counsel
20	indicate that some material has been taken out or	20	regarding potential litigation. And right under
21	masked from the document.	21	that are A and B, strategy session to discuss
22	A. Correct.	22	collective bargaining, pending or potential
23	Q. But some portion of the minutes of a	23	litigation and such other business as may properly
24	special Board meeting on August 23 executive session	24	be discussed in an executive session. Am I correct
	Page 159		Page 161
1	is reflected here. Do you recall that on the day	1	that that is the Board's record of the reasons why
2	before the have large meeting on August 24th the	2	it went into executive session?
3	Board held a special meeting at the Sussex Central	3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Middle School Library?	4	Q. And in this case there was not a collective
5	A. Yes.	5	bargaining it was pending potential litigation?
6	 Q. Do you recall for what purpose that special 	6	A. That's what I'm going to recollect. I a
7	meeting was called?	7	lot of times we go into executive session, other
8	A. If I'm not mistaken it was called to	8	things like the pending, excuse me, the collective
9	discuss, geez, I believe it was called to discuss	9	bargaining will be thrown in.
10	the entire issue of the prayer at the graduation as	10	Q. Okay. The other visitors and staff in
11	well as potential prayers before School Board	11	attendance is Lois Hobbs, Earl Savage, Janet Hearn,
12	meeting. That's what I seem to remember.	12	Patrick Miller and James Griffin. Is the legal
13	Q. Sort of the global prayer in school issue?	13	counsel from whom you — that was going to be a very

Q. The Board went into executive session at 7:01 according to the regular minutes and came out

bad question. Is the legal counsel that you were

That's what it looks like yes, sir.

Q. Now let's go to the executive session

going to seek advice from in executive session,

23 of executive session at 11:15. So, a little over

24 four hours of executive session on this topic?

taken)

A. Yes, sir, it was a global in my mind it was

MR. ALLINGHAM: Okay, off the

MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the

(WHEREUPON a brief recess was

MS. DUPHILY: Back on the

MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's mark as

a global conversation, that's a good word.

record at approximately 2:07 p.m..

record at approximately 2:11 p.m..

record for a minute.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mr. Griffin?

minutes?

A. Okay.

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 42 of 86

Page 164

Page 162

1 A. Uh-hum.

2 Q. My question to you is, is this to your

3 recollection the first time that the Board prayer

4 issue was discussed at the Indian River School

5 District Board?

6 A. No.

10

21

22

24

1

2

7 Q. Okay. Do you recall a previous time when

8 it was discussed?

9 A. Yes, sir.

Q. When was that?

11 A. It was first discussed when Mrs. Dobrich

12 came before the Board, I believe it would have been

13 in the July meeting, and we did at that time, we had

14 received some material from our attorney and it had

15 arrived the day that she arrived there. We tabled

16 the discussion for the executive session time

17 period. You know we had a lot of other things to

18 discuss that night as well.

19 Q. Let's see if can get that?

20 A. Okay.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's mark as

Hattier Exhibit 15 a document bearing Bates

23 numbers BPD10 through 18.

(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 15 was

1 cetera?

2 A. At that time I had just heard about the

3 entire event because I was unable to attend the

4 Sussex Central High School graduation because of

5 other issues with my children, and I was not 100

6 percent certain what the other gentleman, what the

7 preacher or pastor had said at that particular time

8 period. It had essentially just come to my

9 attention within anywhere between a week or the day

10 before, I don't remember that.

11 All right, so if the prayer was overly

12 preachy I would not have had a problem with toning

13 it down. I don't know. I would certainly want to

14 have been supportive of Mrs. Dobrich's request.

Q. If you look you at the next page, under

16 knew business, you will see a reference to

17 graduation ceremonies which reads, Ms. Hobbs

18 provided the Board with information regarding school

16 provided the board with information regarding script

19 prayer from Mr. Griffin?

20 A. Yes.

15

21 Q. She apologized for the lateness of

22 providing the material however Mr. Griffin was out

23 of town due to a death in his family. It was moved

24 by Mr. Helms seconded by Mr. Cohee to table this

Page 163

marked for identification.)

Q. First of all, can you identify Exhibit 15

3 as the minutes of the June 15, 2004 meeting?

4 A. Yes, sir I believe I can.

5 Q. On page two page you will see under the

6 public comments section?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Mona Dobrich, Mrs. Dobrich a parent of the

9 Jewish faith expressed concern about prayers at

10 School District events. She asked that the Board

11 consider using a nondenominational prayer that would

12 be appropriate for all faiths at events such as

13 graduations, et cetera?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. Did you have an opinion, either supporting

16 or opposing the request that Mrs. Dobrich made at

17 the June 15th meeting as set forth in the minutes?

18 A. I had no disagreement with what her request

19 was.

20 Q. And when you say that you had no

21 disagreement, does that mean that you would believe

22 it to be appropriate that the Board use a

23 nondenominational prayer that would be appropriate

24 for all faiths at events such as graduations, et

Page 165

item until the next regular Board meeting. The

2 passed unanimously ten zero.

3 A. Yes, sir.

Q. And i assume that you were one of those ten

5 votes?

7

6 A. Yes, sir.

Q. So, am I correct that at the June 15

8 meeting the issue was raised by Mrs. Dobrich but

9 there was no substantive discussion by the Board?

10 A. No. As I recall it -- let me take back the

11 word no because I think we are using the work

12 incorrectly. I believe that Mrs. Dobrich had either

13 talked to or confronted, made a phone call to Mrs.

14 Hobbs in some manner and had asked about the, you

15 know, what the prayer issue had been.

Mrs. Hobbs in accordance with what we would request her to do, placed in on the Board agenda for

18 us to discuss. Mrs. Dobrich would have talked to

19 Mrs. Hobbs some time immediately after the ceremony

20 at some time, in there. I don't know what the time

21 frame was and Mrs. Hobbs went ahead and requested

information from Mr. Griffin, and then placed it onthe Board agenda for us to discuss.

24 So, whether Mrs. Dobrich would have

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 166

attended or not it was already on the meeting's

- 2 agenda for that particular night.
- 3 Q. Oh, yes, sorry. I was probably unclear?
- okay, sorry.
- 5 Q. Is it correct forget the Dobrich pat of the
- 6 question that was just a preliminary, is it correct
- that the Board did not substantively discuss this 7
- issue at the June 15 meeting but rather tabled it to 8
- 9 be at addressed at the July meeting?
- 10 A. I'm think for the most part we did discuss
- 11 it at the July meeting, but I believe there was some
- 12 incidental discussion on it in terms of some of the
- 13 materials that were presented. Mr. Griffin had, I
- think it was from Mr. Griffin, he had given us many 14
- 15 pages worth of reading to do and the way the evening
- 16 goes you do not have, you stated yourself, sir you
- 17 were on a school board, things start moving and you
- 18 don't have five or ten minutes, people read at
- 19 different rates, for us to actually read it and then
- 20 to be able to discuss it.
 - This would have given us time to read the
- 22 material and to be able to comment on it in an
- 23 intelligent manner.

21

4

24 Q. And was the materials that Mr. Griffin Page 168

- Q. I'm assuming that you believe that that's
- 2 accurate reflection of the number of people who
- 3 spoke?

5

- 4 A. Yes, sir.
 - . Q. All right, and it also said that comments
- 6 were equally made in favor of continuing and
- 7 discontinuing the present practice, do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Do you believe that that's an accurate
- 10 reflection of what occurred?
- If that's what it says I'm going to go with 11 A.
- 12. that.
- 13 Under old business, under graduation
- 14 ceremonies it was moved by Mr. Helms seconded by
- 15 Mr. Code to table graduation ceremonies until after.
- 16 executive session, and the motion passed unanimously
- 17 seven zero. Why did you vote to table the
- 18 graduation ceremonies issue until after you had gone
- 19 into executive session, you Donald Hattier?
- 20 A. I believe that we still had a lot of issues
- 21 that we needed to cover before there was much that
- 22 we could do in public.
- 23 Q. What were those issues?
- 24 A. In terms of the adoption, should we do it,

Page 167

- 1 provided to the Board among the materials that you
- 2 as a Board member considered in your ultimate vote
- 3 to adopt the School Board Prayer BDA.1?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 5 MR. ALLINGHAM: Okay, now let me 6 mark as Hattier Exhibit 16 a document
- 7 bearing Bates number BPD32 through 40.
- 8 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 16 was 9 marked for identification.)
- 10 Q. And again can you confirm for me that these
- 11 are the minutes of the July 27, 2004 meeting?
- 12 Yes, sir, I will do that.
- 13 Q. And as the roll call section on the first
- 14 page reflects you were in attendance at this
- 15 meeting?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, I was.
- 17 Q. If you look in the public comments section
- 18 on page two?
- 19 A. Uh-hum.
- 20 You will see that 12 people are reflected
- 21 as having spoken regarding the districts's practice
- 22 of holding prayers at school sponsored events
- 23 including graduation ceremonies?
- 24 Yes, sir.

Page 169

- should we not do it. That's pretty general.
- 2 Q. I notice that there is no reference to the
- 3 rational for going into executive session. At the
- 4 executive session portion of the minutes which you
- will find at BPD39, which is the eighth page of the 5
- 6 minutes?

1

7

- A. Okav.
- 8 Q. Four rationals are offered. One has to do
- 9 with personnel, one has to do with strategy session
- 10 to discuss collective bargaining pending a potential
- 11 litigation, one is to conduct a hearing regarding
- 12 employee or student discipline and then there is the
- 13 catch all, D.

14 What was it about graduation ceremonies or

- 15 discussion of graduation ceremonies that you felt
- 16 justified going into executive session?
- 17 A. To the best of my knowledge it would have
- 18 to do with the contentious nature of the subject, if
- 19 we had discussed it in a open meeting. Certainly
- 20 with a lot of the people present. At that
- 21 particular time I don't recall that we had a clear
- 22 understanding of what it is that we wanted to do,
- 23 and I felt that as a Board, speaking for myself,
- 24 that we needed to discuss it further, and how are we

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

1

8

13

18

1

Dobrich, et al. Donald Hattier

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 170

1 going to approach it.

In other words, what is the approach that
should be taken. And I believe that we rightly
referred it to the policy committee because that's
where it should have gone.

- Q. Okay, you mentioned two things, one that itwas a contentious subject and the other that you
- 8 didn't have a consensus yet on what to do?
 - A. That's my recollection, yes sir.
- 10 Q. During the discussion did you discuss
- 11 potential litigation having to do with the
- 12 graduation ceremony?
- 13 A. More than likely.
- 14 O. Did you discuss only the potential
- 15 litigation having to do with the graduation
- 16 ceremonies.

9

23

2

- 17 A. No. We had a lot of other issues to
- 18 discuss in executive sessions, this particular one
- 19 as we do in most.
- 20 Q. Did you receive any presentations from any
- 21 lawyers during the executive session?
- 22 A. I don't remember.
 - Q. I'm looking at the lengthy list of other
- 24 visitors and staff in attendance?

Page 172

personnel agenda and addendum.

And you had asked earlier what we do in terms of the board, at each executive session we have, we are given a list of individuals who are hired, fired promoted, moved around, transferred, whatever and we all take a look at who is being

- 7 moved, et cetera and then we vote on it.
 - Q. Okay. After you came out of executive
- 9 session on page nine of the minutes at the top of
- 10 the page you'll see that it was moved by Mr. Helms,
- 11 seconded by you to refer the graduation ceremonies
- 12 matter to the policy committee?
 - A. Correct.
- 14 Q. During the executive session was there
- 15 substantive discussion of the issue, or did someone
- 16 propose look isn't this a policy question we ought
- 17 to refer it to the policy committee?
 - A. It's probably both. I mean in terms of an
- 19 executive session we went at ten something and came
- 20 out at 12:10, that's actually a short meeting.
- 21 I mean there is sometimes we have been in
- 22 there three and half, four hours, so if we were in
- 23 there for an hour or so, all things considered
- 24 that's a short meeting.

Page 171

- 1 A. Okay.
 - Q. And I don't --
- 3 A. What page are you on, sir?
- 4 Q. It's the first and second page, carry over
- 5 to the second page. I clearly don't know everybody
- 6 but I don't see anyone that I can identify as a
- 7 lawyer there?
- 8 A. Sorry, I can't either.
- 9 Q. Okay. You went into executive session at
- 10 10:20 and reconvened at 12:10 a.m., do you know
- 11 whether there was any other matter discussed, other
- 12 than the graduation ceremony?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. What was that?
- 15 A. I'm almost 100 percent positive that we had
- 16 disciplinary issues to discuss with the kids, or
- 17 about some of our children who needed the
- 18 disciplining referral, drug therapy, whatever and
- 19 then I believe there was something to do also with
- 20 several employees. I mean I'm doing this off the
- $21 \;\;$ top of my head. Student hearings, there was the
- 22 secretarial contract which was discussed we had a
- 23 discussion on the confidential secretaries, and then
- 24 we would have also have had a conversation about the

Page 173

- Q. Well, my question is other than discussion
- 2 of referring the matter to the policy committee what
- 3 was said during the executive session?
 - A. My guess would be, and that's what it is is
 - a guess, is that we had some of the materials from
- 6 Mr. Griffin that we would have discussed in terms of
- 7 what is some of the applicable case law, what is it
- 8 we want to do about it and how do we want to
- 9 approach it.
- 10 Q. Let me ask you this question, we have a
- 11 June 20 fairly brief consideration but you have the
- 12 material from Mr. Griffin you have a July 27th
- 13 discussion, you have an August 23 discussion, you
- 14 have the big meeting on August 24; is it fair to say
- 15 that at least in casting your vote to approve the
- 16 School Board Prayer Policy you took into account all
- 17 of the matters and considerations that were brought
- 18 to your attention during the meetings that preceded
- 19 present --
- 20 A. I would like to hope so.
- 21 Q. When you say you would like to hope so --
- 22 A. Yes, sir, it's a straight, yes, sir, I
- 23 apologize.
 - Q. All right, so now to come back to Exhibit

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

24

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 174

- This is an executive session that lasted about
- 2 four hours and 15 minutes. It doesn't appear from
- 3 the minutes that anything was discussed except the
- 4 global prayer issue?
- 5 A. Uh-hum.
 - Q. The only unredacted portion of that four
- 7 hour 15 minute discussion is reflected at the bottom
- 8 on the first page. It says during, the discussion
- 9 of this issue several Board members expressed that
- 10 their constituents do not want the Board to change
- 11 its practice of opening the meetings with a prayer.
- 12 It was not felt that a decision could be made this
- 13 evening regarding whether or not to change our past
- 14 practice.

6

- 15 So, first of all what I would like you to
- 16 tell me is which Board members expressed that their
- 17 constituents did not want the Board to change its
- 18 practice?
- 19 A. To the best of my knowledge it was all of
- 20 us.
- 21 Q. Well, the minutes say several the Board
- 22 members, which doesn't sound like all?
- 23 A. Understood.
- 24 Q. You believe every single Board member said

- Page 176
- 1 sometimes to keep a close eye on what we are saying.
- 2 So, in expressions your view that your constituents
- 3 did not want the Board to change it's practice, what
- 4 you were basing that expression on was that a
- 5 majority of your constituents had said that?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. A majority of the constituents that you had
- 8 talked to?

14

15

16

18

21

1

5

- 9 A. A majority of the constituents that I had-
- 10 talked to, a majority of the e-mails, not so much
- 1 e-mails but the newspaper editorials, what we were
- 12 hearing from my wife's church, what we were hearing
- 13 from other people's churches.
 - I have a significant number of pastors in my practice and a lot of people would you know just in and state what their opinions were.
- 17 Q. Would you read that answer back, please?
 - (WHEREUPON the preceding
- 19 answer was read back by the
- 20 reporter)
 - Q. Okay, I want to explore those categories
- 22 for just a minute. The first one was the majority
- 23 of the people that you talked to?
- 24 A. Correct.

Page 175

- 1 that?
- 2 A. To the best of my knowledge. I don't
- 3 believe we had any dissention at that time. I don't
- 4 believe that.
- 5 Q. So, at the August 23 meeting as well as you
- 6 can recall every Board member who was present, which
- 7 includes I believe every Board member, yes --
- 8 A. Ten zip.
- 9 Q. Expressed the view that his or her
- 10 constituents did not want the Board to change its
- 11 existent practice?
- 12 A. That would be my belief, yes sir.
- 13 Q. And in expressing that view did the Board
- 14 members say my constituents unanimously don't want
- 15 the Boar to changes its practice, a majority of my
- 16 constituents don't want the Board to change its
- 17 practice, the ones that I happened to talk to don't
- 18 want the Board to change it's practice?
- 19 A. I can comment on those that talked to me
- 20 and in which case it would have been a majority,
- 21 majority who wanted us to continue what we were
- 22 doing. I cannot comment on what the other gentlemen
- 23 and ladies were thinking or going through.
- Q. This is one of those things where it's hard

- Page 177
 Q. In advance of the August 23 meeting can you
- 2 give me an estimate of how many people you talked to
- 3 among your constituents on this issue?
- 4 A. No, a raw number, no. I mean people --
 - Q. Hundreds, dozens?
- 6 A. Dozens at the minimum.
- 7 Q. Dozens at the minimum?
- 8 A. I'm an active --
- 9 Q. As many as 100?
- 10 A. More than likely.
- 11 Q. And how is it that the issue came to those
- 12 people's, to those hundred people's attention?
- 13 A. This was already in the newspapers at that
- 14 particular time and it was also on our local talk
- 15 show station and there were already starting to
- 16 appear a significant number of editorials in the
- 17 local Wave, the Coastal Point, I believe the Sussex
- 18 Countian and there is one other one, I the Sussex
- 19 Post we have in our area, too.
- 20 Q. You talked about the majority of e-mails?
- 21 A. I don't get a lot of e-mails on things like
- 22 this from my constituents because frankly I don't
- 23 publish my e-mail address. I have a spam problem or

24 did at the time like a lot of other people have had

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

4

5

8

11

13

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 178

- 1 and I see so many people in public life that for
- 2 them to e-mail me on these things is not a routine
- 3 thing.
- 4 Q. All right, newspaper editorials, do you
- 5 mean editorials or letters to the editor?
- 6 A. Letters to the editor, my mistake.
- 7 Q. And information you got from your wife's
- 8 church, how did you get that information?
- 9 A. My wife is very active in her church. I
- 10 talk to the pastor from time to time. I've
- 11 supported the youth league over there since that's
- 12 where my kids go and they've called and offered
- 13 their support in what we are doing. There are other
- 14 pastors as well that I know within the community who
- 15 called.
- 16 Q. That's a separate category. So, on your
- 17 wife's church, you said they've called and offered
- 18 support for what you are doing?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. Who is they?
- 21 A. They would be whoever the people are that
- 22 attend the church, as well as the pastor, pastoral
- 23 staffs.
- 24 Q. And this is up to the August 23 meeting.

Page 180

- law. That's what we are doing right now.
- 2 Q. Well, if she is successful presumably it
- 3 will be the existing law?
 - A. Then that's what it would be.
 - Q. The pastors in your in your practice whom
- 6 you spoke to?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. How many pastors did you speak to, before
- 9 August 23rd?
- 10 A. Six to ten.
 - Q. How many people from your wife's church?
- 12 A. A dozen perhaps.
 - Q. How about people calling from other
- 14 people's churches?
- 15 A. Perhaps another dozen.
- 16 Q. And then people who just contacted you, how
- 17 many of those did you have, who don't fall into the
- 18 other categories?
- 19 A. We can probably throw another dożen or so
- 20 into that. This was obviously a fairly hot issue in
- 21 the community.
- 22 Q. All right, on this very hot issue, if add
- 23 them all up you had maybe 200 people who contacted
- 24 you?

1

2

5

7

12

Page 179

- 1 What was it that you were doing that they offered
- 2 support for?
- 3 A. They basically wanted us to continue with
- 4 the practice of opening the Board meetings with
- 5 prayer.
- 6 Q. Anybody say why?
- 7 A. Most people for the same reason that I have
- 8 stated it, it's part of American historical movement
- 9 and it is part of the fabric and tradition of our
- 10 area for a very long time period. Some people were
- 11 just flat out offended that just one person could
- 12 change the law entirely. You know for the most part
- 13 people believe that it is the right thing to do.
- 14 Q. Did you assure them that one person could
- 15 not change the law?
- 16 A. No, sir, I can't do that. I don't believe
- 17 that, either.
- 18 Q. Who was the one person who was threatening
- 19 to changes the law?
- 20 A. Mrs. Dobrich.
- 21 Q. Don't you think that if Mrs. Dobrich is
- 22 successful in this litigation she would have been
- 23 successful in enforcing the existing law?
- 24 A. That's assuming that it is the existing

Page 181

- A. That's a reasonable number.
- Q. And how many of those supported what you
- 3 were doing?
- 4 A. The vast majority.
 - Q. How many of those said we kind of agree
- 6 with Mrs. Dobrich?
 - A. A few.
- 8 Q. Did it occur to you that the categories of
- 9 constituents from whom you were hearing were perhaps
- 10 likely to be biased in favor of continuing what you
- 11 were doing?
 - A. I believe that's probably true in any
- 13 political area, and yes that's a fair statement.
- Q. In expressing your view that your
- 15 constituents did not want the Board to change it's
- 16 practice what effort did you make to determine the
- 17 attitude of the people who hadn't gone out of their
- 18 way to contact you who had this, as you say built-in
- 19 bias?
- 20 A. As I stated to you earlier, I also do what
- 21 I personally believe to be right, so I did not make
- 22 an extra effort to seek those out.
- 23 Q. Well, did you try to be accurate in what
- 24 you tell your fellow Board members, don't you?

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 182

1 A. Yes.

Q. So, did you tell your fellow Board members

that your constituents did not want the Board to

4 change its practice of opening the meetings with a

5 prayer?

3

6

8

15

A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. You spoke to a maximum of 200 of

your constituents?

9 A. We spoke to approximately 200 or

10 thereabouts.

11 Q. 200 of your constituents. It seems quite

12 clear to me, although you haven't been able to tell

13 me how many residents there are in your district,

14 there are more than 400, does that seem fair?

A. That seems eminently fair.

16 Q. So, to come back to my question is it

17 correct that you made no effort to find out the

18 views of the people who had not sought you out on

19 this issue?

20 A. That's probably a fair statement.

21 Q. Okay. Now when every other Board member

22 expressed his or her view that his or her

23 constituents did not want the Board to change its

24 practice, did they tell you whether they meant the

Page 184

minutes of executive session?

2 A. I believe we also discussed some of the

3 issues regarding having prayer at a graduation and

4 prayer at things like school sponsored dinners or

5 athletic sponsored dinners, and that sort of thing.

6 Q. And did the Board members also express

7 their views that their constituents wanted all of

8 those practices to continue as well?

9 A. Yes.

10

13

15

Q. Okay. Ultimately the Board adopted a

11 policy that prohibits prayers at many of those

12 functions, is that right?

A. Yes, that is correct.

14 Q. And so in those instances the Board chose

not to follow the wishes of its constituents?

16 A. On those issues the Board chose to follow

17 what were the federal guidelines which were quite

18 specific regarding those types of instances. And

19 that's essentially what we incorporated into our

20 polices.

21 Q. Well, in adopting, I'm only speaking about

22 the School Board Prayer Policy now?

23 A. Okay.

24 Q. Unless it comes to contrast that with other

Page 183

1 majority of their constituents, all of their

2 constituents whom they spoke to or did they not

3 specify?

A. I would say that it would be the majority
 of the folks that they had talked to.

6 Q. Okay, and is that what they told you at the

7 Board meeting?

8 A. That is what I recall.

9 Q. Okay, so it would be fair for me to

10 understand that what the Board members, what you

11 understood all of the Board members to be saying was

12 that a majority of their constituents who had

13 contacted them did not want their Board to change

14 its practice of opening its meetings with a prayer?

15 A. That's a fair statement.

16 Q. Did anybody ask what efforts had been made

17 to determine the views of the people who had not

18 contacted them?

A. I don't recall any such question being
 asked.

21 Q. In addition to this expression that their

22 constituents did not want the Board to change its

23 practice of opening the meetings with a prayer, what

4 else was discussed during this four hour and 15

Page 185

policies, but in adopting the School Board Prayer

2 Policy, first question, did you Donald Hattier

3 consider what your constituents wanted in terms of

4 continuing the Board practice of opening its meeting

5 with a prayer?

A. No.

6

7 Q. Then why did you bother do tell your Board

8 members what they wanted?

9 A. Because that's what was asked.

10 Q. Okay. Did any other Board member at any

11 time during consideration of the School Board Prayer

12 Policy express the view that that Board member was

13 taking into consideration what his or her

14 constituents wanted?

15 A. I'm speculating from what I recall, I'd

have to say yes. I would have to state it as amatter of fact, no I can't do that.

18 Q. Which Board members do you believe said

19 such a thing, expressed the view that they were

20 taking into consideration what their constituents

21 wanted?

22 A. Possibly Reggie Helms, who else was on at

that particular point. Reggie comes to mind themost.

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

5

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 186

1 Q. What did Mr. Helms say in that respect?

2 A. The fact that most of his constituents

3 requested that we leave things the way it has been

4 for a very long time period.

Did anybody respond we'd really love to

6 take into consideration what the law is?

7 A. I believe the general consideration was

8 that since we are legislative body that we come

9 under March and therefor we are under the law. And

10 again I'm not an attorney, I'm a lay person, but

11 that is how I would read it.

12 Q. Now, as of August 23 there had been no

13 opinion from Judge Farnan?

14 A. That is correct.

15 Q. Also as of October 19, 2004 when the policy

16 was adopted. So describe for me what consideration

17 was given or what basis was given for the conclusion

18 that the Board was a legislative body that fell

19 under Marsh?

20 A. We are elected officials, we set policy, we

21 set tax rates, we set dates and from what we could

22 tell one of our Board members, and I do not recall

23 who, had pulled out the Delaware Code Book and had

24 looked this up and read it to us. I do not remember

Page 188

1 point but again I'm not an attorney and I don't have

2 the kind of mind that stores that sort of

3 information. But I have read as many of them as I

4 could find.

5

10

16

1

4

5

12

Q. I could give you names of cases, but since

6 I don't have that kind of mind either I can only

7 give you a few and they'd probably be the ones that

8 come down on my side of the issue.

9 A. Probably.

Q. But let me just ask you based on your

11 curiosity and investigation of this issue, would you

12 agree with me that the issue of whether a School

13 Board is treated as a legislative body is a

14 factually intensive issue?

15 A. Yes, it is.

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

17 A. I'm also aware that various school boards

18 are constituted in different ways in certain states.

19 Some of them are appointed, some of them are

20 non-elected, not all of them set tax rates, not all

21 of them set legislative issues, but I do believe

22 under the definition that is used here in Delaware

23 we did come under that, and I would have to say that

24 it might be Delaware specific.

Page 187

- 1 who it was, and if in my opinion that we came under
- 2 that as a legislative body and therefore we were
- 3 under Marsh.
- 4 Q. The Delaware Code Book said you were a
- 5 legislative body that falls under Marsh?
- 6 A. If we are a legislative body why would we
- 7 not come under Marsh.
- 8 Q. Did you read the Marsh opinion?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You understand that there is a factually
- 11 intensive analysis of what the board did, what
- 12 the --
- 13 A. As much as a lay person I can understand,
- 14 yes, okay, and that's again where some comments were
- 15 made that this has been a continuing part of the
- 16 fabric of the American way of for the last 200 plus
- 17 years.
- 18 Q. You seem like a person with genuine
- 19 curiosity about these issues?
- 20 A. Absolutely.
- 21 Q. Have you read the opinions that have been
- 22 issued on the question of School Board prayer?
- 23 A. Which opinions are you talking about
- 24 because I have read a lot of them at this particular

Page 189

- Q. The decision of the Board let me start
- 2 again. Did the Board arrive at a consensus that it
- 3 was a legislative body?
 - A. I believe we did.
 - Q. Do you know when it arrived at that
- 6 consensus?
- 7 A. No, sir, I do not. Somewhere through all
- 8 of this.
- 9 Q. Well, there was this long four hour
- 10 meeting, did the Board arrive at it at that
- 11 executive session meeting or was it later than that?
 - A. I'm going to make a guess that we had
- 13 arrived as a body by the time that that meeting was
- 14 over that that is where we were.
- 15 Q. Okay, the conclusion at the, and the only
- 16 part of this executive session minutes that I have,
- 17 says it was not felt that a decision could be made
- 18 there evening regarding whether or not to change our
- 19 past practice.
- 20 My question to you is, if you had arrived
- 21 at a consensus that the Board was a legislative
- 22 body, and if it was a legislative body it fell under
- 23 Marsh, and if it fell under Marsh it could open its
- 24 meetings with a prayer, and all of your constituents

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

3

9

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 190

- wanted you to open your meetings with a prayer, why
- was it that it was not felt by the Board presumably
- 3 that a decision could be made this evening regarding
- whether or not to change our past practice?
- A. I believe that was because as lay people
- 6 we were looking at that as an opinion rather than a
- 7 statement of fact and that we probably still needed
- more guidance on the issue in order to proceed.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, as lay people you arrived at a
- 10 consensus but you wanted more information?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

15

- 12 Where did you think that you were going to
- 13 get that information?
- 14 From an attorney.
 - Q. Was there an attorney present during this
- 16 four hour 15 minute executive session?
- 17 If I remember right this may have been the
- 18 time period where Mr. Neuberger talked to us. It
- 19 may have been, okay, I don't specifically recall.
- It was tie either some time in August or possibly 20
- some time in September when he talked to us. I do
- 22 remember one very long meeting that we had.
- 23 Q. If you look at the minutes of August 23rd,
- which is Exhibit 14?

Page 192

Page 193

- as of the time that you concluded that you couldn't
- 2 make a decision?
 - A. You know, as a I stated to you earlier, sir
- Mr. Griffin is a general attorney and for what we
- 5 were discussing and with the potential for
- 6 litigation we felt that it would be better to pick a
- 7 different attorney for another opinion.
- 8 In my opinion Mr. Griffin, and he is a find
- attorney, Mr. Griffin has served our district 10
- extremely well over the years, but he is a general
- 11 attorney and his issues do not run into the First
- 12. Amendment as a general rule. He would do contract
- 13 law he would do personal issues, but, you know,
- 14 First Amendment issues are not the sort of thing
- 15 that routinely come across his desk.
- 16 Q. So, you wanted to consult a First Amendment
- 17 specialist?
- 18 A. That was my general feeling, yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Was that the consensus of the Board by the
- 20 end of the August 23 executive session?
 - A. I would have to speculate that the answer
- 22 is yes.

21

2

- 23 O. And did somebody say in words or substance
- we better get ourselves a First Amendment Specialist

Page 191

- Right.
- 2 You will see that under other visitors and
- staff in attendance, Mr. Neuberger is not listed but
- Mr. Griffin is?
- A. August which date, sir?
- August 23, it's Exhibit 14. Not the
- 7 executive committee, the regular meeting. If you
- look at the stickers you will see the exhibit
- 9 numbers?
- 10 A. Well, then that wasn't the meeting he was
- 11 here. Okay then he wasn't here that meeting then it
- 12 means that we met some time in September.
- The person who was present at that meeting 13
- 14 was Mr. Griffin, is that correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And the Board had an opportunity to discuss
- 17 with Mr. Griffin whether the Board was a legislative
- 18 body?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Did the Board discuss with Mr. Griffin 20
- 21 whether the Board was a legislative body?
- 22 A. I believe we probably did.
- 23 So, you had already gotten advice from a
- 24 lawyer about whether the Board was an executive body

- 1 to test our consensus?
 - A. It would be the appropriate thing to do.
- Q. And in words or substance was that kind of 3
- what the Board said --
- 5 Something along those -- yeah, that's A.
- 6 actually, yes sir.
- 7 Did anyone say I wonder who we can get?
- 8 Yes, sir.
- 9 O. And Who said that?
- 10 A. Virtually all of us.
- 11 Did anyone answer who you could get?
- 12 Yes, we discussed the Alliance Defense
- 13 Fund, Liberty Counsel, Pacifica, we discussed
- 14 Rutherford. We discussed anybody who could
- 15 potentially do this pro bono.
 - Q. Did you discuss the ACLU, they are big
- 17 First Amendment folks?
- 18 A. The ACLU has a tendency to come down
- 19 against what we wanted to do so, no they were not
- 20 discussed other than as potential adversaries.
- 21 Q. The roster of people that you discussed,
- 22 seems to me, and correct me if I am wrong, to be
- 23 identical to the roster of organizations that you
- 24 discussed and described as supportive of more

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

16

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

3

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 194

1 traditional American values?

2 A. That is correct sir, that is a fair

3 statement.

- Q. So that in looking for somebody to give you
- 5 advice on whether the Board was a legislative body
- 6 you identified legal organizations that were as you
- 7 understood it likely to be in line with more
- 8 traditional American values?
- 9 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 10 Q. Correct?
- 11 A. As I understand it that is correct.
- 12 Q. That is what everybody wanted to do, right?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Did you thank Mr. Griffin for his counsel
- 15 and tell him that you wouldn't be needing his advice
- 16 anymore in connection with these issues?
- 17 A. I'm quite certain that we thanked him. In
- 18 terms of needing his advice, I don't know what to
- 19 say about that.
- 20 Q. And who was charged with contacting
- 21 Pacifica, Rutherford, ADF and so forth?
- 22 A. I believe Mr. Helms indicated that he had
- 23 talked to the Rutherford. I may have contacted them
- 24 on about the same time period. Reggie took the lead

Page 196

- 1 was a legislative body, is that right?
- 2 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
 - A. If you recall we also had the issue of
- 4 insurance coverage and I don't remember at that time
- 5 whether our insurance company had gotten back to us
- 6 yet or not in terms of determining how they would
- 7 want to approach the issue because if we are being
- 8 sued we have an obligation to work with them as
- 9 well. And I'm not quite sure whether they had
- 10 responded back to us at that point what their
- 11 position was or how they would approach it, I don't
- 12 remember that.
- 13 Q. That's helpful, but my question was at the
- 14 end of the day you never did retain an organization
- 15 that was a First Amendment specialist to provide you
- 16 with an opinion on whether the Board was a
- 17 legislative body?

18

21

23

1

2

7

- A. You mean in terms of --
- 19 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 20 A. -- of the overall suit itself?
 - Q. Correct?
- 22 A. No, we did not.
 - Q. And you personally didn't retain a First
- 24 Amendment specialist?

Page 195

- 1 on that. The folks that I had contacted as I stated
- 2 were ADF but I turned that over to the school system
- 3 rather than me pursuing it myself. With my job
- 4 responsibilities and family responsibilities I
- 5 cannot handle that kind of a load.
- 6 Q. The load of contacting the ADF and finding
- 7 out whether they could advise you on this issue?
- 8 A. Yeah, and making all the necessary contacts
- 9 and setting up their meetings and sending the
- 10 materials, et cetera.
- 11 Q. All right, so you turned over to, you said
- 12 the school system, I assume you mean the district?
- 13 A. The district, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. You turned over to the district the task of
- 15 contacting ADF, Mr. Helms took the lead in
- 16 contacting the Rutherford?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And you mentioned a couple of other legal
- 19 organizations, did anybody contact them as well?
- 20 A. I don't remember.
- 21 Q. So, at the end of the day, the Board
- 22 concluded not to hire anybody who was a specialist.
- 23 in the First Amendment, who was a specialist in the
- 24 First Amendment to advise you on whether the Board

Page 197

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. At the special meeting on August 23 was
- 3 there any discussion of the fact that there was
- 4 going to be a regular meeting on August 24?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And tell me what the substance of that
 - discussion was?
- 8 A. That we were going to discuss it on August
- 9 24th?
- 10 Q. Was there anything that you would be able
- 11 to discuss on August 24th that you couldn't have
- 12 discussed on August 23rd?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What was that?
- 15 A. Because if you figure that we blocked out
- 16 four hours to simply discuss all of this in one
- 17 meeting that would have put us home Wednesday tome
- 18 time at 3:30 or 4 o'clock in the morning and since
- 19 virtually all School Board members are volunteers
- 20 non-paid and we have other jobs we would not be able
- 21 to discuss the issue all in one shot.
- 22 This was a way for to us get together and
- 23 discuss the issue and then still be able to do the
- 24 School Board functions the next day. That's how I

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 198

looked at looked it.

- 2 Q. Sure, but as you said the reason that you
- 3 couldn't make a decision on August 23rd was that you
- 4 wanted to get the advice of a First Amendment
- 5 specialist?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. Other than Mr. Griffin and different from
- 8 Mr. Griffin on the issue of whether the Board was a
- 9 legislative body or not. You weren't going to have
- 10 that by August 24th were you?
- 11 A. No, I don't believe we were.
- 12 Q. Was there any discussion of, you know,
- 13 where you should have that August 24th meeting?
- 14 A. In the normal rotation.
- 15 Q. Was there any discussion of whether there
- 16 was a overflow expected?
- 17 A. No, sir, I don't believe that came up.
- 18 Q. Did you expect there to be an overflow at
- 19 that August 24 meeting?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 Q. Prior to the August 24 meeting you had had
- 22 occasion to discuss the issue of School Board prayer
- on a talk show hosted by a Dan Gaffney, is that
- 24 right?

Page 200

Page 201

- at a graduation, Baccalaureate ceremony and prayer
- 2 before the School Board meetings.
- 3 I mean essentially that's what it was, it
- had nothing to do with the way I saw it teachers
- 5 leading kids in prayer in schools or offering an
- 6 early morning prayer over the loud speaker. For
- 7 some reason a good number of the general public
- 8 seemed to have felt that that was something that we
- 9 were still doing when in point of fact that's been
- 10 out of the program for many many, many years.
- 11 That's if it ever was in our program to begin with.
- 12 And I'm not aware of any of that since consolidation
- 13 around the 1970s.
- 14 Q. How did the misconceptions come to your
- 15 attention?
- 16 A. By the types of phone calls and comments
- 17 and by the types that we talked about earlier. I
- 18 mean if you go back and look at some of the letters
- 19 to the editor that were written there, it was quite
- 20 clear that a lot of people did not understand all of
- 21 it.
- 22 Q. I might have asked you this question and it
- 23 just got lost in my backs and forths. Did you
- 24 suspect there to be an overflow at the August

Page 199

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you invited to go on that talk show?
- 3 A. No, I'm pretty sure I just called to answer
- 4 some of the public's questions about it.
- 5 Q. was it your hope that the public's interest
- 6 would be engaged in this important issue by your
- 7 appearing on the WGMD talk show?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. What was your hope in appearing --
- 10 A. That I would be able to clear up the
- 11 factual misconceptions which were out there.
- 12 Q. So, you had identified some factual
- 13 misconceptions?
- 14 A. Quite a few.
- 15 Q. Can you tell me what they were?
- 16 A. Yes, sir there were a lot of people who
- 17 were saying we were taking prayer out of school all
- 18 together. When essentially that was something that
- 19 had already been done a long time ago. There were
- 20 people who -- it essentially ran along those lines.
- 21 In other words, they did not understand what the
- 22 real issues in the case were and the way I
- 23 understood them at the time and still do, is that we
- 24 had the issue of any kind of a prayer or benediction

1 24th --

2

12

17

- A. No, sir, I did not.
- 3 Q. Sorry I did ask that now that I've said it
- 4 again. All right, at the August 24th meeting you
- 5 were in attendance correct?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Were you surprised by the number of people
- 8 who were in attendance?
- 9 A. Overwhelmed.
- 10 Q. And were you gratified?
- 11 A. Not particularly.
 - Q. Arrangements had been made to deal with the
- 13 overflow of people beyond the auditorium do you
- 14 recall that?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Do you know who made those arrangements?
 - A. I'm going to the assumption that it was by
- 18 our staff personnel in the school itself.
- Q. Do you know how the staff personnel came to
 learn that there was a likelihood that there would
- 21 be an overflow and that video cameras would be
- 22 necessary?
- 23 A. No, I do not.
- 24 Q. Did you ever have a discussion about that

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 202

- 1 with anybody?
- A. No, sir.O. It's your testimony, sir.
- Q. It's your testimony, sir, I take it that
- 4 your appearance on the WGMD talk show was not
- 5 intended to increase the number of people in
- 6 attendance at the August 24 Board meeting?
- 7 A. No, sir it is not. That had nothing to do 8 with why I appeared on the radio.
- 9 Q. Were you on the radio at the time that
- 10 Mr. Gaffney said you all ought to go out to the
- 11 Board meeting and express your views?
- 12 A. I don't remember.
- 13 Q. Dr. Hattier, you believe that a moment of
- 14 silence would be effective to solemnize the Board's
- 15 proceedings, isn't that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you believe that Board members are
- 18 capable of privately reminding themselves to take
- 19 their responsibility seriously, is that correct?
- 20 A. I would say.

16

1

6

- 21 Q. And you believe that Board members are
- 22 capable of privately reminding themselves to
- 23 discharge their duties as Board members to the very
- 24 best of their abilities?

Page 204

- word that we have to stop for a minute.
- let's go off the record.

MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the

record at approximately 3:05 p.m..

(WHEREUPON a brief recess was taken)

MS. DUPHILY: We are back on the record at approximately 3:20 p.m..

- Q. Dr. Hattier, in the August 23 executive
- 10 committee, sorry, executive session minutes which is
- 11 Exhibit 13, I hope you have in front of you. I
- 12 asked you some questions about the Board members
- 13 expressing that their constituents did not want the
- 14 Board to change its practice of opening the meeting
- 15 with a prayer. And we have identified that as a
- 16 very rough estimate maybe 200 people, you had
- 17 contact with 200 of your constituents on that issue?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did any of them tell you that they wanted
- 20 to Board to continue their practice in order to
- 21 preserve Christian values?
- 22 A. I think some of them did.
 - Q. Did you inquire what that meant?
- 24 A. No.

23

5

8

12

13

Page 203

- A. I would certainly hope so, sir.
- 2 Q. When you go into executive session do you
- 3 inquire of the district attorney whether the topics
- 4 for discussion at executive session are appropriate
- 5 for executive session.
 - A. I have never done that, no, sir.
- 7 Q. Actually that's good because I would want
- 8 to know what you did. Have you ever seen another
- 9 Board member inquire of the district's attorney
- 10 whether the topics to be discussed are appropriate?
- 11 A. Not that I remember.
- 12 Q. Do you know -- are you familiar generally
- 13 with the appropriate topics for executive session?
- 14 A. Things that would be considered private
- 15 things that would relate to employment, anything
- 16 that mentions a specific name of anyone who is
- 17 either in trouble or is facing some kind of a
- 18 suspension, contract negotiations, things that
- 19 generally would probably be best not seen by the
- 20 public and in this case I'm talking contract
- 21 negotiations, items relating to strategies in a
- 22 lawsuit such as this one. You know that's
- 23 essentially what I would feel.
- 24 MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm getting the

Page 205

- 1 Q. Did you inform those constituents that it
- 2 was not the purpose of the School Board Prayer
- 3 Policy being considered to preserve Christian
- 4 values?
 - A. Yes, I did, as many of them as I could.
- 6 Q. What did they say?
- 7 A. She listened.
 - O. Anybody respond that they didn't care that
- 9 what they wanted to do was preserve Christian
- 10 values?
- 11 A. uh-hum, yes.
 - Q. How many?
 - I can't tell you that, I don't know.
- 14 Q. Somewhere between zero and 200?
- 15 A. Probably less than that. Well, certainly 16 no less than zero, but I don't think it was a
- 17 majority.
- 18 Q. I was I being facetious, and that's not
- 19 right because it won't come through properly. But
- 20 it was not one or two, this was a significant number
- 21 of?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Of you constituency that you talked to,
- 24. correct?

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 206

 A. Yes, sir. As I stated earlier 	I think a
------------------------------------------------------	-----------

- lot of people have a misunderstanding, or had a
- 3 misunderstanding as to what was really at issue, and
- 4 I'm somewhat convinced that there is still a lot of
- 5 people who still don't understand what's at issue.
- 6 You know as is true with almost any
- 7 situation the public sees the part that they want to
- 8 see. They don't see all of the details. That's my
- 9 opinion.

10

- Q. And your concern in that respect would be
- 11 that the public would perceive this School Board
- 12 Prayer Policy with the purpose that you have
- 13 articulated for it as serving a different purpose,
- 14 that is preserving Christian values?
- 15 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

16 A. Some of them might, and some of them

- 17 probably would.
- Q. On a different issue we talked about advice
- 19 from Mr. Griffin during the executive session
- 20 minutes and you were concerned that Mr. Griffin was
- 21 not a First Amendment lawyer. Are you aware of any
- 22 instances in the past where the Board relied on
- 23 Mr. Griffin's advice on First Amendment issues?
- 24 A. No, sir I'm not aware of that at all.

Page 208

- have come from Mr. Griffin in terms of discussing
- 2 what is the First Amendment, how you deal with
- 3 prayer and students in general.
- 4 Q. So, your best recollection is it wasn't a
 - concern expressed by a Board member?
- 6 A. Not to my best recollection, no, sir.
- 7 Q. And I take it the only specific that you
- 8 can recall that was discussed on that topic was
- 9 somebody in words or substance saying hey they can
- 10 go to Legislative Hall in Dover and hear a prayer
- 11 there, why can't --

12 A. Something to that general effect.

13 Something to that general effect.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's mark as

Hattier Exhibit 17 a copy of a document

bearing Bates numbers BPD76 through 86.

(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 17 was

marked for identification)

- 19 Q. Again, my first question is can you
- 20 identify Hattier Exhibit 17 as the official minutes
- 21 approved by the Board of it August 24, 2004 Board
- 22 meeting?

14

15

16

17

18

- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. In the call to order you will see that

Page 207

- Q. At any time during the four hours or so of
- 2 this executive session on August 23, remember this
- 3 is the one with Mr. Griffin there, the discussion of
- 4 constituents and whatnot, did any Board member
 5 express any concern about the fact that students
- 6 attend Indian River School District School Board
- 7 meetings?
- 8 A. I believe that it came up with the general
- 9 discussion.
- 10 Q. What was discussed in the respect?
- 11 A. That students were there, and it was
- 12 bantered back and forth as to, you know, is this
- 13 part of what we should be doing, is it part of not
- 14 what we should be doing. But again I think that's
- 15 why we are here with the litigation is because the
- 16 students can also go to Legislative Hall where they
- 17 would do the same thing, you know whereas with what
- 18 we do. It would be more of a general discussion,
- 19 sir.
- 20 Q. Did, I mean what triggered the discussion?
- 21 Did someone say well you know we have students at
- 22 School Board meetings maybe we shouldn't have
- 23 prayers?
- 24 A. I believe if it came from anybody it would

Page 209

- 1 president Walls called the meeting to order and then
- 2 asked Dr. Hattier to give a prayer?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you know in advance that a Mr. Walls
- 5 was going to ask you tp give the prayer at that
- 6 meeting?

4

7

14

17

- A. Yes, sir I did.
- 8 Q. How did you know that?
- 9 A. I volunteered.
- 10 Q. When did you volunteer?
- 11 A. It could have been the night before or at
- 12 some other time, I don't remember.
- 13 Q. And when you volunteered did you have in
 - mind the prayer that you wanted to give?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. And where did you get that prayer?
 - A. It might have been brought in partially by
- 18 Mr. Neuberger at some point, and again I wish I
- 19 could remember when he actually talked to us, I
- 20 don't, okay. The other thing is I went on the
- 21 Internet and I looked at about a half a dozen
- historical prayers of various time periods anddecided on which one I felt fit the occasion the
- 24 best and that's what I gave.

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 210

1 Q. What made you choose that particular

2 prayer?

3 A. I like to consider himself myself an

4 amateur historian. I spend enough hours at VPI so

5 that I could have a minor in history on paper. VPI

6 does not minors, however, and I have continued with

7 a love of history all of my life and I felt that

8 since this is a contentious issue that if you would

9 like to argue with somebody you can argue with

10 George Washington. If it was good enough for George

11 Washington to give then why is it not good enough

12 for me to give also.

Q. A rhetorical question?

14 A. A rhetorical question, yes, sir.

15 MR. GOSSELIN: You can answer it.

16 Q. The text of the prayer that you gave is not

17 in the minutes?

18 A. No.

13

3

6

20

19 Q. We have the prayer or we know the prayer

20 that you gave?

21 A. Uh-hum.

22 Q. Is it correct that that's not a prayer that

23 invokes particular religions, sorry. Your prayer

24 does not invoke the name of Jesus Christ?

Page 212

them I had some handwritten notes on. I go through

2 multiple drafts of things which means that I would

3 have probably brought two of them. You know, one

4 that a more rough and another one that I scribbled

5 something else on. I do recall giving them both

6 away.

8

7 Q. Do you know who you gave them to?

A. No, sir. There were quite a few people

9 there that night.

10 Q. Yes, so I understand. The minutes reflect

11 that a president Walls recommended that the agenda

12 be amended to allow 45 minutes for public comments

13 due to the large number of persons who requested to

14 speak at the meeting. That was approved 10 to

15 nothing. You voted for that, I take it?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Was there any consideration given to

18 permitting as much time as necessary to let everyone

19 speak?

20 A. The general speaking time period is 15

21 minutes and given the large numbers of people that

22 were there, we increased it to 45 minutes which is

23 basically three time what we would normally do, and

24 then I believe we always allowed some time at the

Page 211

5

6

7

8

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. And was that by design?

A. No, that's more in keeping with the way I

4 personally would pray.

5 And actually if I looked, I gave my only

copies of that to several reporters when they left,

7 so personally do not have a copy of it. I mean if

8 you have a copy of it I'd be happy to discuss it

9 with you. But the way George Washington and some of

10 the contemporaries of the founding fathers used

11 words like the creator of our divine providence, et

12 cetera. The way they used the words would have been

13 different that perhaps in the way we do, but it may

14 have meant exactly the same thing if you had used

15 the words Jesus Christ. So, I would have to look at

16 exactly what I said. If you guys have a copy I'd

17 love to see it.

18 Q. You brought more than one copy of the

19 prayer to the meeting?

A. Yes, I did.

21 Q. Was that for the purpose of distributing it

22 to reporters afterwards?

23 A. I figured somebody might want a copy of it.

24 I seem to remember bringing two. One of

Page 213

1 end because there was also a second public comments

2 period, but as I stated earlier there are other

3 things that happen besides at the School Board

4 meeting besides this, and we did have other things

that we needed to discuss.

So, we could spend the entire night on it which I don't see would have been very productive

which would have simply left us with a lot of other

9 Board items to do at another time period.

10 Q. I want to come to the comments at the

11 meeting in few minutes. If you look at page four of

12 the minutes, under the public comments section?

13 A. Page four, okay.

14 Q. At the bottom of the public comment section

15 which lists all of the people who spoke, there is a

16 note from president Walls in which he notes, "That

17 the Board would be reviewing additional information

18 regarding this issue and that a public forum may be

19 scheduled in the future?"

20 A. I believe he said that, yes, sir.

21 Q. And was that something that had been

22 discussed by the Board in advance, this public forum

23 idea?

24 A. We might have. I don't have a clear

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 214

1	recollection	of it.	however.
	reconection	VI 14	HOWEVEL.

- Q. Did the Board ever have a public forum on
- 3 these issues?
- 4 A. I don't think we did.
- 5 Q. Why is that?
- 6 A. I don't know what to tell you there.
- Q. If you look at page six of the minutes up
- 8 you will see that there is a reference down at the
- 9 bottom to first reading of policy on school
- 10 ceremonies and observances?
- 11 A. Uh-hum.
- 12 Q. Mr. Griffin noted that the draft policy is
- 13 based on guidelines from the Department of
- 14 Education?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And is that what Mr. Griffin told the
- 17 Board. I mean that was true, isn't it?
- 18 A. It is true, but I think we had pretty much
- 19 arrived at the conclusion independently of what he
- 20 said that that's what we ought to be doing.
- 21 Q. And the triggering event for consideration
- 22 of those issues was Mrs. Dobrich's comment to the
- 23 Board, is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes, sir, I believe that to be true, yes.

Page 216

- 1 Like you guys noted you videotaped it, there was
- 2 just a huge amount of people. I think it surprised
- 3 all of us.

4

5

- Q. You understand that we didn't videotape it?
- A. Somebody videotaped it. I assumed it was
- 6 you guys, because somebody from your office has been
- 7 videotaping an awful lot of our meetings the last
- 8 two years. There is always somebody in the back
- 9 room, I believe it's this gentleman here who comes
- 10 in and he sits in the back and he has his little
- 11 video camera. So, my assumption was that he
- 12. videotaped us. I didn't.
- 13 Q. So, you don't know who videotaped the
- 14 August 24 meeting?
- 15 A. No, I do not.
- 16 Q. It certainly wasn't at your direction?
- 17 A. No, I didn't even know a videotape exits
- 18 until you guys just told me about it now.
- 19 Q. Was the meeting audio taped?
- 20 A. The policy is for Mr. Hearn to turn the
- 21 video, sorry, the audiotape on and record what is
- 22 said. I believe she did.
- 23 Q. That is the policy of the Board?
- 24 A. That would be the policy, the consistent

Page 215

- 1 Q. On page nine of the minutes there are
- 2 reflected two additional public comments from Pam
- 3 Shockley and Collen Waters, do you see that?
- 4 A. It should be Colleen, I think. Oh, no wait
- 5 a minute that is Collen, sorry.
- 6 Q. Mr. Griffin is noted as having informed Ms.
- 7 Shockley that according to the regulations of the
- 8 State Department of Education prayer must be student
- 9 issued and student led. Is that advice that
- 10 Mr. Griffin gave to the Board as well?
- 11 A. I believe it is.
- 12 Q. And then there is a executive session at
- 13 10:55 with the Board reconvening in public session
- 14 at 12:55. Was there a discussion at the executive
- 15 session of the public comments on the School Board
- 16 prayer issue?
- 17 A. I don't remember. We were having a lot of
- 18 issues at that time with irrigation at the Sussex
- 19 Central High School, and I do remember Dr. Isaacs is
- 20 a director with the University of Delaware in the
- 21 agricultural department and I believe he spent a lot
- 22 of time discussing some irrigation things and what
- 23 we should be doing. I don't recall, I think we were
- 24 all surprised at the amount of people who came by.

1 policy.

- Q. Do you recall, do you have a specific
- 3 recollection in your mind of the tenor of the public
- 4 comments at the August 24 meeting?
- 5 A. Everything from very respectful to very
- 6 angry. I mean it swung a huge gamut.
- 7 Q. Were there comments that you found
- 8 offensive?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Was anything done to curb the offensiveness
- 11 of those comments?
- 12 A. As is noted under the public comments
- 13 section, it is our job to listen, not, it is a one
- 14 way conversation. It is not our policy to comment
- 15 as a rule on what people say unless they get out of
- 16 line in terms of mentioning names. That has
- 17 happened in which case we have shut it down. I
- 18 think in some cases here we probably could have told
- 19 people to tone it down and didn't.
- 20 I mean like I said there were some things
- 21 that in my opinion went a little bit out of hand.
- 22 But when you are dealing with a large group of
- 23 people like that and everybody does have a right to
- 24 speak, you do not she screen beforehand what they

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

Page 217

1

6

16

Dobrich, et al. Donald Hattier

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 218

- 1 are going to say.
- 2 Q. Did the comments at the public comment
- 3 portion of the August 24 meeting confirm your view
- 4 that many members of the public understood the
- 5 School Board Prayer Policy to be an issue of whether
- 6 or not the Board would be preserving Christian
- 7 values.

24

- 8 A. No. As a matter of fact, if I came away
- 9 with any impression at all it's that a huge amount
- 10 of people did not understand what the real issue was
- 11 and if you have listened to it, I think you would
- 12 agree that a lot of people did not really understand
- 13 what this was about.
- 14 Most of them in my opinion felt that more
- 15 or what was happening in here was changing something
- 16 that had been in our community for again at least
- 17 the 30 some odd years that we can document for sure,
- 18 and probably prior to that.
- 19 Q. Yes, and if that practice was preserved as
- 20 people were urging, you understood that they were
- 21 urging its preservation as a way to preserve
- 22 Christian values, isn't that correct?
- 23 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
 - A. I would have seen it more as a way of

Page 220

- Board's practice on prayer at regular Board meetings
- 2 in one respect, which is that it expanded the number
- 3 of Board members offered the opportunity to-
- 4 solemnize the proceedings either with a prayer or a
- 5 moment of silent whatever?
 - A. Right.
- 7 Q. The policy itself, though, provides that if
- 8 a member chooses not to exercise the opportunity the
- 9 next member in rotation shall be given the
- 10 opportunity, correct?
- 11 A. That is what I understand, yes.
- 12 Q. So, unless all ten members decline the
- 13 opportunity there will be a prayer or a moment of
- 14 silence in accord with the freedom of conscience of
- 15 the individual adult Board members, is that right?
 - A. That is the way it's written.
- 17 Q. In practice, since you offered the prayer
- 18 at the August 24th meeting, since that time has
- 19 there been any meeting at which there has, a Board
- 20 member has not taken up the opportunity to solemnize
- 21 the proceedings?
- 22 A. I believe that to be true. Some of them
- 23 have not chosen to, have chosen not to speak or not
- 24 to pray or whatever.

Page 219

- 1 preserving what has been in the community for the
- 2 last 30 or 35 years. Again, everybody looks at
- 3 religious in their own way. Some people understood
- 4 it for the splenification that it is and some people
- 5 simply felt that something was being stripped away
 6 from us period. So, I don't think you can lump them
- 7 all into one category.
- 8 Q. Well, fair enough, I didn't mean suggest
- 9 that there was unanimity of expression, but didn't
- 10 you understand a number of the comments to be urging
- 11 the Board to preserve the existing practice in order
- 12 to preserve Christian values?
- 13 A. Some people --
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 15 A. I'm sorry, sir.
- 16 MR. GOSSELIN: Wait until he is
- 17 done asking the question, give me a chance to object
- 18 which I am doing here. Now you can answer the
- 19 question.
- 20 A. Okay, thank you. I apologize. I'm certain
- 21 there were people who felt that way and did that,
- 22 yes.

14

- 23 Q. Look at Hattier Exhibit 9 which is the
- 24 policy itself. You told me that it changed the

Page 221

- Q. And in that instance the opportunity goes
- to the next Board member?
- 3 A. Yes.

1

2

8

10

17

- 4 Q. And is there some, how do you keep track of
- 5 who's next in the rotation?
- 6 A. That's up to the president of the Board and
- 7 I'm not sure how he keeps track of it.
 - Q. That's a question I got to ask him?
- 9 A. Yeah answer that for you, sir.
 - Q. Let me make sure that I understand. If an
- 11 individual Board member affirmatively wishes not to
- 12 have a prayer or a moment of the silence there is no
- 13 way for him to effectuate that desire under the
- 14 policy, is there?
- 15 A. It doesn't look like it, does it.
- 16 Q. We have now gone through the June, July and
 - August meetings and so far as I can tell from the
- 18 Board minutes there has still been no public
- 19 discussion of the School Board Prayer Policy, is
- 20 that correct?
- 21 A. Probably correct.
- 22 Q. Did the School Board read policy BDA.1
- 23 before it voted on it?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

5

8

18

2

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 222

MR. ALLINGHAM: This would be 1 2 Hattier Exhibit 18 bearing Bates number 3 BPD182 through 191.

(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 18 was marked for identification)

- Here on September 28th you will see that 6 7 there is an executive session from 6:30 to 7:15 --8 by the way, these are the official meeting minutes
- 9 of this meeting, is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 And there is an executive session from 6:30 Q.
- 12 to 7:15?

5

18

- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The only topic is collective bargaining 14
- pending a potential litigation. Am I correct that 15
- 16 that executive session was convened to discuss the
- 17 School Board Prayer Policy?
 - A. I don't remember.
- 19 On page three of the minutes, you will see
- 20 under public comments that you are recorded as
- thanking the administration for their work in 21
- 22 organizing a plan to successfully handle the large
- 23 number of persons in attendance at last month's
- Board meeting, do you see that?

Page 224

- think they did really good.
- 2 So, it didn't come, there are people to
- 3 whom it didn't come as a shock that there were going
- 4 to be hundreds and hundreds of people?
 - Apparently not, no, sir.
- 6 Q. But it as a shock to you?
- 7 A. Actually yes, it was.
 - On page six of the minutes you will see
- 9 that near the top Mr. Walls submitted Policy BDA.1
- 10 Board Prayer at Regular Board Meetings for a first
- 11 reading. Do you have any reason to doubt that it
- 12 was September 28th that the policy was first read?
- 13 A.
- 14 So, am I correct that at some point between
- 15 August 24 and September 28 --
- A. Wait a minute, back up, I'm sorry. I was 16
- 17 reading the wrong thing, sir.
 - Okay, page six.
- 19 A. Okay got it, top of the page first reading
- 20 all right, thank you.
- 21 Q. Am I correct that at some point between
- 22 August 24th and September 28th the Board policy
- 23 committee arrived at a final determination about the
- 24 text of the proposed Board Prayer Policy?

Page 223

- A. Yes, sir. 1
- Q. How did you come to learn that the
- administration had organized a plan successfully to
- handle those persons?
- A. I found out that they had contacted the
- Delaware State Police to see to it that the traffic
- was handled smoothly, that parking would be handled 7
- smoothly, that there were chairs set up in virtually
- 9 two auditoriums, that they had gone to the extra 10 effort to see to it that there would be video
- cameras and monitors in the school gymnasium itself
- to handle, that way people weren't just standing 12
- 13 around.
- 14 You know, when you look at the numbers -
- the average School Board attendance might be 50 15
- people, you know, you said you were a School Board 16
- 17 member, it's generally under attended and all of a
- sudden we have anywhere between, I'm going to make a 18
- guess, six to 800 people. There was a large group 19
- 20 in any event
- 21 I think it reflected well on the
- administration to have planned ahead for that, and 22
- to handle it so that there were no incidents of any
- type. There weren't even parking accidents. I

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
 - Now, in the process of adopting polices
- 3 dust the Board approve the proposed text as well
- before it goes to reading?
- 5 A. That's the purpose of the first reading.
- Okay. There is also a first reading for
- Policy IN.1 on Religion and the policy on School
- 8 Prayer at Commencement and Baccalaureate, these are
- 9 all first readings, correct?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Okay?
- 12 A. The baccalaureate one changes were made to
- 13 it so, even though technically it would have been a
- 14 second reading because of the changes that were made
- 15 it had to be resubmitted as a first reading.
- 16 Now, over on page nine in the second
- 17 heading there is a another public comment section.
- 18 Is it customary for there to be multiple public
- 19 comment sections in the Board meetings?
- 20 A. There is also an opportunity for a second
- 21 public comments at the end of the meeting. As I
- 22 explained earlier, the standard allotted time is 15
- 23 minutes, five minutes if you are part of a group,
- 24 three minutes if you are an individual. But with

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

Page 225

5

6

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 226

the numbers of people who came forward the month before we had increased it to 45 minutes initially,

3 however it is always our policy that there be two

4 would public comment sections.

In my opinion that gives the public a chance to listen to have we have done and then give

7 us their take on it. People do not always, however,

8 take us up on the opportunity. If there is any 9 public comment period that gets skipped more often

10 than not, this is the one.

11 Q. Now, here the Reverend Jerry Fike is

12 reported as having thanked the Board for continuing

13 to have a prayer at the beginning of the Board

meeting. I take it from the fact that you voted to 14

15 approve these minutes that you believe that

16 accurately reflects what Mr. Fike said?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And then the minutes record that he also

19 expressed his apology to Mona Dobrich for any

20 comments he made during his prayer as Sussex Central

21 High School's graduation ceremonies that offended

22 her?

7

21

24

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. And I take it from the fact that you Page 228

Now, if you could take Hattier 18 and

2 Hattier 19, and look at them together. You'll see

that on Hattier 18 the September 28th minutes the

4 call to order is reflected as president Harvey Walls

called the regular meeting of the Indian River

School District Board of Education to order at 6:30

p.m.. There is no notation about a prayer.

MR. GOSSELIN: You are looking at

9 BPD208?

8

12

10 MR. ALLINGHAM: No İ'm looking at 11 182, the September minutes, Hattier 18.

MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm sorry, my

13 mistake.

14 Okay. A.

15 Q. Do you know whether a prayer was offered at

16 that meeting?

17 A. On September 28th generally we would open

18 with a moment of prayer, either before the

19 presentation of colors or after the presentation of

20 colors. Which is the Pledge of Allegiance and the

units either the ROTC units, you know, bringing the 21

22 flag down. Okay, so on the 28th it looks like we

had a prayer or an invocation of some type at about 23

7:30 when we would normally start the reconvening

Page 227

approved the minutes that you also thought that 1

2 accurately reflected his comments?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. Did you think it appropriate for Reverend

Fike to express his apology to Mona Dobrich?

6 A. Well, I think that since things rose up

under something he said I would certainly think it

was a reaching out if nothing else. And I

9 personally feel that yes it was appropriate.

10 Q. On page ten under pending or potential

11 litigation, there is a reference to Mr. Helms'

12 motion seconded by Dr. Isaacs that the Board secure

13 legal counsel regarding potential litigation. I

14 take it from this that as of September 28th the

15 Board had not retained any counsel relating to the

16 issues in this litigation?

17 A. I believe we talked to the counsel by that

18 particular point, but I don't think we had made any

19 decision to officially hire anybody.

20 MR. ALLINGHAM: This is Hattier

Exhibit 19 a document bearing Bates numbers

BPD208 to 217. 22

23 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 19 was

marked for identification)

Page 229

versus the regular district meeting in which case we

2 started right at 7:30 and gave it at that time.

3 Q. Fair enough. So what you are referring to

4 in Hattier 18, the September 28 minutes is that on

page two of the minutes after the presentation there

6 is a statement president Walls stated it's been the

7 history and custom of the Indian River School

District Board of Education to open its meetings

9 with a prayer. He noted that the prayer is

10 voluntary and among the members of the Board. He

11 then asked Dr. Hattier to give an invocation?

12 A. Yes.

15

17

20

13 Q. And this the second straight meeting at

14 which you gave an invocation?

A. You know, I don't remember giving the

16 second one, but if it says I did, I must have.

You must have known in advance?

18 I must have known in advance. I don't

19 remember the second one at all, but I must have.

Do you remember what prayer you gave?

21 No, I do not. Knowing my past practice it

22 would have been another historical one.

23 This history and custom of the Indian River

24 School District Board of Education to open its

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

7

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 232

Page 230

- meetings with a prayer, is that a custom and
- practice of opening its public meetings with a 2
- prayer, its special meetings with a prayer, its 3
- public sessions, its closed session, is there any
- consistent practice?
- A. I'm going to belief that the consistent
- practice was the public sessions. That's the way
- 8 it's been ever since I have been on the Board and
- 9 I'm pretty sure that's the way it was in the past.
 - Q. Is it correct that the Board does not open
- 11 its executive sessions with a prayer?
- 12 A. No. We've already opened at that particular
- 13 point, it's merely a continuation.
- 14 Well, in this particular Board meeting you
- 15 had already met in executives session for 45
- 16 minutes?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

Q. ability?

A. Correct.

A. As I said --

10

- 17 A. To my knowledge the time being on the Board
- we've never opened it early with prayer, we've
- 19 always opened it around either before or after the

A. The executive sessions or anything that we

treated in that fashion. I believe that we viewed

- 20 presentation of colors. That's just been part of
- 21 the general opening up of the way the Board runs.
- 22 Q. I take it then that on September 28th at
- 23 least you didn't feel the need to solemnize the

it for the School Board meeting itself.

importance of taking your duties seriously --

In point of no, I agree.

open the closed meetings with a prayer?

21 times when we did it after the colors.

Q. Is there any difference in terms of the

Discharging them to the best of your

Q. Is it just a historical accident that you

opened the public meetings with a prayer but don't

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

history and practice of the district for at least as

the presentation of the colors. I believe at this

do you recall whether the closed session opened with

long as I can remember that it's around the time of

point we have moved it before the colors. There are

In that very lengthy meeting on August 23rd

My apologies. As I stated, it has been the

proceeding until 7:30? 24

- A. No, it would not have.
- 2 Do you recall whether the meeting itself
- 3 opened with a prayer?
- 4 A. On the very lengthy one you mean the August
- 5 23rd meeting --
- 6 The August 23rd meeting --
 - A. No, I don't believe it would have opened
- 8 with a prayer.
- 9 Is that because that was a special meeting?
- 10 A. I believe so, yes sir.
- 11 There is no reason to distinguish between
- 12 the importance of Board members' performance of
- 13 their duties on August 23 and say August 24, is
- 14 there?
- 15 A. No, in point of fact no, I agree with that.
- But that has not been the history or the practice of 16
- 17 the district for again as many years as I can
- 18 recall.
- 19 Well, let me ask the question bluntly, do
- 20 think it's any less important to solemnize the
- 21 proceedings, it was any less important to solemnize
- 22 the proceeding on August 23rd than it was on August
- 23 24th? It was equally important on both dates,
- 24 wasn't it?

Page 231

- Page 233 1 A. Certainly. And based on your comments in
- the future sir we may do that. do beforehand from what I can recall has never been 2
 - 3 Q. All right, I gave you Hattier Exhibit 19
 - 4 this the October 19, 2004 meeting, and here
 - 5 immediately after the roll call president Walls asks
 - 6 again for an invocation and noting the history and
 - 7 that it's voluntary among the members of the Board 8
 - of Education and he asks Dr. Hattier to give the
 - 9 invocation again -
 - 10 A. Uh-hum.
 - 11 - did you know that you were going to
 - 12 asked to give the invocation on October 19th?
 - 13 A. I must have. I don't remember but I must

 - 15 Q. Is this consistent with the practice of the
 - 16 Board going backward from August 24 that you were
 - 17 primarily asked to give the invocation?
 - 18 A. Yes, that would be a deviation. I can't
 - 19 tell you why, why I was elected to do it. I don't
 - 20 know what to say about that. But I do know that we
 - 21 were trying to start rotating it on or about that
 - 22 time period.
 - 23 On or about October 19th? Q.

14 have.

24

Well, actually on or about even September

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

a prayer?

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

2

3

4

5

8

10

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 234 28th, I mean that's when the whole process would

have started. I'm not sure why Mr. Walls asked me 2

to do it. 3

Q. Okay so, you had in your hands for the 4

5 first reading the actual policy which contemplated

6 rotation?

7

A. Right.

8 Q. And it was your understanding that the

9 rotation practice was going to begin right away?

A. That's what I would have felt, yes, sir. 10

11 So, you can't recall why on October 19th

you were asked to give it again? 12

13 A. No, I can't.

Q. For the third time in a row? 14

A. No, that would have been the second. 15

16 Q. No, August 24 and September and then

October 19? 17

18 A. You know what, I don't remember giving it

three times in a row. I do remember giving it 19

20 specifically, I do remember specifically giving it

at the big meeting, and I could have given it one 21

other time, but I really don't remember giving it 22

23 three times in a row.

24 Q. Well, do you check the minutes to make sure Page 236

Page 237

of the next Board minutes on November 16,

2004.

(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 20 was marked for identification)

Q. Keep 19 in front of you as well as 20. I

just wanted to go to 20 for a minute because --6

7 A. Okay.

> You'll see that --Q.

9 Nope didn't happen.

at the November 16, 2004 meeting the

minutes reflect that you were asked to give an 11

12 invocation with the usual drill about the history

13 and the voluntary nature. Did you give the

invocation at the November 16 Board meeting? 14

15 A. You know, at this point I have to plead ignorance. I can't tell you because I don't believe

16

that I did four in a row. I just don't think I did 17

18 four in a row.

19 Wouldn't it be your practice at least with

20 respect to points at which the minutes refer

21 specifically to you to make sure that they were

22 accurate?

23 A. It certainly would.

24 You did vote to approve all these minutes,

Page 235

that they are accurate before you vote to approve 1

2 them?

3 A. Obviously I did not, not on this one. I'll

4 have to go back and take a look at what my daytimer

notes show that I did during that time period. I

6 mean it's possible I logged in a time block where I

7 looked something up on the Internet. Which is my

8 habit on most occasions, not all of them.

9 Q. That is a your habit is to record on your

10 daytimer when you were looking stuff up on the

11

A. When I'm doing a block of activities. I 12

13 have four children, several rental properties, I'm

active in the Rotary, I'm on the School Board, my 14

15 wife is legally blind and doesn't drive at night, so

we've had some interesting times and my wife and I 16

17 spend a lot of time trying to coordinate what the

various activities are going to be. I mean it makes 18

19 for an exciting life sometimes.

20 Q. One upshot of which is that you try to mark

21 down on your daytimer what you are doing?

22 A. I try, yes, sir.

23 MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm going to ask to have marked as Hattier Exhibit 20 a copy 24

right? 1

> 2 A. Yes, I did.

3 Q. Let's go back to Hattier Exhibit 19. Oh,

4 by the way at the September 28th Board minutes, this

is Hattler 18, there is still no public discussion

6 of the Board Prayer Policy, is there?

7 A. You said the 28th, correct?

8 Yeah, Hattier Exhibit 18? 9

A. No, it looks like the only thing we did at

10 that time period was the policy committee the

11 committee first and second readings. Actually all

12 first readings.

13 Q. And there were a couple of executive

14 sessions where again the rubric of pending and

15 potential litigation is --

16 A. Correct.

Q. Is it your belief that you may have

discussed the School Board prayer and other prayer 18

19 polices during those executive sessions?

20 Probably.

Now, in the October 19 minutes, if you will

22 look at page five, you will see that under policy

23 committee --

24 A. Uh-hum.

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

17

21

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

1

5

6

8

15

18

7

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 238

1 Q. -- there is a second reading and

- 2 recommended approval and subsequent approval of four
- 3 new policies including Board prayer, religion and
- 4 school prayer at commencement, graduation and
- 5 baccalaureate, do you see that?
- 6 A. Uh-hum.
- O. And there was no public discussion of these
- policies at any time prior to this approval either,
- 9 was there?
- 10 A. Not unless Mr. Walls did it separately from
- 11 what I'm aware of.
- 12 Q. Separately outside of the Board meetings
- 13 which these minutes record?
- 14 A. In other words, at his policy committee
- 15 meetings.
- 16 Q. Fair enough. You are not on the policy
- 17 committee?
- 18 A. No, sir, I'm not.
- 19 Q. At any rate the full Board never had a
- 20 public discussion of the School Board Prayer Policy,
- 21 is that right?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. And is that the custom and practice of the
- 24 Board to have no public discussion of a policy

Page 240

- A. Somewhere in there, yes, sir.
- Q. Did you ever have an opportunity to ask
- 3 anyone representing the Rutherford Institute where
- 4 it got the language in these policies?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Was there any discussion of the language in
- 7 these policies at any time at the Board level?
 - A. This would have been discussed at an
- 9 executive committee meeting.
- 10 Q. Executive session?
- 11 A. Executive session, I'm sorry, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. At any time did anyone offer any other
- 13 purpose for the Board Prayer Policy other than to
- 14 solemnify its proceedings?
 - A. No they did not.
- 16 Q. No Board member ever suggested that it was
- 17 an appropriate policy to preserve Christian values?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 19 Q. Paragraph three says such opportunity, that
- 20 is the opportunity to pray, have a moment of
- 21 silence, such opportunity shall not be used or
- 22 exploited to proselytize, advance or convert anyone
- 23 or to derogate or otherwise disparage any particular
- 24 faith or belief. Is it your view that that limits

Page 239

- 1 that's being adopted?
- 2 A. Well, the idea is that you read it at the
- 3 meeting on a first reading and then if the public
- 4 has a comment, they would contact the schools or I
- 5 don't think they speak up at the meetings
- 6 themselves, but the idea is that if you have an
- 7 objection to it that you would contact the
- 8 administration and they would make whatever
- 9 appropriate changes would need to be made. That's
- 10 the way I understand it.
- 11 Q. Yes, sir, my question was whether the Board
- 12 typically at some point prior to the adoption of a
- 13 policy engages in public discussion of the policy
- 14 and the reasons for it?
- 15 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 16 Q. Why did you vote for the Board Prayer
- 17 Policy?
- 18 A. I felt it was the right thing to do.
- 19 Q. The Board Prayer Policy as we've
- 20 established is in substance identical to the and
- 21 mostly verbatim to the hanging of the proposed
- 22 policy that was given to you by the Rutherford
- 23 Institute back in looks like August 30th or
- 24 thereabouts?

- 1 in any way the right, the constitutional right of
- 2 individual Board members to pray as they see fit?
- 3 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. Go
- 4 ahead.
 - A. I believe that if you are offering a prayer
- 6 for splenification that it is not the correct place
 - to derogate or put anybody else down because that
- 8 does not solemnify anything. All that does is at
- 9 that particular point become insulting. I mean, as
- 10 I said, I grew up in the military I sat through many
- 11 experiences with chaplains in mixed groups and what
- 12 I saw the chaplains do, the military chaplains was
- 13 nothing but uphold what I would consider to be the
- 14 highest ideals of God and country and make it as
- 15 inclusive as possible.
- And I genuinely believe that that's where my Board members, my fellow Board members were
- 18 coming from also.
- 19 Q. That is to say they wanted to preserve the
- 20 freedom to pray, but they also had a desire to be as
- 21 inclusive as possible?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- 23 Q. Okay. How will you know as a Board member
- 24 whether one of your fellow Board members uses an

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

Page 241

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 242

1 opportunity established in paragraph one, to

2 proselytize, advance or convert anyone or to

derogate or otherwise disparage any particular faith 3

4 or belief?

5

16

17

18

20

1

5

6

7

14

16

A. How do I answer this one. I believe it was

a Supreme Court justice who said I can't define 6

7 pornography but I know it when I see it. And I

8 think that in this particular case it's fairly easy

9 at least for me, where if someone is upholding the

10 ideal asking that we think about things, but if he

says on the other hand that the only way this can 11

12 happen is through Jesus or through a belief in Allah

13 or that you have to convert you entire life over to

something in order for this to happen that that 14

15 would be proselytizing.

> But I have not seen that in my time on the Board.

Q. Well, let me give you an example --

19 A. Okay.

-- and ask you to apply the way that you

21 would examine that issue. Suppose that a Board

22 member said God please grant us the wisdom to take

the right actions on issues that are presented to us. 23

24 this evening and know the right way which knowledge Page 244

Page 245

student hears the wisdom and truth that can only be

known through knowledge of our Lord and Savior Jesus

3 Christ. Do you think that that threatens, I don't

4 mean threaten in a physical sense, I mean offers the

5 possibility that the student could feel that it is

6 the view of the School Board, those people in

7 authority up on the stage that the truth can only be

8 known through knowledge of our Lord and Savior Jesus

9 Christ?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

6

7

8

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. Go

ahead.

A. If that same student were listening to a speech by President Bush on TV when he talks about asking God beneficence upon our country, I don't see what the difference is, other than one seems to take place in a school forum and the other one takes place in a broader function. You've got the President of the United States asking us to do

19 things, you know, and he is speaking not just to

20 adults he is speaking to everybody. You know,

21 what's the difference.

> I understand what you are asking but I also would question what the difference is.

Q. So, your answer to my question is no you

Page 243

can only come through knowledge of our Lord and

2 Savior Jesus Christ. Would you consider that that

3 is a prayer that proselytizes others in the audience

4 at that time?

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

A. No, I would believe that to be the freedom

of conscience of what he believes. That would not

8 suit my freedom of conscience. You know I would

9 listen to him and respect what he had to say and you

10 know incorporate those parts that I feel are

11 appropriate and I would drop the rest of it. 12

Q. That's you, what you would do?

13 A. That is correct.

But you would think it appropriate under

15 the Board's policy for him to offer that prayer?

A. If that's what the freedom of his

17 conscience offers him. I don't view that as 18 proselytizing.

19 Q. I'm going to ask you another question. Can

20 you put yourself in the shoes of say a elementary

21 school student who has been invited to a School

22 Board meeting who hears an adult speaking from the

23 dais, the panel, the stage being asked to give an

24 invocation by the president of that Board, and the 1 don't see any danger?

> 2 A. No, I do not, because that same student at

3 some other point might be exposed to other

4 individuals who talk about the Indian Great Spirit,

5 or who may be exposed to a Jewish rabbi. I mean

keep in mind, Lee versus Weitzman was about a rabbi

making a speech and that was not acceptable either.

But, you know, if they listen to it and

9 they have a chance to think about it on their own,

10 in any formative year people grow and they put

11 circumstances together. So I don't see that as a

12 problem.

13 Q. What do you figure the chances are that a

14 prayer would be offered by a person in any tradition

15 other then the Christian tradition from this

16 rotating the Board?

17 Under the current policy with the people

18 being elected, unless an individual of the Jewish

19 faith or the Muslim faith or Bahia or whatever, you

know, runs for office, probably not good. But on 20 the other hand in listening to somebody else you

22 know deliver a speech at another time period I would

23 think that at some point it would be good, unless of

24 course we strike religion from public life

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 246 altogether, in which case it's over with. 2 Q. Well, you understand this lawsuit is only 3 about the issues in this lawsuit? 4

A. I understand that.

5 O. Okay. There has been a lot of talk about I'm sure from your constituents and in the letters 6

7 to the editor which I read as well as you, about the

8 effort to strike religion from public life

9 altogether that this lawsuit represents, but you

don't actually believe that that's what this lawsuit 10

11 seeks to accomplish, do you?

12 A. I believe that this lawsuit is a part of that particular effort, ves I do. 13

14 In adopting the policy did you take into 15 account, that is the policy on School Board prayer, did you take into account what you perceived to be 16

17 the role of the persons who raise these issues

18 before the Board in your decision whether to adopt

19 this there policy?

20 A. I'm sorry I don't understand the question.

21 Q. Did the role of this lawsuit as you

perceive it in a larger plan to eliminate religion

23 from public life altogether have any role in your

24 decision to vote to adopt the School Board Prayer Page 248

Yes, I believe I was. 1

2 Who was that?

3 A. I don't know who the person was, but in

4 defense of the district policy that is what they

were advised to do the way I understand it by an

attorney, that way we have a copy of whoever

7 requested it. That's what I heard. I mean, to me

it's ridiculous up want a copy, get a copy. All

9 right, but my understanding was that was the legal

advice at the time. 10

11 Who gave had that legal advice?

12 I have no clue.

13 Where did you get that understanding from?

From talking to, I believe it was 14

15 Mrs. Hearn or Mrs. Hobbs. Because Mrs. Hearn, the

16 way I understand it Mrs. Hearn would be the one that

17 would handie that.

18 Q. If the policies either are or are going to

19 shortly to be made available on the web, what

possible purpose would be served by requiring 20

21 somebody to file a FOIA request --

A. I have no clue and I'm sorry to step on top

23 of what you are talking about. I have no clue.

24 Q. It's not an action or a direction that you

Page 247

22

2

Policy?

3 MR. ALLINGHAM: Let's go off the

4 record.

No.

2

5 MS.DUPHILY: We are going off the record at approximately 4:16 p.m..

7 (WHEREUPON a brief recess was

8 taken)

9 MS. DUPHILY: We are back on the

10 record at 4:17 p.m..

11 Q. You mentioned earlier that the Board

12 policies are posted on the web?

13 Yes.

14 When did that practice begin?

15 A. The practice began some time around 2004 in

the early portions of the year. They were putting a 16

lot of student responsibilities and student items on 17

the web a piece at a time. There has been an

19 ongoing effort since about the year 2000 and maybe 1

20 or maybe 2002 to upgrade and build our web site to

21 where it is today.

22 Do you know whether anyone asked to have a

23 copy of the School Board Prayer Policy and was told

to file a FOIA request if they wanted it?

1 had anything to do with?

A. Zero.

3 Q. Are policy committee meetings open to the

general public?

5 A. I believe that they are.

6 Are they, is notice given of policy

7 committee meetings?

8 A. Policy committee meetings are published, if

I'm not mistaken all committee meetings are

10 published openly in the newsletter or in the

11 calendar that goes out. Now we have had to change

12 them from time to time because somebody can't do it,

13 but I believe, thank you sir, that a notice is

14 published somewhere that the committee meetings have

15

16 Did ever attend any policy committee

17 meeting at which the School Board Prayer Policy was

18

19 A. No, policy committee meetings are on Friday

20 afternoons and in my practice that's one of our

21 busier time periods, I can't close for that.

22 Q. Other than the executive sessions which we

23 have identified in the various minutes at which

24 either School Board Prayer Policy was discussed as

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

Page 249

Page 252

Dobrich, et al. Donald Hattier

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 250

- 1 we can tell from August 23 or may have been
- 2 discussed as we tried to infer from the reasons for
- 3 the executive committee sessions, the executive
- 4 session, have you ever had any discussions with
- 5 anybody sorry, first of all with any other Board
- 6 member about the School Board Prayer Policy?
- A. We have discussed it or I have discussed,
- 8 it, ves.
- 9 Q. With other Board members?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Outside of a Board meeting?
- 12 **A. Yes**
- 13 Q. And outside of the policy committee
- 14 meeting?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. With whom?
- 17 A. I'm going to say probably Charlie Bireley,
- 18 Mr. Walls. I don't remember, I mean we talk on
- 19 other issues from time to time.
- 20 Q. And are these conversations that you
- 21 arrange for that purpose?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 O. So these are incidental to other
- 24 conversations that you have?

- 1 litigation?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And did you learn that those requests were
- 4 rejected out of hand?
 - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And if you were so sure that the litigation
- 7 -- well, first of all, did the Board direct that
- 8 those requests be rejected?
- 9 A. It probably happened at the Board level,
- 10 yes.

5

- 11 Q. Was there a discussion of that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And why don't you tell me what the
- 14 discussion consisted of?
- 15 A. The discussion generally consisted of
- 16 should we do it or not, and I believe it was on
- 17 advice of attorney that we decided not to talk to
- 18 them.
- 19 Q. Which attorney?
- 20 A. That's the harder question to answer. That
- 21 I don't recall who said that. I know Mr. Neuberger
- 22 was not in favor of discussing anything with the
- 23 ACLU. Mr. Griffin I believe was in favor of
- 24 discussing something with the ACLU. I'm not certain

Page 251

1

9

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. At any time during any executive session,
- 3 Board session, policy committee session to the
- 4 extent that you heard about it, or during your extra
- 5 Board meeting discussions with fellow Board members,
- 6 did anyone ever express any reservations about the
- 7 School Board Prayer Policy?
- 8 A. My feeling is that all of us knew that this
- 9 is something that was going to be litigated and
- 10 tested, and in that sense is there a reservation,
- 11 yes there is. On the other hand I believe certainly
- 12 in my own mind I believe that, you know, what we are
- 13 doing is fair and equitable for all the parties
- 14 concerned.
- And in that sense, you know, it will stand or fall on its own. Which again is why we are here.
- 17 Q. In consideration with your suggestion that
- 18 this was going to be litigated no matter what, did
- 19 anybody ever inform you that the lawyers for
- 20 Mrs. Dobrich had contacted everyone they could find
- 21 to request the opportunity to talk to the Board or
- 22 the Board's representatives --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. About the existing policies so as to avoid

- Page 253 that the two Johns as we call them were or weren't.
- 2 So, I don't know what to say about that.
- 3 Q. Well, Mr. Neuberger wasn't representing the
- 4 Board, right?
- 5 A. Not after a certain point.
- 6 Q. Well never according to your testimony
- 7 earlier?
- 8 A. Correct.
 - Q. So, Mr. Griffin as I understand it wasn't
- 10 representing the Board on these issues, correct?
- 11 A. No, but he is still the legal counsel of
- 12 record for the district.
- 13 Q. Okay?
- 14 A. So pretty much no matter what he was being
- 15 included, I'm sure he courtesy copied a lot of
- 16 different things that happened.
- 17 Q. So, Mr. Griffin who remained involved
- 18 counseled the Board to meet with Plaintiffs lawyers?
- 19 A. I think he did.
- 20 Q. Okay. Mr. Cafferty and Mr. Balliger you
- 21 just don't remember?
- 22 A. I don't remember, no.
- 23 Q. So far you haven't identified any legal
- 24 advice that you should not meet were the Plaintiffs

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 254

1 attorneys? 2 That's about right. 3 MR. GOSSELIN: Just to clarify, 4 are you talking about -- the premise of 5 your question is that Mr. Dobrich's 6 attorneys attempted to meet with the Board 7 to talk about the Board Prayer Policy or 8 the whole --9 MR. ALLINGHAM: The whole issues.

10 MR. GOSSELIN: All of the issues
11 okay.

Q. So, your best recollection Dr. Hattier is
 that you concluded that you, the Board, concluded
 that you would not accept the request of the, of

15 Mrs. Dobrich's attorneys on the basis of advice of

16 counsel. That counsel was not Mr. Neuberger, it was17 not Mr. Griffin who advised you to meet, and it was

18 not, and you can't remember when it was Balliger or

19 Cafferty?

20 A. No.

21 Q. No means yes that's an accurate summary of

22 the testimony?

23 A. That's probably, yeah.

24 O. Were there any dissenting voices about

Page 256

1 because of this issue. Do you recall that

2 Mrs. Dobrich made those comments?

3 A. Yes, I do.

4 Q. What was your reaction to her disclosure

5 that she and her son had moved away from Georgetown

6 due to continued harassment from community members?

A. I don't think it's a good comment on

8 community members to harass people on things like

9 this.

10 Q. Were you distressed about that?

11 A. Yes.

12. Q. Is it fair to say that by December 21 you

13 as a Board member had notice that Mrs. Dobrich had

14 requested clarification in writing through her

15 attorney on the recently adopted religion policies?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Did anyone respond to Mrs. Dobrich about

18 why she hadn't gotten a response?

19 A. I'm not aware of any communication, no.

20 Q. Was there any discussion of that issue

21 during the Board meeting on December 21?

22 A. I don't think so, unless it would have

23 happened later on in executive session.

Q. Is the district's practice or policy to

Page 255

24

11

18

1 meeting with the Plaintiffs?

2 A. I believe some of the Board members may

3 have dissented, I can't tell you who.

4 Q. Did that go to an actual Board vote?

5 A. That's a good question. I don't remember.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Mark as Hattier Exhibit 21 a document bearing Bates numbers

BPD 249 to 258.

9 (WHEREUPON, Hattier Exhibit 21 was

10 marked for identification)

11 Q. Is this the official minutes of the

12 December meeting?

13 A. Yes, sir.

6

7

8

14 Q. When you voted to approve them did you

15 believe them to be accurate?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Of you look at page three of the minutes,

18 there is a public comment from Mona Dobrich in which

19 it is noted that she had requested clarification

20 through her attorney on the recently adopted

21 policies regarding religion, however she had not yet

22 received a response. She stated that she and her

23 son moved away from Georgetown to the city due to

24 the continued harassment of community members

Page 257 ignore letters from interested members of the

2 community?

3 A. No. That's something, however, that would

be handled by Mrs. Hobbs and by the administration

5 rather than by the Board. I mean the Board would

6 direct them what to do but I was not president of

7 the Board so I didn't get involved in that

8 particular aspect.

9 Q. Well, you told me earlier that the decision

10 not to respond was made at the Board level?

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

12 A. The decision not do respond to the ACLU,

13 regarding them coming by to visit but not to respond

14 to Mrs. Dobrich, that's a separate issue.

15 Q. You recall that the ACLU mad the request

16 for darification of the Board policies?

17 A. Yes.

Q. And on what basis do you tell me that

19 today?

20 A. What I recall is the letters that came to

21 us or that I seem so remember them being on that

22 letterhead, that's what I recall.

23 Q. So, you did see the letters?

24 A. I saw a letter.

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 260

Page 261

Page 258 Q. You did see a letter asking for 1 Q. I know that you didn't go to policy 1 2 clarification of the Board policies? 2 committee meetings on this topic, but I want to show 3 Yes. 3 you and in fact I'm going to mark for identification A. 4 Hattier Exhibit 22 what I believe to be an agenda of 4 And requesting to meet with the Board? 5 5 a policy committee meeting? A. 6 Q. And it's your recollection that it came on 6 A. Okay. 7 ACLU letterhead? 7 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 22 was 8 8 A. That is a my recollection. marked for identification) 9 9 Apart from Mrs. Dobrich has the Board ever This bears Bates number BPD467. Can you received complaints or the district ever received 10 identify this as an agenda of a policy committee 10 11 complaints about religious practices in district 11 meeting? 12 schools? 12 A. No, I cannot. 13 A. I believe that concurrent with this, or on 13 Q. Do you recognize the handwriting on this or about the same time period, that this is where 14 document? 14 the Does would come in in terms of one of the 15 A. No, I do not. 15 16 complaints that they made. 16 I'll bet you a nickel that it's a female? 17 Q. And apart from the Does and Dobriches you 17 I basically would be another nickel along 18 don't recall any complaints about religious 18 with you. 19 practices? 19 MR. GOSSELIN: Why because you can 20 A. I don't recall any, not in my time on the 20 read it? 21 Board, no, sir. 21 MR. ALLINGHAM: Yeah. I know it's 22 22 Q. And did the district also ignore the not mine. 23 complaints from the Does? 23 And it's not mine either, sir. A. A. No. 24 24 About six lines under the typed item four

Page 259

- 1 Q. Was a response given to the complaints from
- 2 the Does?
- 3 A. Yes, and we corrected what they were
- 4 complaining about.
- 5 Q. Did you ever notify the Does that a
- 6 correction had been made?
- 7 A. You will have to ask the people who would
- 8 normally correspond with that, not me, but I do know
- 9 that went the Does made their comments about what
- 10 they felt was happening, this had to do with the
- 11 Bible Club that within a very short time period that
- 12 that was corrected.
- 13 Q. Corrected to comply with constitutional
- practice? 14
- 15 A. To comply with what is the current law on
- 16 the issue, yes.
- 17 Q. Fair enough?
- 18 A. I don't remember that one lingering for any
- 19 great length of time at ali.
- 20 Q. To that the Board recognized that the prior
- 21 practice did not comply with constitutional law on
- 22 the issue?
- 23 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 24 A. Apparently not. I'm sorry.

1 which is discuss policy goals for the 2004 - 2005

- 2 school year, there is a notation, religion - follow
- 3 Board's direction?
- A. Okay. 4
- 5 You testified earlier that you thought that
- 6 the text of the policy on Board prayer was approved
- 7 by the policy committee?
- 8 Yes.

9

- This notation appears to be reflecting that
- 10 the policy committee was following the Board's
- 11 direction on religion issues. Does this cause you
- 12 in any way to rethink your earlier testimony?
- 13 A. No. First off, it's dated July 19th, which
- 14 I believe would have been before the Board meeting
- 15 of the whatever the July meeting would have been.
- That is consistent with it having been brought to 16
- 17 somebody's attention and at least they discussed it 18 at a policy meeting and that they were starting to
- 19 take a look at it and other than that they wanted
- 20 the Board's input first, that's the way I would read
- 21
- 22 MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm going to mark
- 23 now as Exhibit 23 a document bearing Bates 24

numbers BPD466 through 479.

66 (Pages 258 to 261)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 262

1 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 23 was 2 marked for identification). 3 Q. You'll see that this was a package of

- materials which were numbered by your side 4
- 5 sequentially --
- 6 A. Uh-hum.
- Q. and in the September 20, 2004 policy
- 8 committee meeting agenda there is an agenda item
- 9 number six which reads, discuss Dr. Hattier's
- concern of appreciation and tolerance of the 10
- 11 religious views of others?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Do you recall having asked the policy
- 14 committee in connection with its consideration of --
- 15 A. Yes, I did,
- Let me finish my question, of the School 16
- 17 Board Prayer Policy to take into account your
- 18 concern as expressed?
- 19 A. My concern at that time was not in relation
- to the Prayer Policy at all. It had to do with the 20
- 21 comments that the young Dobrich gentleman had stated
- that he had been called Jew boy in school and that 22
- 23 you know our teachers did nothing to correct that.
- I got on the phone some time after the meeting and

Page 264

Page 265

- notes back and forth to another girl in class where
- they were accusing another girl of being fat and
- 3 ugly. Okay, and the other girl somehow intercepted
- the note and it came home to our family that my
- daughter was involved in calling another girl fat
- 6 and ugly.
- 7 Well, in our house we don't tolerate name
- 8 calling, we don't approve of it and it was the Lord
- 9 Baltimore School, I believe it was under Mrs. Smith
- 10 was still principal at that time, rightly called us
- 11 and said hey what is your daughter doing. And we
- 12 looked into it and Hanna was disciplined, punished
- 13 and talked to about it and had to write a letter of
- 14 apology and come forward and say I'm sorry I didn't
- 15 mean to call you fat and ugly. And that was
- 16 certainly the appropriate thing to do.

17 And I think had we been informed at the

- 18 district that somebody was running around calling
- 19 you know the young gentleman Jew boy that we would
- 20 have reacted similarly at that other building, there
- 21 would be no reason not to. The two things happened
- 22 basically contemporaneously.
- 23 Do you doubt Alex Dobrich's credibility --
- 24 A. Not a bit.

Page 263

1

2

- asked, I believe it was, Mr. Hudson up at the
- school, I said did anybody ever come to you and tell
- you that he been called Jew boy and the answer was 3
- 4
- 5 Then I called our other people and asked
- 6 what is the policy regarding calling names of any
- 7 child whether it's four eyes, railroad mouth, you 8
- know, buck tooth, rabbit breath, I mean pick one,
- 9 okay. And I was assured hat we had policies that dealt with name calling. And I was disappointed 10
- that if Mrs. Dobrich's son had been called a name 11
- such as Jew boy, which I do not approved of name 12
- 13 calling whatsoever, not like that, and that had it
- 14 been reported to us and had we been given an
- opportunity to address it that we would have done 15
- 16

17

18

- But in what I did in talking to the school and the people involved, we had no knowledge
- 19 whatsoever that she had complained about it, that 20 one came out of it blue.
- 21 And if you have any other information other
- 22 than that please again let me know. My daughter let
- 23 me back up a little bit, in or around the same time period my young daughter, Hannah, had written some

-- in reporting that he was called Jew boy?

- Not a bit, I believe he probably was, okay.
- On the other hand I don't know when he was called
- that, I don't know what the age of the kids was
- 5 involved, and you know apparently you have children,
- 6 I'm going to make a guess, okay, we have four kids
- and some of the nastiest people on earth are 8 children, especially to one another. And it is up
- 9 to us as adults to discipline them and correct them
- 10 and teach them socially appropriate behaviors.
- 11 So, if some kid somewhere had a bad day and
- 12 decided to call some other child a name, certainly
- 13 it would not have been condoned by the school
- 14 district. And I'm not doubting what the young
- gentleman sald at all. I'm only wondering why Mrs. 15
- 16 Dobrich did not come forward if this was an issue
- with her and say something to the school or the 17
- 18 appropriate school authorities about it.
- 19 Because again in my own case with what
- happened to me at or about the same time period, we 20
- 21 had to deal with it immediately. It was sent home
- 22 to us the guidance counselor had talked to the kids,
- we had to write a letter of apology regarding it and 24 at this particular point Hannah and that young lady

23

1

2

Dobrich, et al. Donald Hattier

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 266

1 do still get together as friends, and they associate

- 2 with one another. That's why I say I don't doubt
- 3 the young gentleman.
- 4 Q. You seem to be a pragmatic person, do you
- 5 really think that someone who called Alex Dobrich a
- 6 Jew boy could come to the view that Alex and he
- 7 could be good friends?
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
 - A. Yeah, absolutely. Look, my son is a bit
 - firery and I've heard him say things to the boy next
- 11 door and I've heard the boy next door say things
- 12 equally to my son and by the next morning they are
- 13 trying to figure out who can get the go-kart
- 14 started.

8

9

10

15 Okay, first off, do you have daughters?

- 16 It's a question, okay, I have found that girls in17 general seem to be bit more difficult in name
- 17 general seem to be bit more difficult in name
 18 calling than boys are. Girls, young girls at least
- 19 seem to be a bit less forgiving about things than
- 20 This is a second statement but I
- 20 young men are. This is a general statement, but I
- 21 believe in a general it's probably true.
- 22 Q. You are not suggesting, are you Dr. Hattier
- 23 that calling a girl fat and ugly is the equivalent
- 24 of calling one of the few Jews in a school Jew boy,

Page 268

- A. I think.
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 3 A. Sorry. I think they are both derogatory
- 4 and I think they are both designed to hurt another
- 5 individual and they are both designed to make
- 6 another individual feel small, and as such neither
- 7 one of them is acceptable behavior. I mean if you
- 8 wish to put an emotional value of whatever type you
- 9 are certainly free to do so, but to me it's an
- 10 insult.
- 11 And my concerned at this particular time
- 12 period had been to see to it that we did have
- 13 something in our policies that would address those
- 14 issues, if we didn't already have them.
- 15 Q. At the August 24 meeting do you recall a
- 16 comment in which someone suggested that Mrs. Dobrich
- 17 might disappear like Madelyn Murray-O'Hare?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How did you feel about that comment?
- 20 A. As I told you earlier some things were said
- 21 that should not have been said and were clearly
- 22 inappropriate.
- 23 Q. You understood that to be a threat to
- 24 Mrs. Dobrich did you not?

Page 267

1

2

5

12

- 1 are you?
- 2 A. I'm saying ---
- 3 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. Go
- 4 ahead.
- 5 A. I'm saying that name calling is name
- 6 calling. Whether it's slant eyes, four eyes buffalo
- 7 breath, again, pick one.
- 8 Q. You think they are all the same?
- 9 A. I believe it's an insult.
- 10 Q. But you think they are all of equal
- 11 seriousness?
- 12 A. I believe they are insults.
- 13 Q. You are not answering my question --
- 14 A. Yes, I do believe.
- 15 Q. Do you believe they are of equal
- 16 seriousness?
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 18 A. Yes, I do, they are insults, and they
- 19 should be dealt with as such.
- 20 Q. And you think that they, the discipline
- 21 that should be metered out should be the same for.
- 22 someone who calls someone with braces tinsel teeth
- 23 as someone who called a boy wearing a yarmulke Jew
- 24 boy?

17

Page 269

- A. Yes, I did.
- O. What was done to silence that person from
- 3 making that threat in the context of that highly
- 4 volatile meeting?
 - A. Not so much.
- 6 Q. How made that threat?
- 7 A. I couldn't begin to tell you. We had a lot
- 8 of people talking that day.
- 9 Q. Has it come to pass that someone in a
- 10 public comment session has been asked to sit down
- 11 because what they were saying was inappropriate?
 - A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And that's happened for example when
- 14 someone begins to discuss an individual school
- 15 district employee?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Because the Board has a policy to make sure
- 18 that concerns about individual school district
- 19 employees should be dealt with privately, not in
- 20 public?

21

- A. Yes.
- 22 Q. But it's okay in your view, and in the
- 23 views of the Board to let members of the community
- 24 threaten Mrs. Dobrich with disappearance like a

68 (Pages 266 to 269)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

וטט	idid Hactiei C.A. # 13	-120	(331) Octobel 10, 2006
	Page 270		Page 272
1	renowned atheist who was later found dismembered?	1	also sued to considering what the sources are and I
2	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.	2	end up flushing most of it, okay. So, if somebody
3	A. All right, I think the best way to express	3	wants to make a fool out of themselves and make
4	my feelings at that time would be stunned that	4	comments like that in an open meeting to my mind the
5	somebody would be stupid enough to make comments	5	thing speaks for itself.
6	like that in an open meeting.	6	Q. Let me ask you this question. Did you view
7	 Q. Dr. Hattier, this person spoke for lost two 	7	the atmosphere that existed at that meeting as
8	minutes did someone think about saying to Mr. Walls	8	highly intimidating to Mrs. Dobrich and her family?
9	tell that person to sit down?	9	A. It could have been seen that way
10	A. Not to my knowledge.	10	MR. GOSSÉLIN: Objection.
11	Q. In retrospect do you think that you should	11	Q. By Mrs. Dobrich?
12	have done that?	12	A. It could have seen that way.
13	A. Absolutely.	13	Q. Don't you think that the comment, some of
14	Q. And every other Board member should have	14	the comments that I just reviewed with you were
15	done it, don't you think?	15	intended to intimidate Mrs. Dobrich and her family?
16	A. I would think so.	16	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. Let's
17	Q. Was that the only threatening comment made	17	take a break everybody seems to be getting
18	about Mrs. Dobrich at the August 24 meeting?	18	a little excited right here. Let's take a
		19	break, five minutes.
19	MR. GOSSELIN: Go ahead. A. That's the one that I remember the most. I		•
20		20	MR. ALLINGHAM: I'd like an answer
21	don't remember all of the specifics. Like you	21	to my question.
22	noted, there were a lot of people who talked that	22	Q. Don't you think those comments were
23	particular day.	23	intended to intimidate Mrs. Dobrich and her family?
24	Q. Do you remember comments that Alex Dobrich	24	A. I can't
	Page 271		Page 273
1	should take his yarmulke off before he spoke before	1	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
2	that Board meeting?	2	A. I can't speak for what somebody else had on
3	A. No.	3	their mind. It would appear that way on the
4	Q. Would you like me to show you the tape?	4	surface.
5	A. I believe you.	5	Q. Didn't you think it was your duty as a
6	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. If you	6	Board member to ensure that comments made during the
7	have got a tape you can look at it.	7	public comment section of Board meetings were not
8	Q. Did you hear the comments that if Mrs.	8	used to intimidate other persons at the meeting?
9	Dobrich would merely raise her child right in the	9	A. In retrospect I agree with that.
10	Christian faith she wouldn't have any problems with	10	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
11	the district's practices?	11	MR. ALLINGHAM: All right, we
12	A. Comments like that might have been made.	12	can take a five minute break.
13	Q. Did you think those were appropriate?	13	MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the
14	A. You know what	14	record at approximately 4:48 p.m
15	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.	15	(WHEREUPON a brief recess was
16	You can answer.	16	taken)
17	A. Okay. I'm not going to tell you how to	17	MS. DUPHILY: Back on the record
18	raise your child. I'm not going to tell you how to	18	at approximately 4:55 p.m
19	behave in public. You know if you want to make a	19	Q. you told me that you did not call
20	feel out forward on the stand on the feeth	1 20	Mr. Coffee de about and offee to some on the chour in

20 Mr. Gaffney's show and offer to come on the show in

order to increase attendance at the August 24 Board

You were just on the show to correct public

21

22

23

20 fool out of yourself on the stand and go for it

Okay, and something like that as a

practicing chiropractor I'm used to people making

fun of what I do on a fairly regular basis. And I'm

that's your entire privilege.

21

22

23

24

meeting, correct?

A. Correct.

1

13

Dobrich, et al. **Donald Hattier** C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 274

- 1 misconceptions?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Have you ever contacted any community group
- 4 prior to August 24 church group, whatever to urge
- 5 them to attend the August 24 meeting?
- 6 A. No.
- Do you know whether any Board member did? 7 Q.
- 8
- 9 Do you know what prompted the increased
- attendance over normal at the August 24 meeting? 10
- 11 A. If I recall correctly a lot of it had come
- out on the radio, people had called Mr. Gaffney's 12
- program and suggested that there was going to be a 13
- big meeting of some type and that it was going to be 14
- a fairly heavy issue where we are discussing it and 15
- if they wanted input they should go to the meeting. 16
- Q. Would I be correct in understanding that 17
- Mr. Gaffney's ideological perspective would also be 18
- consistent with what you characterize as traditional 19
- American values? 20
- A. I would say that he's leaning more in that 21
- direction, yes. 22
- 23 Q. Did you give an interview to Nella Banerjee
- of the New York times?

A. Yes, I did.

Page 276

- A. No, I do not.
- 2 Mr. Johnson is said to be a former Indian
- 3 River School Board member, does that refresh your
- recollection about who he is? 4
- 5 A. That could be, ves.
- 6 Do you know when Mr. Johnson was the person
- who made the Madelyn Murray-O'Hare comment? 7
- No, I do not. 8
- 9 Do you know whether Mr. Johnson wrote a
- letter to the editor supporting Mr. Bireley's 10
- 11 re-election campaign?
- 12 I believe he did.
 - How did that come to your attention?
- 14 As I stated earlier I don't generally read
- 15 the letters to the editor anymore. Occasionally I
- will open it up during the election time period. 16
- I've read one or two of them occasionally just to 17
- see who was writing in because it seemed as if the 18
- 19 letter writing policy for The Wave had changed from
- 20 the year that I was running to the year that Mr.
- 21 Bireley was running.
- 22 O. What do you mean?
- 23 A. The year that I was running they would not
- 24 print positive letters on either side.

Page 275

1

- Q. In that interview did you tell Nella
- Banerjee that Dr. Hattier had called WGMD to discuss 3
- 4 the issue of school board prayer?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. And did you tell Nella Banerjee that Mr.
- 7 Gaffney and others had encouraged people to go to
- 8 the August 24 meeting?
- 9 A. I believe I did.
- 10 Q. Who were the others that you were referring
- 11

1

- 12 A. Oh, other people on his radio program. You
- 13 had Ron Letterman -- Mr. Gaffney's program is
- 14 divided into both national feed and local feed.
- From nine, sorry from 5:30 to 9 is usually Dan he 15
- 16 has Dr. Laura on from 9 to 12, 12 to 3 is Rush
- 17 Limbaugh, 3 to 6 would have been local, 3 to 7 would
- have been a local person Ron Letterman and after 7 18
- 19 o'clock it switches back to a national feed for the
- 20 rest of the evening all the way through to morning.
- 21 Q. So, it pretty much cuts across the entire
- 22 political spectrum?
- A. My political spectrum, yes. 23
- 24 Q. Do you know who Harold Johnson is?

Page 277 Q. That's not very productive?

- 2 No for me nor for Mr. Ellings, either,
- Lloyd Ellings ran against me, a fine gentleman.
- With Mr. Bireley's election however, a year later
- 5 they seemed to have reversed that policy and were
- 6 allow supportive letters.
- Q. I have some questions about the comments
- that were made at the August 24 Board meeting, and I
- 9 will try not to be so heated about it, for which I
- 10 apologize.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 A comment was made by a person named Gary
- 13 Knapps that the country was founded by Christians
- with the intention of speaking the name of Jesus. 14
- 15 To you agree with that statement?
- 16 I think the contract was founded by
- 17 individuals of Christian faith. Some of did speak
- 18 the name of Jesus and some who didn't. At least as
- 19 I understand history.
- 20 When you say the country was founded by
- persons of Christian faith, you mean a majority of 21
- 22 persons of Christian faith?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. A person named Dorinta Peacock commented

70 (Pages 274 to 277)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

7

8

9

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 278

- 1 that Christians need to take a stand. Do you agree
- 2 with the comment that respecting issues like School
- 3 Board prayer, prayer in schools and prayer at
- 4 graduation that Christian need to take a stand?
- 5 A. I think that all people of faith should be
- 6 taking a stand at this particular point. It should
- 7 not be limited to Christians. As I stated earlier
- 8 when you take a look at the landscape that's out
- 9 everything from removing the Ten Commandments blocks
- 10 to trying to get in God we trust out of coins, to me
- 11 is all part of a broader effort to essentially
- 12 remove religion from our lives altogether, at least
- 13 in the public square.
- 14 So, I don't believe that it should be
- 15 limited to Christians, it should be all individuals
- 16 of faith.
- 17 Q. To the extent that Miss Peacock's comment
- 18 was limited to Christians would you disagree with it
- 19 to that extent?
- 20 A. Yeah, yes.
- 21 Q. A woman named Judy Smith was recorded as
- 22 saying that, "Jesus is the best example that any
- 23 parent could have for their child." Do you agree
- 24 with that comment?

1

Page 280

Page 281

- the School Board to hold public forums each year?
- 2 A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. I think I asked you this question, but
- 4 forgive me if I did, and it's correct that the
- 5 School Board has never held a public forum on
- 6 religion in schools?
 - A. No. I don't believe that we have, no sir.
 - I'm going to try to short circuit some of
 - this. I have lot of minutes that I could go through
- 10 with specific examples, but I'm going to try general
- 11 questions --
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. And if we can accomplish it that will be
- 14 great. Is it correct that students of the district
- 15 routinely attend Board meetings?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And sometimes to receive awards?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Sometimes to recognized for their awards
- 20 achieved outside of the Board's --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Sometime to perform for the Board?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. Sometimes for the purpose of presenting the

Page 279

- A. I believe that Jesus is one of the better
- 2 examples that people could look in their lives. I
- 3 think there is a lot of wonderful people, people
- 4 that we can look up to in the history of the world.
- 5 Q. A woman named Stephanie Heywood commented,
- 6 sorry, "Christ will deny anyone who has denied him."
- 7 Do you agree with that comment?
- 8 A. This is her belief, not mine.
- 9 Q. The Reverend Worthy commenced that heaven
- 10 would be filled with Christians. Do you take the
- 11 same view as the Reverend Worthy?
- 12 A. I believe that to be his belief.
- 13 Q. It is your belief that heaven is not
- 14 limited to Christians?
- 15 A. Quite frankly even though I believe in
- 16 Christ as the Son of God I'm not convinced as of yet
- 17 that there is a heaven or a hell.
- 18 Q. Would the School Board Prayer Policy
- 19 prohibit a Board member from including each of these
- 20 comments as a portion of his invocation?
- 21 A. I would believe that, yes they would
- 22 because that would be stepping over the line and has
- 23 nothing to do with splenification.
- 24 Q. Is there a district policy that requires

1 colors?

24

- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And sometimes as student government
- 4 representatives to present their concerns to the
- 5 Board?
- 6 A. Or their positive comments, yes.
- 7 Q. In fact at some point the Board set aside a
- 8 specific portion of the agenda for that purpose?
- 9 A. I believe so, yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Also students can appear for
- 11 purposes of defending or explaining themselves on
- 12 disciplinary action?
- 13 A. I'm going to say no to that one because
- 14 that's more under the disciplinary areas where they
- 15 would do that either to the hearing or if there is
- 16 going to be something like that we usually do that
- 17 at a separate hearing, and to allow the matter to
- 18 either have an attorney be represented or to give
- 19 adequate weight to it, but it would not happen in a
- public session, and I don't think we've done it in
 an executive session. Not that I remember.
- an executive session. Not that I remember.
 Q. So, to the extent that students participate
- 23 in disciplinary proceeding that proceeding would not
- 24 be open with a prayer?

71 (Pages 278 to 281)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 282

- 1 A. No. That happens, disciplinary proceedings
- 2 happen usually at a hearing environment much like
- 3 what we have here. Generally there is an audio
- 4 recording which is later transcribed, we don't use
- 5 an official transcriber like this gentleman here,
- 6 but the notes are transcribed they are sent to
- 7 everybody, I believe that the State of Delaware has
- 8 a whole series of rules and regulations in terms of
- 9 law these things are suppose to be held.
- 10 Q. Are you aware of any instance in which a
- 11 student has been invited to a School Board meeting
- 12 and the declined the invitation?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Are you aware of any such instance in which
- 15 the student declined the invitation for reasons
- 16 other than a conflict in scheduling?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Is it your belief, and I'm not asking about
- 19 the Board as a whole --
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. -- but just your belief that a student who
- 22 is invited to attend a School Board meeting would
- 23 feel that it is expected of him or her to attend
- 24 that meeting?

- Page 284
- 1 sort of do. And I would go to School Board meetings
- 2 periodically just to see what they were doing. It
- 3 was not on a regular basis however until the year
- 4 2002.

5

11

- Q. Okay, but you were there frequently enough
- 6 to observe that prayers were offered?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Prior to 2000, am I correct that your
- 9 knowledge on the issue of the history of the Board's
- 10 practice is based on hearsay, someone told you?
 - A. Someone told me, that's correct.
- 12 Q. Who told you? I just want to pin down who
- 13 I should be asking these questions of?
- 14 A. From what I understand there are records
- 15 going back to 1971 where I believe it was a
- 16 gentleman name Proudfoot who first suggested that we
- 17 should be doing this. I have queried and tried to
- 18 locate people prior to that time period, regrettably
- 19 many of them are now deceased and what I have done
- 20 is exactly what we find today that even though
- 21 almost everybody had somebody in a school setting
- 22 virtually nobody goes to a School Board meeting if
- 23 they don't have to.
- 24 Q. So, who told you, what persons told you

Page 283

- 1 A. No.
- 2 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 3 A. We have too many of them who don't.
- 4 Q. I am going to ask you some questions about
- 5 the history of opening the Board meetings with
- 6 prayer?
- 7 A. Okay, sir.
- 8 Q. You can speak I take it from personal
- 9 knowledge going back least to 2002?
- 10 A. 2000 actually because that's when I started
- 11 attending School Board meetings.
- 12 Q. So you went for a couple of years before
- 13 you were elected?
- 14 A. I used to go about every other month.
- 15 When I ran in 2000, actually it was prior to that,
- 16 maybe it was early 2000 I felt that even though I
- 17 lost the election in the year 2000 that I did have
- 18 an interest in the schools so I stayed active with
- 19 the finance committee to try to understand the
- 20 intricacies of running the school finances which is
- 21 not like anything that anybody in their right mind
- 22 would ever do, again you have experience with that,
- 23 sir, and I felt that you know a couple of years I
- 24 might understand something. And how after seven I

Page 285

- 1 about what happened prior to 2000? For example, who
- 2 told you about Mr. Proudfoot?
 - A. I believe it may have come up in a
- 4 discussion with Mr. Gosselin in terms of reviewing
- 5 some of the notes that he had available. And other
- 6 than I can ask Mr. Bireley because Mr. Bireley has
- 7 been on the Board since roughly 1974 or '73. He is
- 8 a true glutton for punishment and I know that he is
- 9 aware of if going all the way back to that time
- 10 period.

3

- 11 Q. Well, it's a very profitable job?
- 12 A. Very profitable.
- 13 Q. Somebody has to do it?
- 14 A. No, it's not.
- 15 Q. I know. I was really trying to get at
- 16 people who told you or informed you or judgment
- 17 which you've talked about several times today, that
- 18 this Board has a history going back more than 30
- 19 years --
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. -- of offering prayers at meetings. So,
- 22 you told me that Mr. Gosselin informed you that a
- 23 fellow named Mr. Proudfoot suggested it back in 1971
- 24 as a good thing for the Board to do?

72 (Pages 282 to 285)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 288

Page 289

Page 286

Which was right after the consolidation, 2 but other than independently Mr. Bireley has taken 3 it all the way back to like I said 1974. This just 4 pushed it a few year farther back than that. But I 5 believe it's common knowledge from those people that 6 I have talked to my neighbor across the street was 7 on the Board in the late 1980s through 1992 and he R confirmed that they were doing it. You know, it's pretty much whoever I can talk to. 10 Q. Have you undertaken, is it possible to undertake a research into what sorts of prayers were 11 offered? 12 13 A. From what I have been able to tell, nobody has ever written down the type of a prayer, or 14 nobody has ever really said what type of prayers, 15 no, I don't think that that's going to be possible. 16 17 So, our knowledge of history in the 18 district is that prayers were offered but we don't 19 know what he prayers were? 20 A. Correct.

this notion that students routinely attend School Board meetings, is that also part of the district

2

that students have always been part of the meetings? A. There seems to have been some kind of a

change in the quantity and amounts of awards that

6 were given out under Mrs. Hobbs versus other people.

It used to be that the awards, again this is what I Я understand, that some of those awards would be given

out more in student assemblies of various types in

10 earlier time periods.

And one of the reasons that think our 11 School Board meetings run so interminably long is 12 13 because of some of those things that happen. So, 14 there seems to have been a shift of some type, and 15 we have discussed at this particular point simply 16 due to time that's something we still want to do.

Again I state, we are all volunteers we all work for a living. I'm generally up 5 o'clock or 5:30 in the morning. I start my paperwork at 7, start seeing patients at 8. And you know if you get home at 2 o'clock in the morning first off you are not going to fall asleep real easy, you are awake at that point, so by the time you get to sleep you are

ready to wake back up. Are you truly sharp enough

Page 287

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

1.

3

4

MR. GOSSELIN: Okay.

MR. GOSSELIN: Who is our?

MR. ALLINGHAM: Dr. Hattier's

knowledge informed by whoever he's talked

- 2 Q. Am I correct that you have made an effort
- 3 to find out as much as you can about the history?
- A. Absolutely.

to.

- And is that because you saw that as an
- important issue or just because of your interest in
- 7 history?

21

22

23

24

1

- 8 A. Both.
- 9 Apart from talking to people did you
- 10 delve into documents of any kind?
- 11 A. I don't have the time to delve into
- 12 documents. It has recently come to my attention
- that some of the early School Board meetings might 13
- 14 be in the Delaware State Archives but I'm not in a
- 15 position at this time to take off whatever it takes
- to go through looking at the Delaware archives. I 16
- 17 know that there might be some there. I have not
- 18 been able to identify any people living at this time
- 19 period who go back that far. There is one gentleman
- I believe who could push us back to the 1960s. And 20
- 21 I've met several teachers that go back to 1951, but
- 22 they too didn't go to School Board meetings if they
- 23 didn't have to.
- 24 Q. Combining your sense that — by the way,

the next morning to do things.

2 You know in my business because I used my hands is an issue, and that's something that I'm hoping we can resolve in a pleasant way.

- 5 So, there has been an increase in the 6 number of awards given at the district level. In
- 7 the other areas that I talked about, students
- 8 expressing their delight or concern on particular
- 9 aspects of school life, presentation of colors,
- 10 performances of students, is it correct that that
- 11 goes back a long time?
- 12 As far as I know that goes back a long Α. .
- 13 time.

18

- 14 So, is it fair for me to infer that the
- 15 long time practice of opening School Board meetings
- 16 with prayers coincides with the attendance ot
- 17 students at those meetings?
 - A. Probably.
- 19 Have you ever heard any Board member
- 20 express opposition to student involvement in Board
- 21 meetings or support for limiting student involvement
- 22 at Board meetings?
- 23 Recently. A.
- 24 Who is that?

73 (Pages 286 to 289)

5

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 290

- A. A lot of us simply because if there was a 1
- 2 way me could eliminate that first half an hour, 45
- 3 minutes it might get us home by 11 o'clock or 11:30.
- Oh, that's the issue --4
 - A. Yes and that there might be a more
- appropriate way to handle that particular item. 6
- 7 Others than that I'm not aware of any.
- 8 Q. On the issue of the prayers that would be
- offered you expect to be on a rotational basis at 9
- least offered the opportunity to give prayers --10
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- -- before the Board meetings? 12 Q.
- A. Yes, sir. 13
- 14 Q. Is it your intent to continue to use
- historical prayers of some kind? 15
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. The policy, the School Board Prayer Policy
- was adopted in October of 2004, since that time has 18
- 19 a Board member offered a prayer or moment of silence
- 20 at the beginning of every meeting?
- A. I believe so. 21
- 22 Q. And of those meetings can you give me a
- ballpark so as to how many have opened with a prayer
- and how many have opened with a moment of silence?

- Page 292
- O. You told me earlier there have been
- instances in which a School Board member has
- dedined to offer a prayer or lead a moment of
- 4 silence?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. Can you tell me which Board members did
- 7 that?
- 8 A. I want to say that it was probably
- 9 Dr. Isaacs but I cannot say that with a sense of
- 10 assurety.
- 11 Q. Is there only one that you recall?
- 12 A. That's it. My believe is that Mr. Bireley
- 13 will set these things up in advance to give us all a
- 14 chance to be prepared, and especially they know if I
- do it that they know that I'm going to need a good 15
- 16 couple of days.

17

1

4

7

11

- Q. So, if Mr. Bireley sets these things up in
- advance how did you know that Dr. Isaacs or whoever 18
- 19 it was had declined the opportunity?
- 20 A. Because there was one Board meeting where
- 21 somebody did and that was early on when we were
- 22 still working non the process itself. That would
- 23 have been maybe early 2005, I don't remember. It
- 24 was something in that time frame.

Page 291

- A. Most I would say open with a prayer of some 1
- 2 type, or a statement of some type because I have
- also used nonreligious individuals. I've made 3
- statements from Albert Einstein to open mine. I 5 recall at least two occasions of moments of silence.
- And I have not made every Board meeting, I make 6
- 7 about 11, between ten and 11 per year, so I'm not at
- 8 all have them.
- 9 Q. The Albert Einstein statement, have you the
- text of the statements or prayers that you've 10
- 11

4

- A. I might be able to find that one because it 12
- 13 was fairly recent.
- 14 Q. Why did you pick the Albert Einstein
- 15 statement?
- 16 A. Because Albert Einstein in that particular
- one was referring to the existence of God and how 17
- that that was something that should play a role in 18
- folks' lives. And that was a good way to look 19
- 20 beyond yourself. I can't remember the exact quote.
- 21 I'm not very good at exact quotes.
- 22 Q. And you obviously thought that was adequate
- 23 to solemnize the proceeding?
- A. Absolutely. 24

Page 293

- Q. Did the minutes reflect that decline of the
- 2 invitation?
- 3 A. I have no idea.
 - Since October 19, 2004 do you recall any
- prayer offered by any Board member that you believe
- 6 violated policy BDA.1?
 - A. No.
- 8 Prior to October 19, 2004 do you remember
- 9 any policy offered by any Board member that violated
- 10 Policy BDA.1?
 - A. I don't think so.
- 12 Do you have in mind some prayer that you
- think is close but you think in balance it was okay? 13
- 14 No, to be honest I wasn't paying that close
- 15 attention prior to that time period.
- 16 Q. In connection with paragraph three of the
- 17 Board policy on School Board prayer, do you think
- there is a difference between overtly sectarian 18
- 19 prayer and proselytizing prayer?
- 20 A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
- 21 Do you think it is possible to offer a
- 22 prayer directed to a particular sect, Christianity,
- 23 Islam, that is not intended to persuade or influence
- 24 its listeners to consider the religion it expresses?

74 (Pages 290 to 293)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 294

1 A. As I stated earlier, I think if you are
2 going to include the Muslims into the group that if
3 any mention of God and not Allah his name be
4 praised, could be seen as a sectarian effort and
5 that it would probably be seen in a way that they
6 might not appreciate.

Okay, so in terms of whether it's

proselytizing or not, I don't think that it would be
proselytizing if you offer it in what you personally
belief. You know, you are not asking, if you are
not asking to convert or do things your way then its
your prayer, okay?

O. The minutes reflect at least the ones that

Q. The minutes reflect at least the ones that
 we went over that in each case president Walls says
 it is the history of the Board to have a prayer at

the beginning of the meeting which is voluntary

17 among the members of the Board of Education?

18 A. Right.

Q. Does president Walls actually say that each

20 time?

1

2

3

14

15

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Does president Walls also say that no

23 school employee student in attendance or member of

24 the community in attendance shall be required to

Page 296

A. I believe so.

2 Q. Have you ever been treated as a second

3 dass citizen?

4 A. As I stated earlier as a practicing

5 chiropractor I'm used to getting a lot of barbs from

6 the medical community and even from attorneys in

7 situations like depositions where they basically one

8 side will make you out to be a very good individual

9 and the other side will make you out to be a dumb

10 cluck. So, in that sense, yes.

11 Q. You don't seriously think of that as being

12 treated as a second class citizen, do you?

13 A. I like to think -- you are being extremely

14 respectful in our conversations and I must that I

15 appreciate that very much. I don't think you have

16 crossed the line in any way. I have been parts of

17 depositions what I would have to say that attorneys

18 have been less than cordial, where they have been

19 more attacking and quite derogatory and demeaning.

20 And whether they mean that simply because of their

21 courtroom appearance or because they feel they have

22 to, or whether that's just the way they genuinely

23 are, I can't answer that. So, yes I do consider it

24 demeaning.

Page 295

participate in any such prayer or moment of silence?

A. That is part of the general statement.

Q. He does say that?

4 A. I believe he is supposed to.

Q. Do you think that he is supposed to under

6 the new policy?

7 A. Yes.

Q. And it was the intention of the board thatthose disclaimers be stated at each Board meeting,

10 not just once?

11 A. No, it should be stated at each Board 12 meeting.

13 Q. Okay.

MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the record at approximately 5:25 p.m..

16 (WHEREUPON a brief recess was

17 taken)

18 MS. DUPHILY: Back on the record

19 at 5:25 p.m..

20 Q. These questions directed to you, not to the

21 Board as a whole. To you think that not being

22 permitted to open a School Board meeting with a

23 sectarian would mean that you were treated as a

24 second class citizen?

Page 297

Q. Demeaning, but when I ask the question

2 about second class citizen I mean has someone

3 treated you as if your rights were not equal to

4 other person's rights?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

6 Q. You've never had to a ride in the back of

7 the bus because you are a Christian?

8 A. No.

5

9

18

Q. Or drink from a different water fountain

10 because you are a Christian?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did you happen to read Mr. Helms'

13 commentary about how he was tired of having to ride

14 at the back of the bus because he is a Christian?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Do you think that it's appropriate for

17 Mr. Helms to compare himself to Rosa Parks?

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

19 A. I think it's withing Mr. Helms' rights to

20 write and state whatever he who like to, as long as

21 it's not going to infringe on someone else's rights

or cause them to, you know, incite riots, et cetera.
 Q. Am I right that no one has ever threatened

5 Q. Fair Fright that no one has ever directione

4 you or your family because you are a Christian?

75 (Pages 294 to 297)

(302)655-0477

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 298

A. Correct.

- Q. Or threaten bodily harm to you because
- 3 you're a Christian?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And are you aware of any teacher trying to
- convert your children to another religion?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Have you ever been harassed because you're
- 9 a Christian?
- 10 A. No. Actually in terms of what my children
- 11 are going through, it depends on how you define
- 12 secular humanism and the way it's taught in schools,
- 13 but I believe that may be a separate philosophical
- 14 discussion.
- 15 Q. I think you've addressed this, but I'm
- 16 going to ask the questions directly. As a Board
- 17 member do you or do you not believe that you are
- 18 obligated to take action in accordance with the
- 19 majority of what the majority of your constituents
- 20 want?
- 21 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 22 A. I believe that I am elected by the people
- 23 who support me because they have faith in what I do,
- 24 and in the fact that I will do what my conscience

Page 300

- I'm assuming that what they tell me is correct.
- 2 I've had the same names pop up quite a few times so
- 3 that would be my assumption.
- 4 Q. Who's told you that they know who the Does
- 5 are?

6

- A. Members of the community in a variety of
- 7 situations.
- 8 Q. Who?
- 9 A. I don't know that that's something that I
- 10 need to give out. They are members of the
- 11 community. Some patients of mine who brought it to
- 12 my attention, other family members, I'm sorry, not
- 13 my family members but people who have been family
- 14 friends with the Does in the past. Variety of
- 15 individuals.
- 16 O. Did you ask why they thought it was
- 17 necessary to try to figure out who the Does were?
- 18 A. I don't know that they had to figure it
- 19 out.
- Q. Did you ask them why they were telling you
- 21 who the Does were?
- 22 A. A lot of them wanted to know why we can't
- 23 release their name to the public.
- 24 Q. Were they at the August 24th meeting in

Page 299

- 1 dictates for me to do. And if they don't like it
- 2 come election time they are free to elect someone
- 3 else.
- So, do I take the majority's viewpoint into
- 5 consideration, I probably do, but ultimately I am
- 6 going to act on what my own conscience tells me to
- 7 **do.**
- 8 Q. Have you told any of your fellow Board
- 9 members who you believe the Does to be?
- 10 A. I don't think so.
- 11 Q. Have you heard any Board member tell
- 12 another Board member who that Board member believes
- 13 the Does to be?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Has there been any discussion either at
- 16 Board meeting or outside of Board meetings among
- 17 Board members that you are aware of in which the
- 18 identity of the Does has been discussed?
- 19 A. Not between Board members. I've had
- 20 members of the public come up to me and tell me who
- 21 the Doe family is.
- 22 Q. What's your response to that?
- 23 A. My response to that is I can't confirm or
- 24 deny because I can't confirm or deny, I don't know.

Page 301

- 1 which the Madelyn Murray-O'Hare comment was made?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of. I can't tell you
- 3 that.

2

8

- 4 Q. Let me ask you personally, Dr. Hattier. Do
 - you have same sympathy with the Doe's desire to
- 6 remain anonymous given what happened at the August
- 7 24th meeting?
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.
- 9 Go ahead.
- 10 A. You know, I have a sympathy for them but
- 11 let me state that I actually have a high degree of
- 12 respect for the Dobrich family, all righty, I really
- 13 do. I have a high respect for them because they
- 14 believed in something and they were willing to step
- 15 forward, just as I am now to defend the beliefs that
- 16 I have him. All right, and I think that if somebody
- 17 wishes to hide behind anonymity on an issue, you
- 18 know they are doing it out of fear or whatever, but 19 if they are right they are right.
- if they are right they are right.
 And my understanding is that the thing that
- 21 the Doe family was originally complaining about,
- 22 which had something to do with the Bible Club, which
- 23 I was not aware of at the time period, okay there
- 24 were right, we fixed it. We corrected it. Okay,

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

			. ,
	Page 302		Page 304
1	and other than I personally don't see what the issue	1	would not hold up. Incomplete quote.
2	is.	2	Q. Okay, so you did say this but you weren't
3	 Q. You were personally present and observed 	3	referring to the School Board prayer?
4	the treatment of Alex and Samantha Dobrich at the	4	A. No, I was not, I was referring to the other
5	August 24th Board meeting, correct?	5	issues.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. And let me just ask you the question, and
7	Q. Do think that the treatment of those two	7	are the current policies which have been adopted
8	children at that meeting, by community members,	8	since that time in your have view in compliance with
9	might legitimately cause parents to be concerned	9	the Constitution?
10	about the treatment that their children would get if	10	A. Lordy, I hope so.
11	they relinquished anonymity?	11	MR. ALLINGHAM: This is Hattier
12	A. Yes.	12	25. And I will tell you in advance, Dr.
13	Q. I asked you earlier whether you are that	13	Hattier I have only the second page of this
14	any of your children had tried to confirm who the	14	article from The Wave. I don't know why.
15	Does were and you said you were not aware of that?	15	It bears Bates number P122. I will see if I
16	A. No, I would prefer that my children not	16	can find the first page.
17	have a clue as to who they.	17	(WHEREUPON, Hattier Exhibit 25 was
18	Q. Am I also correct that you are not aware	18	marked for identification)
19	that your wife or any member of your family has	19	A. Yes, final paragraph.
20	taken any action to try to confirm who the Does are.	20	Q. Is your comment accurately reported there?
21	A. I would hope not.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. This is closing the loop for the record, I	22	Q. Okay?
23	would hope not means no you not area?	23	A. And again though that's based on my
24	A. Take that as a no, yes, well, whatever, no.	24	findings afterwards. Okay, in other words, you look
	Page 303		Page 305

rage	3 03

- Q. This can go quickly. I have some 2 statements that you were quoted as having been to the media. I really don't want to, I don't think debate these statements just want to confirm that 5 you were quoted accurately? A. Okay. 7 Q. I may have a question or two but I think 8 it's going to be -9 MR. ALLINGHAM: This is Hattier 10 24. The Bates number is P104 and 105. (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 24 was 11 12 marked for identification) 13 Q. There is taken from Sussex County Online. 14 You are reported in the one, two, three four, fifth paragraph as having been, as having said, "Dr. Donald Hattier who opened last week's meeting 16 with a prayer penned by George Washington admitted 17 the School Board's current stance on prayer wouldn't 19 hold up in court?" 20 A. Incomplete quote. 21 Can you complete it for me? 22 A. Yes. Graduation prayer. Graduation prayer and prayer at school luncheons, other things. If you compare what we were doing with the DOJ cite it
- back on what the law had been or had not been, start
- looking at the aforementioned, you know citing, and 2
- 3 as you read the court cases, so that's where the
- decades part came in.
- 5 Q. Understood.
- 6 Okay.
- 7 MR. ALLINGHAM: This is
- 8 Hattier 26 bearing Bates numbers P290
- 9 through 293.
- 10 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 26 was
- 11 marked for identification)
- 12 Q. This is an August 27 article from the
- 13 Coastal Point?
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. If you --
- 16 A. I can't even see myself in there if you can
- 17 help me out, please.
- 18 Q. If you will look at the third page, the
- last column, the second full paragraph you will see
- district four representative Dr. Donald Hattier, do 20
- 21 you see that?
- 22 Uh-hum.
- 23 "Responded to further public comments on
- the issue by stating his personal support for prayer

77 (Pages 302 to 305)

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 308

Page 306

- 1 but acknowledging that the Board had no expectation
- 2 that it would be able to win any case taken to the
- 3 court with a pro prayer stand. He said the Board
- 4 was proceeding in forming the policy under the
- 5 guidelines of the State Education Department and
- 6 with the advice of the district's legal counsel?"
- 7 A. Same incomplete quote. This has to be
- 8 again with the baccalaureate and the and graduation
- 9 policies.
- 10 Q. And did individual Board members respond to
- 11 the public comment section with their own views?
- 12 A. I don't think so.
- 13 Q. Other than yourself?
- 14 A. You mean in terms of what I am saying here?
- 15 Q. Yeah

16

- A. You know, based on what it is and based on
- 17 what's out there, this is what it is. Okay, we are
- 18 not going to be able to go to court and argue that
- 19 we should be able to have under our current policies
- 20 that a person should be allowed to invite a preacher
- 21 to come and talk to our graduation ceremonies.
- 22 Q. Yes, sir, my question is much more limited
- 23 and I want to see if I can get you out of here. You
- 24 did make this comment?

- L A. Right.
- 2 Q. "Few speakers drew any line between the
- 3 Board's policy on commencement ceremonies or other
- 4 school events and the policy of prayer said before
- 5 School Board meetings."
 - A. Right.

6

- 7 Q. I want to ask you, would you agree that at
- 8 times the meeting resembled prayer meeting?
- 9 A. I don't know that I'd call it a prayer
- 10 meeting but I would say as I stated to you earlier I
- 11 don't think a lot of people really understood what
- 12 the issues were. Like the last sentence says few
- 13 speakers drew any lines. I think most of the
- 14 speakers were not aware what we were discussing was
- 15 a more limited realm.
- 16 Q. There were choruses of amen --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- and people?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. cheering for people who expressed
- 21 support for prayer in schools?
- 22 A. Yes. Yes I don't know if I would call it a
- 23 prayer meeting.
- 24 Q. Revival meeting?

Page 307

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. With the clarification that you have given?
- 3 A. Again, incomplete quote.
- 4 Q. And my question was just did any other
- 5 Board member make a comment in response to the
- 6 public comment section of the meeting?
- 7 A. Unless it's printed in here I'm going to
- 8 say no. And this, whatever comment I made here, who
- 9 is the author here, Pat Titus, Patricia Titus, this
- 10 probably would have been an interview that happened
- 11 afterwards during the break of some type. This
- 12 would have been a comment that would have been made
- 13 during the Board meeting itself.
- 14 Q. I have two quick questions on the report of
- 15 the August 24 meeting. On page two of this exhibit
- 16 in the second column, look at the one, two, three,
- 17 fourth paragraph halfway down the paragraph here is
- 18 a sentence that begins, at times?
- 19 A. Uh-hum.
- 20 Q. And it reads, "At times the meeting
- 21 resembled a prayer meeting as much as a School Board
- 22 meeting with choruses of amen ringing in the
- 23 audience after speakers declared their believe and
- 24 their support for prayer in the schools."

- Page 309

 A. I wouldn't even call it -- definitely not a
- 2 revival meeting. I don't know if you have ever been
- 3 to a revival meeting, but I would not call it that
- 4 okav

1

7

10

17

18

- 5 Q. On the next page, second column right under
- 6 the big block quote from Mona Dobrich in the middle?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. There is a statement from Representative
- 9 Hocker, do you know Representative Hocker?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. And Representative Hocker is quoted as
- 12 having said, "I'm a great believer in letting the
- 13 majority rule?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Are you a great believer in letting the
- 16 majority rule on issues such as School Board prayer?
 - A. Well --
 - MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. I think
- 19 he has covered that a couple times now?
- 20 A. I would think that as a general rule our
- 21 country is built on majority rule and that if a
- 22 majority would wish to vote for something we do have
- 23 to protect the interests of the minority, if you
- 24 will, but on the other hand if this is what the

78 (Pages 306 to 309)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 310

majority would care to do it should be put up to a 2 3 And in there particular case I believe that

it should not be put up to a vote in the sense that 5 the public gets to go out and cast a ballot, this is

something that should be corrected by a 6 constitutional amendment to clarify. It's a more 7

difficult process, but at that particular point you

9 would know that everybody had played by the rules and that's what we believe in. 10

My own concern is that a lot of these things are not being done by any kind of vote whatsoever but rather by judicial fiat, and that is not something that our nation stands for.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Mark as Exhibit 27

16 P554 through 555.

17 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 27 was 18 marked for identification).

19 Q. Sorry, sir, I'm going as fast as I can.

20 A. That's okay.

21 Q. In a number of your web postings, Dr.

Hattier you referred to a gag order that had been

23 imposed by Judge Farnan?

A. Yes. 24

11

12 13

14

15

Page 312

Then there was a time period where the gag

order was reinstated and then was lifted again. So,

3 There was a time period where I think there was a

great deal of uncertainty as to whether we had a gag

order or whether we didn't. And that had to do with

6 when the mediation efforts collapsed on or about

7 February of this year.

8 And at some point somebody released everything and then they said they didn't and then 10 yes we did and no we didn't.

11 Q. Who was the somebody that released

12. everything?

13 A. Got me. I'm assuming it came from Judge

14 Farnan's office or, I don't know, who was the

mediation judge, it was a the lady's name I believe 15

started with a T. 16

17 Judge Thine?

18 Judge Thine, thank you sir. Okay, there

19 was some confusion over that.

Q. Do you recall that Thomas Neuberger

21 disclosed to the press that the settlement offer

22 included a six figure money settlement?

A. He might have, I don't remember

24 specifically.

20

23

4

6

7

16

Page 311

- Prohibiting Board members from talking
- about terms of the settlement? 2
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. In this article from the Coastal Point, you
- are reported as having told Jonathan Starkey that
- 6 among other things, there was a provision of the
- 7 settlement that would have required the Board
- members to bump one of the Plaintiffs' children to 8
- the front of the line for admittance to the Southern
- 10 Delaware School of the Arts?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 0. Did it occur to you that that was a
- 13 violation of the order imposed by the judge?
- 14 A. Okay, somewhere in the early part of this
- year around January, February April there was a 16 great deal of confusion as to whether the gag order
- had been lifted after the mediation collapsed. 17
- 18 I do remember seeing documents that said 19 that the mediation was over and some of these
- 20 things, especially regarding the children being
- allowed into a school preferentially had already 22 been published in other newspapers as well. People
- were asking me about it. I did not release that, it
- was already out there.

Page 313

- 1 Did the Board authorize Mr. Neuberger to
- 2 make such a disclosure?
- 3 A. Not to my knowledge.
 - Did Mr. Helms authorize Mr. Neuberger to Q.
- 5 make such a disclosure?
 - I'm not going to speak for Mr. Helms.
 - Were you a little dismayed when that
- 8 disclosure hit the press?
- 9 A. Not really. Sooner or later all this is
- 10 going to come out anyway. 11

MR. ALLINGHAM: All right, mark as 12

Hattier Exhibit 28 a document bearing Bates

13 numbers 559 through 560.

14

(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 28 was

15 marked for identification)

A. Yes, I remember this one.

- 17 Well, I'm going to interest of completeness
- 18 did you say to the reporter from the Delaware Wave,
- 19 Laren Hughes, "Serving us on Easter was tacky. I
- 20 was at home with my family and now they are
- 21 intruding on my personal life?"
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And so that the record remains clear that
- 24 was not this lawsuit?

79 (Pages 310 to 313)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

3

13

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 314

1 No, correct, thank you. 2 MR. ALLINGHAM: This is a one page 3 exhibit which would be Exhibit 29, from the Wave it bears Bates number P582. 4 5 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 29 was 6 marked for identification) Q. This is an article in the Wave written by 7 8 Laren Hughes shortly after the School Board rejected 9 the settlement that had emerged from the mediation? 10 11 I have a couple of practical questions. When the Board met in executive session at that 12 Board meeting, where did it meet? 13 14 MR. GOSSELIN: I am just going to 15 object for a second. You know most of this 16 stuff is fairly harmless. If you we are 17 going to be getting into the circumstances

surrounding the Board's rejection --

do with School Board prayer and the

public's perception of this policy. I'm

MR. GOSSELIN: Okay.

not going to get into that.

MR. ALLINGHAM: Nope this has to

MR. ALLINGHAM: I am trying hard

Page 316 Remind me to tell you my favorite ACLU

1 2 change in the ACLU initials I saw on a sign once.

You are quoted in this article, this is in

what is the second page of the newspaper article, 4

5 but on the first page of this. On the first column

6 about halfway down, as saying, "This is an

7 opportunity for us to find out if we are legally

correct in what we believe Hattier said. This is a

very tough issue but this is the right vote for me.

10 I know that I can look my kids in the face and know

11 I did the right thing?"

12 A. Yes, I said that.

Q. When up said this is an opportunity for us

14 to find out if we are legally correct in what we

15 believe, did you mean by rejecting the settlement

that provides an opportunity for us to find out if 16

17 we are legally correct in what we believe?

18 A. No. All right, keep in mind that with the

19 settlement that there were a lot of other issues

20 there also and the fact that this may or may not go

to trial in terms of whether it's legal for us to 21

22 have a prayer at a School Board meeting or not, it

23 seemed to me that's the direction that it was going

to head into. 24

Page 315

5

6

7

- 1 to get him out of here. A. Where did we meet.
- Q. Could you hear what was going on in the 3
- auditorium? 4

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. So, you were far enough away that you
- 7 didn't hear what was going on?
- 8 A. If I remember correctly, we did this at
- 9 Sussex Central High School.
- 10 Q. Correct.
- 11 A. In which we are sitting way upstairs and
- 12 the auditorium is around the corner out in the back,
- because when we are meeting there, when we meet at 13
- 14 Sussex Central High School we actually meet in the
- cafeteria which is a goodly distance away down
- 16 several hallways and up, the way the building is
- 17 structured.
- 18 Q. You couldn't hear what was going on in the
- 19 auditorium?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. So, you can't confirm that the audience
- members were singing hymns and Onward Christian 22
- 23 Soldier?
- 24 A. No.

Page 317 1 Q. So, and let me just see if I understand, I

- 2 think I have it. The settlement provided that the
- Plaintiffs would agree not to challenge the existing 3
- School Board Prayer Policy, correct?
- A. That was one of the less odious portions of it, yes.
- So that if that settlement had been
- 8 approved, on the one hand you would have dispensed
- 9 with the existing challenge to the School Board
- 10 Prayer Policy, but on the other hand you would not
- have a final decision from a court as to whether 11
- 12 that policy was constitutional or not?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 And so what you are saying here is the
- rejection of the settlement provides an opportunity 15
- 16 for us to find out if we are legally correct in
- 17 believing that our existing School Board Prayer
- 18 Policy is constitutional?
- 19 A. I believe that's what I intended, yes. One
- 20 thing I have learned about dealing with the press of
- 21 any type, even though they tape record you and you
- 22 might be aware of this yourself, sometimes what
- 23 actually hits print is still not necessarily the way
- you said it or what you said.

80 (Pages 314 to 317)

1

v. C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

5

8

13

15

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 318

P2716 through 2720.
 (WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 30 was marked for identification.)

5 A. If I could offer a comment going back to

MR. ALLINGHAM: This is Exhibit 30

- 6 Exhibit Number 27.
- 7 O. Let me just find it, sir.
- 8 A. Fourth paragraph first page, last sentence,
- 9 it says according to Thomas Neuberger and Hattier
- 10 that settlement offer included a six figure money
- 11 settlement. I would not have mentioned what the
- 12 settlement figures were, because I don't believe
- 13 that we were allowed to discuss the money involved.
- 14 Q. So, you think in that aspect Mr. Starkey
- 15 got -
- 16 A. I think in that respect he got that portion
- 17 of if incorrect, all righty?
- 18 Q. I appreciate the clarification.
- 19 Exhibit 30 is an article from Delaware
- 20 Beach Life?
- 21 A. Uh-hum.
- 22 Q. It has a picture on the first page and I
- 23 think the person in sort of the upper right corner
- 24 is you, is that correct?

Page 320

- volunteer typically means hey, I'd like to do it.
- 2 A. No, I don't typically say I'd like to do
- 3 it, but you tap me I will do it and I will try to do
- 4 the best job I can.
 - Q. July 2006, a policy had been in place for
- 6 almost two years that required rotation?
- 7 A. 2006, okay, right.
 - Q. What context would it be appropriate for
- 9 you to volunteer to give the prayer under the Board
- 10 Prayer Policy?
- 11 A. It wouldn't.
- 12 Q. So, why did you tell the reporter -
 - A. I'm not sure that's a correct quote. This
- 14 particular --
 - MR. GOSSELIN: I don't think that
- 16 part is a quote.
- 17 A. It's not. This particular individual was
- 18 have very difficult interviewer. Nella Banerjee,
- 19 and I've given a lot of interviews on this subject
- 20 in the past, but Nella Banerjee with the New York
- 21 Times would, rather like yourself, she was an
- 22 extremely fair individual. She worked her questions
- 23 very well, and she was, I believe, very responsible
- 24 in the way she reported things. This particular

Page 319

- 1 A Yes, it is.
- Q. Do I correctly understand that when someone
- 3 offers a prayer you bow your head?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. All right, did you give an interview to the
- 6 author of this article?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. On the second, sorry, the third page of
- 9 Exhibit 30, on the right hand column, second
- 10 paragraph --
- 11 A. Okay, sorry black up?
- 12 Q. Third page of the exhibit, right hand
- 13 column?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Second paragraph. You are reported as
- 16 having told the reporter that in addition to
- 17 answering 10-4 when asked if you're a Christian, you
- 18 often volunteer to offer the prayer. Was that an
- 19 accurate description of what you told the reporter?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. This was --
- 22 A. I don't know if volunteer is the right work
- 23 but if you tap me, I will do it.
- 24 Q. Well, that was my question. I mean

Page 321 woman had an edge in her voice the entire time she

- was giving the interview and I was very concerned
- 3 that she was not going to be quoting me correctly
- and as it turns out I don't believe she did.
 Q. Okay, so you don't think that volunteer
- 6 work is your word?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Okay, that's fine. I just wanted to know.
- 9 You are reported two paragraphs below that as saying
- 10 that, "prayer works, look at the test scores in
- 11 Indian River, look at the number of superior schools:
- 12 we have and the number of blue ribbon schools. We
- 13 have done good and part of that is because of
- 14 prayer."
- 15 A. I believe that.
- 16 Q. Did you say that to her?
- 17 A. Something to this effect, yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Part of that is because of prayer is a
- 19 generic statement. Do you believe that the
- 20 district's superior performance is as you've layed
- 21 them out here are due in part to the opening of
- 22 School Board meetings with a prayer?
- 23 A. I think that's probably part of it, yes.
 - Q. And explain to me how the opening of School

81 (Pages 318 to 321)

24

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 322

- Board meetings with a prayer contributes to improved
- 2 academic performance of the students?
- 3 A. In the same sense the George Washington and
- a lot of our founding fathers made the statement
- 5 that you cannot have a good nation without studying
- religion and morality and without putting the glory 6
- 7 of God ahead of what you do. It's in the same
- 8 thing.
- 9 I mean I don't have my direct quotes with 10
- me as I stated I'm not very good with direct quotes 11 without my notepad handy, but if you look at what
- the founding fathers stated and they way they 12
- 13 approached things it was always with the idea in
- 14 mind that they would give the credit and the glory
- 15 to God and that good things were found that way.
- 16 Q. All right, so when you offer a payer at the
- beginning of the School Board meeting you believe 17
- that it will have an impact on the students of the 18
- 19 district?
- 20 A. I believe so.
- 21 And is that an impact that is limited to
- 22 the students who are in attendance or does it apply
- 23 across the board in the district?
- 24 A. I hope it applies across the board.

Page 324

- And in that sense at a lot of our prayers
- 2 we will ask for the proper guidance for our
- 3 custodial staff, or our teachers to do the right
- things as well. I mean it's about solemnifying the
- Board meeting and maybe in that sense we step over
- the line and pray for too many people, but we
- certainly want our students to succeed well and at
- least speaking for myself I do believe that God will
- 9 intervene in those things. Without being overly
- 10 simplistic about it.
- 11 Q. On the next page of the article, you are
- 12 quoted as saying and this is near the bottom of the
- 13 left hand most column. The reporter purports to
- 14 report the prayer that you gave at the August 24th
- 15 meeting which is the prayer from George Washington,
- 16 do you see that there?
- 17 uh-hum.

18

- And then quotes you as having said, "Our
- 19 founding fathers said that if this nation doesn't
- 20 have God it doesn't have squat?"
- 21 A. Yes, and actually that is a verbatim quote
- 22 absolutely. And if need be I can, they would not
- 23 have used the word squat, let's face it. They would
- 24 have phrased it far more 17th century or 18th

Page 323

- Q. And how will the students who are not 1
- 2 present find out the prayer that you've offered?
- 3 A. Okay now you're asking some interesting
- questions about theology, but if a prayer is offered
- 5 does the other person need to know that you are
- 6 praying for them, or is it simply the fact that, you
- 7 know, the Lord would understand.
- 8 I apologize. I misunderstood. I thought
- 9 that prayers were offered in order to invoke the
- help of a higher power for the Board members to do
- 11 their best in discharging their responsibilities --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 -- as Board members?
- 14 A. They are.
- 15 I did not understand that you offer prayers
- 16 that a higher power assist the students of the
- 17 district in performing?
- 18 A. We have asked in terms of our prayers to
- 19 solemnify that we ask again, if you read what I
- 20 wrote above it, maybe it's not this one, yeah, it is
- 21 in this one, you know, praying is about giving
- 22 yourself up saying I don't know everything give me
- 23 help. It's about going above and beyond mere ego
- 24 and about being willing to humble yourself.

- Page 325 century language but if need be I can produce the 1
- 2 quotes.
- 3 Q. I understand. Under the picture of you
- which I don't know if was taken for this article.
- but I want that photographer to take that picture if
- 6 it was because you look 20 years younger.
 - You and I both look young, sir.
- 8 There is the question where does my right
- 9 to free speech stop and the prayer opponents rights
- 10 begin?

7

- 11 A. Something to that effect, yes.
- 12 And that's a question that you asked?
- 13 A. That is a question that I asked throughout
- 14 all of this process.
- 15 And have you formulated an answer?
- 16 No. Because even when I read the Supreme
- 17 Court rulings on this thing and again I'm not an
- attorney and this is some incredibly dry reading, I 18
- 19 admire you guys for being able to plow through all
- 20 of this on a regular basis. Okay, you can find
- 21 where they can tease more things out of one or two
- 22 words and then come back and then some of them you
- 23 have got two concurring opinions and three
- 24 dissentings and I mean it makes incredible

82 (Pages 322 to 325)

5

6

13

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

7

R

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

Page 326

interesting reading that the Supreme Court itself 1 hasn't even answered this particular question.

So no, I do not have an answer for this. 3

There I wish I did. 4

> Q. Would you agree that the resolution of the question yes does my right to free speech stop and

prayer opponents' rights begin, requires a balancing

of the two provisions in the First Amendment that

9 you and I talked about earlier in this deposition.

That is the prohibition against establishment of

religion and the free speech for the exercise of

one's religion? 12

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.

14 Or prohibiting the free exercise thereof and that apparently is what this is as all about. Is there a balance in here, you know, that's what we have to determine. At what point do the prayer 17 opponents start censoring what I wish to say and instituting censorship, which as Americans we do not 20 generally believe in, and where am I stepping on 21 their toes.

Because at no time, at no time do I feel 22 23 that you know the Dobriches or the Does would have been proscribed or prevented from, you know, telling Page 328

that you don't, that you can just come and be and 1

2 live as a free American, and basically not

3 participate in high taxes and paying for things that

4 you don't believe in. In terms of what our schools

offer they are still some of the best in the state

6 for being a rural area, and for being able to

publicly pray if you want to. You want to put a

8 shotgun on the back of your truck if you want to

9 that's fine. You know, you want to drive your

10 pickup, it's whatever.

> It's what we would see in the Walt Disney movies from the 1950s.

Q. You didn't mean to suggest to the reporter 13 14 that the position you took on School Board prayer 15 you were defending all of those principles?

A. Part of it. I'm not quits sure --

Explain to me how those principles are connected to the position of the Board on School Board prayer.

MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. I think the question is what did you mean by rural attitudes.

> MR. ALLINGHAM: This is a follow-up question when I said what did

Page 327

- me their beliefs, and had they chosen to do so I 1
- would have stood in respectful silence and
- essentially supported their right to do it, because
- I believe they have that right.
- 5 Q. On the last page, second column from the
- 6 right, in the last paragraph you are reported as
- having told the reporter that, "Rather than forcing
- what you think on other people you see yourself as
- 9 defending the values of Sussex County. You see
- yourself as protecting what Hattier who moved here
- in 1986, calls one of the last great communities 11
- 12 with rural attitudes in the country."

13 First question, is that an accurate

14 reflection of what you said?

- 15 A. I think the general gist of it is correct.
- 16 Q. Could tell me what you mean by rural
- 17 attitudes?
- 18 A. People willing to be self sufficient, stand
- up for themselves, not relying on government, people 19
- being willing to make good decisions to help their 20
- 21 neighbors when they need it.
- 22 You know the attitude that the government
- 23 is not to be all to end all and basically you do
- have a right to pray and to, how do I phrase this,

Page 329 you mean by rural attitudes the answer

which we could have read back includes the

3 right be free from high taxes, the right to

4 carry a shotgun on the back of your pickup

5 truck, the right to whatever. None of

6 which, as I recall them have to do with

School Board prayer?

A. They don't in specific, but they are part

9 of again what I believe the nation was founded on

10 and I believe that something that I don't think any

of our founding fathers would have argued with. 11

12 They would have argued with you taking a tax out of

13 my paycheck to pay for a religious teacher in the

14 schools, and I too, would argue with that.

15 But having the right to pray where you want 16 to pray that was one of the things that did drive

the founder and the colonists from England in the 17

18 first place, so why are we in a situation now where

I have to careful simply because I have been elected

19

20 to a School board, which by the way does not pay

21 very well, and eats up humongous amounts of time and

22 is strictly a volunteer position. Why am I being

23 told at this particular point that because I have

24 chosen to do this that suddenly now I am an agent of

83 (Pages 326 to 329)

C.A. # 15-120 (JJF)

Indian River School District, et al. October 10, 2006

	. Page 330	١.	Page 332
1	the government.	1	P2594.
2	I don't view myself in that light. I view	2	(WHEREUPON Hattier Exhibit 31 was
3	myself as a member of the general public who is	3	marked for identification)
4	trying to do the best that they can for the schools	4	MR. GOSSELIN: Are we getting
5	and why would my right to free speech in this	5	close to the end cause we definitely way
6	particular case be prevented. I don't understand	6	beyond our six hours.
7	that	7	MR. ALLINGHAM: Yeah, this is the
8	Q. Just so you I understand you, you don't	8	last one.
9	view yourself as a government official functioning,	وا	A. What is the date on this?
10	during the period of time when you function as a	10	Q. It's after April of 2006 because it reports
11	School Board member?	11	on the graphic arts lawsuit. This was taken off the
12	A. I view myself as a School Board member, but	12	web and the web doesn't report the date?
13	I don't necessarily view myself as a government	13	A. Okay.
14	official, no I do not.	14	Q. So, I can only tell you that it's after
15	Q. Do you think that the members of the public	15	April 2006.
16	who come to School Board meetings see you as a	16	MR. GOSSELIN: I can tell you now
17	government official?	17	that I'm objecting to any questions
18	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection.	18	relating to the graphic arts lawsuit.
19	MR. ALLINGHAM: Well, that's an	19	MR. ALLINGHAM: I'm not going to
20	important and clearly relevant	20	ask any questions about the graphic arts
21	consideration.	21	lawsuit. So it's yet another area on which
22	MR. GOSSELIN: I have an objection	22	we are in complete agreement.
23	to form, you are asking him to speculate on	23	Q. First of all the fourth paragraph reports
24	what other people might think.	24	that you told Jonathan Starkey, "It was one of the
			
	Page 331	١.	Page 333
1 2	A. I would agree with that.	1	bigger issues but not the whole thing, Hattier said
3	Q. No, my question is do you have a view as to	2	of the School Board Prayer Policy. If you were to
4	whether the members of the public who come to the Board meetings think of you as a government	4	read the entire settlement there would be things you wouldn't sign off on either."
5	official?	5	A. Correct.
6	MR. GOSSELIN: Objection?	6	Q. Is that a fair quote?
7	A. Some might, some might not.	7	A. It is.
8	Q. You have taxing authority, correct?	8	Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Starkey the
9	A. Uh-hum which we exercise yes, we have	9	aspects of the settlement package that he would not
10	taxing authority.	10	have signed off on either?
11	Q. You spend the money that local residents	11	A. No.
12	contribute to the district for the running of the	12	Q. Do you recall statements from colleagues of
13	schools, you dictate how that money gets spent,	13	yours on the Board shortly after the February
14	don't you?	14	meeting at which the settlement was rejected and

A. I don't know what they said, but that's not the only reason I rejected it.

which they were quoted as saying we rejected the settlement in order to preserve our right to pray

- 20 Q. Are you saying that you rejected the 21 settlement in part because it would have left you
- 22 free to pray before School Board meetings in
- 23 accordance with the at policy? 24

before School Board meetings?

A. I may not have understood your question

84 (Pages 330 to 333)

A. Uh-hum.

government --

function?

Q. So, in your capacity as a School Board

A. Correct. I guess that makes me

Q. Isn't that the essence of a government

MR. ALLINGHAM: 31. P2593 to

money for the greater good, correct?

A. I guess so, then I'm wrong.

member you tax the residents and then spend that

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

15

16

17

18

19

Indian River School District, et al. Dobrich, et al. **Donald Hattier** C.A. # 15-120 (JJF) October 10, 2006 Page 334 Page 336 correctly if you could phrase it again please, sir. INDEX 1 2 2 Q. I think probably I didn't ask the question DEPONENT: DONALD HATTIER **PAGE** 3 right? 3 4 A. I apologize for my confusion. Examination by Mr. Allingham 5 Q. Reggie Helms among others was quoted as 4 6 saying that the settlement was rejected in order to 5 7 ensure that Board members would remain free to offer EXHIBITS 6 8 prayers before School Board meetings. Did you see MARKED 9 any of those quotes? 7 10 No, not that I recall. 8 No. 1 Letter dated 3/30/06 43 11 Am I correct that that was not a 9 No. 2 Memo 4/1/04 73 consideration in your vote to disapprove the 12 10 No. 3 Letter dated 3/10/05 95 13 settlement? 11 No. 4 Policy 6/27/06 99 12 No. 5 Minutes 6/20/06 102 14 A. No. 13 No. 6 Letter dated 7/7/06 105 15 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection. 14 No. 7 Copies of postings WGMD 119 16 Since the settlement would have left the 15 No. 8 School Board powers and duties 133 17 School Board Prayer Policy in tact? School Board Prayer Policy 16 No. 9 144 18 MR. GOSSELIN: Objection, you 17 No. 10 Letter dated 4/4/04 145 19 don't have to answer questions about why 18 No. II Board prayer 148 19 No. 12 Prayer resolution 8/30/04 151 20 you voted not to accept the settlement. 20 No. 13 Minutes executive session 8/23/04 158 21 MR. ALLINGHAM: Well, I think that No. 14 21 Minutes regular session 8/23/04 160 22 this -- I haven't asked any other questions No. 15 22 Minutes 6/15/04 162 23 about the other aspects of the settlement. 23 No. 16 Minutes 7/27/04 167 24 I'm just asking about whether its impact on 24 208 No. 17 Minutes 8/24/04 Page 335 Page 337 222 1 the School Board Prayer Policy contributed 1 No. 18 Minutes 9/28/04 2 No. 19 Minutes 10/19/04 227 2 to your vote to reject the settlement? 3 No. 20 236 3 Minutes 11/16/04 No. A. No. 21 Minutes 12/21/04 255 4 Okay. Q. Policy committee agenda 5 No. 22 260 5 MR. GOSSELIN: Do you have another 6 No. 23 Policy meeting schedule 262 6 last one? 7 No. 24 Editorial Sussex County Online 303 7 MR. ALLINGHAM: No, it's the same 8 No. 25 Artide the Wave 9/1/04 304 8 one. Don't tease me, I told you it was the No. 26 Article Coastal Point 8/27/04 305 9 last one and it was the last one. 10 No. 27 310 Article Coastal Point 10 It is my normal practice to say 11 No. 28 Article the Wave 4/19/06 313 11 give me five minutes to review my notes 12 No. 29 Article the Wave 3/3/06 314 12 but because I have already impinged on your 13 No. 30 Article Delaware Beach Life 318 13 patience enough I will say that I'm done, 332 14 No. 31 Article Coastal Point 4/06 14 thank you very much for your courtesy. 15 15 You are welcome. 16 16 MS. DUPHILY: We are going off the 17 17 record at approximately 6:13 p.m.. 18 18 19 PAGE 338 ERRATA SHEET/DEPONENT'S SIGNATURE 19 20 20 PAGE 339 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24

86 (Pages 338 to 339)